

CONTACT INFORMATION
Mining Records Curator
Arizona Geological Survey
416 W. Congress St., Suite 100
Tucson, Arizona 85701
602-771-1601
<http://www.azgs.az.gov>
inquiries@azgs.az.gov

The following file is part of the JABA, Inc. Tombstone Mining Records

ACCESS STATEMENT

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

QUALITY STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

Volume 3 ; Book 2

0041

TOMBSTONE

Mining District

Cochise County

ARIZONA

James A. Briscoe Geologic Report
October 16, 1973

INTERUM GEOLOGIC REPORT ON THE TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT
COCHISE COUNTY

with particular emphasis on
THE STATE OF MAINE MINE AREA

Submitted to: Richard F. Hewlett, President
Sierra Mineral Management Company
4741 East Sunrise Drive
Tucson, Arizona

Tucson, Arizona

SCAN MAP

AD NOTED

NAME BY PLATE

NAME + MINERALS

#

REQUIRED

ALL PLATES

SCANNED

2-4-10 NJN

V3 B2

Submitted by: James A. Briscoe
Consulting Geologist
6418 Santa Aurelia
Tucson, Arizona

October 16, 1973

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

| | Page |
|---|------|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 4 |
| HISTORY | 9 |
| GENERAL GEOLOGY OF THE DISTRICT | 12 |
| SURFACE GEOLOGY IN THE STATE OF MAINE AREA | 19 |
| General Statement | 19 |
| Sedimentary Rocks | 21 |
| Quaternary Alluvium | 21 |
| Bisbee Group Sediments | 22 |
| Paleozoic Sediments | 25 |
| Igneous Rocks | 27 |
| Schieffelin Granodiorite | 27 |
| Rhyolite Dikes | 27 |
| Andesite Porphyry Dikes | 28 |
| Uncle Sam Quartz Latite Porphyry | 28 |
| Structural Features of the State of Maine Area | 29 |
| General Statement | 29 |
| Vein Zones | 30 |
| Dikes | 31 |
| Post-Mineral Faults and Photolinears and Topographic Alignments | 31 |
| Mineralization | 33 |
| SUBSURFACE GEOLOGY STATE OF MAINE AREA | 38 |
| General Statement | 38 |
| Thickness of the Uncle Sam Quartz Latite Porphyry | 39 |
| Mineral Potential | 47 |
| Within the Quartz Latite Porphyry | 47 |
| Replacement Ore Bodies in Lower Bisbee Formation and Paleozoic Sediments | 48 |
| PROPOSED DRILLING PROGRAM IN THE STATE OF MAINE AREA | 51 |
| General Statement | 51 |
| Air Track Drilling | 52 |
| Purpose and Objectives | 52 |
| Rotary Drilling | 53 |
| Purpose and Objectives | 53 |
| Sample Handling and Logging | 54 |
| Objectives of Each Hole | 55 |
| <u>Hole</u> P - 1 | 55 |
| P - 2 | 55 |
| P - 3 | 56 |
| P - 4 | 57 |
| P - 5 | 57 |
| P - 6 | 58 |
| P - 7 | 58 |
| P - 8 | 58 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Objectives of Each Hole (cont'd) | |
| <u>Hole</u> P - 9 | 59 |
| P - 10 | 59 |
| P - 11 | 59 |
| P - 12 | 60 |
| P - 13 | 60 |
| P - 14 | 61 |
| P - 15 | 61 |
| P - 16 | 61 |
| P - 17 | 62 |
| P - 18 | 62 |
| P - 19 | 62 |
| P - 20 | 63 |
| P - 21 | 63 |
| P - 22 | 63 |
| P - 23 | 64 |
| P - 24 | 64 |
| P - 25 | 64 |
| Supervision and Revision of Objectives | 65 |
| PORPHYRY COPPER EXPLORATION | 66 |
| General Statement | 66 |
| Geology between the State of Maine Mine and Charleston | 67 |
| Surface Geology | 67 |
| Subsurface Geology | 68 |
| Proposed Exploration Program | 69 |
| Photogeologic and Ground Geologic Mapping | 69 |
| Geochemistry | 70 |
| Geophysics | 70 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|---|------|
| 1. Locator map for the Tombstone Mining District | 3 |
| 2. Summary of Metal Prices, production and historical events, Tombstone Mining District (page 39, Bull. 143, Ariz. Bureau of Mines)..... | 11 |
| 3. Composite section of Bisbee formation, Tombstone Mining District (page 79, Figure 5, Professional Paper 281, U.S.G.S.) | 23 |
| 4. Correlation of Pennsylvanian and Permian Rocks With b Those of The Dragoon Quadrangle, Arizona (Plate 7, U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 281) | 26 |
| 5. Generalized Composite Section, Bisbee Formation (page 78, U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 281) | 24 |
| 6. Superimposed cylindroidal folding -- an example of type of complex folds found in the Tombstone, State of Maine area, under the Uncle Sam porphyry sill | 43 |
| 7. Superposition of similar folds -- another possibility of structures which might underlie the Uncle Sam porphyry | 44 |
| 8. Card model showing interference folding -- another example for the State of Maine area..... | 45 |
| 9. Card model showing interference folds intact and truncated by a plane surface, corresponding to the possible situation caused by thrust faulting or intrusion of the Uncle Sam porphyry..... | 46 |
| 10. Table showing breakdown of drilling costs for the proposed programs of air track and rotary drilling .. | 54a |

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachments

1. Geologic and Alteration Outcrop Map of The State of Maine Area
2. Sample Overlay Map showing location and Assays of Samples in the State of Maine Area

Cross Sections

3. A-A Scale 1"=1,000 feet geology taken from Gilulley Map of the Tombstone Mining District and J. A. Briscoe field work.
4. B-B' Scale 1" = 200" from Att. 1
5. C-C' " " "
6. D-D' " " "
7. E-E' " " "
8. F-F' " " "
9. G-G' " " "
10. H-H' " " "
11. I-I' " " "
12. Property Map, Tombstone Mining District, as of August 1, 1973
13. Copy of Geology Map of Central Cochise County, Arizona (uncolored), Plate 5 of U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 281, Showing location of Cross Section Attachment 3, A-A' of this report.
14. Transparent overlay showing approximate outline of hydrothermal alteration visible on color air photos, and distance relations of mineral zones in the District.
15. General Geologic Map of the Tombstone District (Modified from F. L. Ransome) copied from Ariz. Bur. of Mines Report No. 10, Bull. 143, Jan. 1, 1938 Plate III (Hand Colored) Scale 1" = approx. 2,000 feet.
16. Geologic Map of the Tombstone District, Arizona Plate IV, Bull. 143, Scale 1"=500 feet.
17. Plate V, Bull. 143 Cross Sections from Plate III.
18. Plate VI, Bull. 143, Transparent overlay for Plate III (15)

- 19. Plate VII, Bull. 143, Transparent overlay for Plate IV (16)
- 20. Plate VIII Bulletin 143
- 21. Plate IX " "
- 22. Plate X " "
- 23. Plate XI " "
- 24. Plate XII " "
- MISSING 25. Plate XIII " "
- 26. Plate XIV " "
- 27. Plate XV " "
- 28. Plate XVI " "
- 29. Plate XVII " "
- 30. Plate XVIII " "
- 31. Plate XIX A & B " "
- 32. Plate XX " "
- 33. Plate XXI " "
- 34. Plate XXII " "
- 35. Plate XXIII " "
- 36. Plate XXIV " "

REFERENCES USED IN PREPARATION OF THE PRECEEDING REPORT INCLUDE:

1. Geology and Ore Deposits of the Tombstone District, Arizona Bureau of Mines, Geological Series No. 10, Bulletin No. 143, by B. S. Butler, E. D. Wilson, and C. A. Rasor, University of Arizona Press, 1938
2. General Geology of Central Cochise County, Arizona, U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 281 by James Gilluly, U. S. Government Printing Office, 1956.
3. Journal of the Alberta Society of Petroleum Geologists, Vol. 10 No. 3, Special Issue on Folding, March 1962.
4. Field Geology, F. H. Lahee, McGraw Hill, New York 1961.
5. Structural Geology, Second Edition, M. P. Billings, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1958.
6. Elements of Structural Geology, E. Sherbon Hills, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1963.

INTERUM GEOLOGIC REPORT ON THE TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT,
COCHISE COUNTY


with particular emphasis on
THE STATE OF MAINE MINE AREA

INTRODUCTION

In April of this year, the writer was engaged by Mr. Dick Hewlett, President, Sierra Mineral Management Company, to examine and geologically map the State of Maine area in order to determine the potential for the discovery of additional mineralization and to assess its mining potential. Sierra had at that time consummated lease-option agreements with Messrs. Ernest Escapule Sr., Jr., Charles, and Lewis Escapule which covered patented and unpatented mining claims in the general State of Maine area. It was anticipated that Sierra would work toward obtaining the ground within the Tombstone basin on lease-option basis and adjacent ground to the north owned by Joe Escapule (Att. 12). In short, the objective was to consolidate the entire district in order to facilitate exploration and production of base and precious metals.

It was anticipated that bonanza-type ore bodies within the State of Maine would be relatively small and high grade requiring detailed geologic mapping. Therefore the first order of business was to obtain a detailed, accurate topographic map. A first order ground triangulation survey tied to the State survey was put in. All pertinent claim corners and other important geographic features were targeted, and aerial photography flown. A topographic map was prepared photogrammetrically by Cooper Aerial Survey of Tucson, at a scale of 1"=200', with a

5-foot contour interval. All claim corners and other monuments were surveyed photogrammetrically to the nearest $\frac{1}{2}$ foot. Detailed geologic outcrop mapping and alteration mapping was then performed using the topographic base and air photos enlarged to the same scale. Detailed channel type rock chip samples across veins were collected by Mr. J.T. Stockdale. Geologic and assay results are plotted on the 1"=200' base map (Atts. 1 & 2).



SOUTHWESTERN PORPHYRY COPPER PROVINCE has the greatest concentration of copper mines in world. The mines shown on this

map produce about 1,250,000 tons of copper per year and production is increasing as new mines constantly are being developed.

Figure 1 LOCATION MAP, TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Tombstone Mining District was discovered in 1877 by Ed Schieffelin and was active from that time up until approximately 1937. It is generally thought that production in the district was halted because of large quantities of water which were encountered in the mines. A more important reason for cessation of production however, was depressed metal prices. Prices for silver, lead, zinc, and copper were so low at the time the mines were closed that economic operation could probably not have sustained even had there been no water problem.

Value of the total Tombstone mineral production has been substantial. Using approximate figures for current metal prices of: silver at \$3 per ounce, gold at \$100 per ounce, copper at \$0.80 per pound, lead at \$0.20 per pound and zinc at \$0.25 per pound, the value of metals produced between 1877 and 1936 would be approximately 145,400,000 dollars.

The geologic history of the Tombstone Mining District has been exceedingly complex. Paleozoic and Mesozoic sediments have been folded and thrust-faulted by two periods of tectonic compression. Igneous rocks of various types have intruded the area during five distinguishable episodes. Hydrothermal fluids have saturated an area of approximately 42 square miles and implaced lead, zinc, and silver mineralization at Tombstone and Charleston.

Discovery of porphyry copper type breccia pipes and associated porphyry copper type alteration has been one of the most important accomplishments of this current study. Presence of this type of alteration in the Tombstone district makes it

possible to apply modern concepts of porphyry copper zoning which appear to explain salient aspects of the district. It is now apparent that the Robbers Roost breccia pipe area is the central part of a very large alteration zone. Tombstone and Charleston, which are approximately equidistant from the breccia pipe area represent opposing lead-zinc-silver zones peripheral to the porphyry copper alteration center. Exploration for economic ore bodies of copper associated with the area of breccia pipes is complicated by sub-horizontal geologic features in the area, including: the thin Uncle Sam quartz latite porphyry sill, and alluvial cover over layers of Bisbee Group pelitic sediments which in turn overlie favorable ore horizons in Paleozoic limestones.

The great majority of the production in the Tombstone district has come from ore bodies associated with anticlinal structures in the so-called Tombstone Basin, a large syncline in the Bisbee Group sediments located on the south edge of the town of Tombstone. Detailed geologic mapping in the State of Maine area has revealed that similar fold structures occur in the same rock types, which lie beneath a thin sill of Uncle Sam quartz latite porphyry. Recognition of several windows of sediments exposed in the main body of the sill is probably the second most important discovery resulting from this study. Careful plotting of these features on accurate cross sections indicates the Uncle Sam is at most a few tens to a few hundreds of feet thick in the State of Maine area.

Detailed mapping has shown that vein zones in the area have a greater continuity than had been previously realized.

Favorable structural and chemical horizons formed by folded beds below the Uncle Sam porphyry make attractive ore targets where they are intersected by projections of strongly altered surface vein zones. These vein zones appear wider and stronger than similar veins in the old part of the district. This might be expected since they are closer to the apparent source of mineralization -- the porphyry copper breccia pipe zone toward Charleston. The width of the veins suggests potential for disseminated near-surface ore, though to date surface samples, albeit strongly leached, have not been particularly encouraging.

Thus, this study suggests potential for two types of mineralization occurring in economic grades and tonnages. The first would be near surface values in vein zones within the Uncle Sam porphyry which might be minable by surface methods. Higher grade shoots of this type of mineralization might also be found along more narrow zones comprising ore of the type mined in the State of Maine mine. Extensions of this type of ore might be localized along newly discovered parts of the Maine vein in the Brother Jonathan area. Vein intersections on the Clipper and San Pedro veins might also be productive. The second type of ore would be replacement orebodies in favorable structural traps in sedimentary horizons in the Bisbee Group and Paleozoic sediments beneath the Uncle Sam porphyry.

Two types of exploratory drill programs are proposed to test these two possibilities. To test ore potential in the Uncle Sam porphyry, within 100 feet of the surface along mapped vein zones, holes should be drilled with an air track percussion drill. If encouraging results are obtained, up to two hundred

such holes should be drilled. The cost per foot should be in the range of \$2.00 and the cost of the program, including assays, should be in the range of \$65,000 for 20,000 feet of drilling.

Exploration for replacement ore in sediments beneath the Uncle Sam should be done with a rotary drill rig in the initial stages. Twenty-five holes have been proposed, each testing various specific targets while yielding information on thickness of the Uncle Sam sill and structure of underlying sediments. Considering an average depth of 500 feet, this program would entail 13,000 feet of drilling. Approximate cost including sampling and assaying would total \$62,000. If the deeper penetration suggested on several holes were made, about \$10,000 would be added to the cost. Total cost of both programs would be about \$127,000 and require two to four months for completion.

Exploration of the porphyry copper target in the Robbers Roost area will require additional geologic and alteration mapping to define meaningful drill targets. Geochemical surveys in the area may also prove to be a valuable exploration tool. Induced polarization surveys will be of little value since they only indicate the presence of sulfides without respect to base or precious metal content -- and there is already abundant indication of sulfides in surface exposures. Magnetic surveys may be helpful in indicating magnetite associated with possible replacement type copper ore in limestone horizons.

A thorough knowledge of the geology of the district in three dimensions will probably be the most productive exploration method in the Tombstone district, -- for both precious and base metals. Careful geologic mapping and drill hole logging

should therefor be of the first priority in future work in the district.

HISTORY

In 1877, Ed Schieffelin, Army scout at Camp Huachuca, took leave from his duties to go prospecting in the nearby hills. At that time, Apaches were running rampant over the area and his companions tried to dissuade him from going alone into the mountains, telling him that the only thing he would find would be his tombstone. Undaunted, Schieffelin set out and when he made a discovery of rich silver outcrops in the hills to the east of Camp Huachuca, he determined to call the new district "Tombstone" in honor of his companions' warnings.

News of Schieffelin's discovery spread quickly and Tombstone soon became a boom camp with thousands of fortune seekers moving into the area. Between the discovery date of 1877 and 1880, the price of silver was averaging \$1.20 per ounce (a price not again to be attained until almost 100 years later). Production amounted to approximately 2½ million dollars at the then current metal prices. The greatest production of the camp was between 1881 and 1886 during which time silver ranged from \$0.99 to \$1.14 per ounce, and the mines produced almost 17 million dollars in metal. This rate of production was never again attained, decreases being due to lower metal prices and depletion of rich surface ores. The district has mainly been affected by economic events, experiencing buoyant periods during high metal prices and depressed conditions during low metal prices. One of the most buoyant periods was 1918-1922, when production was stimulated by the Pittman Act which supported the price of silver at \$1.12 per ounce.

Water was encountered in the mines in 1882 and the 1,000-foot deep Pump shaft was sunk to dewater the district in the 1897 era. In 1909 a defect in the pumping system caused the lower levels of the Pump Shaft to be flooded and the pumps were lost in the lowest levels. This flooding of the pumps was generally thought to be the reason for the closing of the district. However, if silver prices had held at previously high levels, pumping would have been resumed and production continued. An examination of mineral prices during the life of the district suggests that low metal prices coupled with increasing mining costs precluded economic operation of the mines (Fig. 2), causing the death of the camp.

| Period | Price of silver | Production | Remarks |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 1877-80 | \$1.15 - \$1.20 | \$ 2,318,567 | Discovery and early development. Mills built on San Pedro River. |
| 1881-86 | 0.99 - 1.14 | 16,877,175 | Active development and large production. Water encountered in mines in 1882, and mills built at Tombstone. |
| 1887-96 | 0.63 - 1.05 | 4,564,650 | Decreased production due to depletion of many of the large ore bodies above water level. |
| 1897-1911 | 0.52 - 0.68 | 5,575,900 | Consolidation of principal properties and attempted unwatering of district by a 1,000-foot pump shaft. |
| 1912-14 | 0.553- 0.615 | 379,917 | Lessee operations. |
| 1915-17 | 0.507- 0.824 | 1,117,687 | War period. Considerable production of manganiferous silver ore and concentrates. |
| 1918-32 | 0.282- 1.12 | 5,150,789 | Mainly lessee operations. Production of silver during 1918-22 stimulated by Pittman Act. |
| 1933-36 | 0.35 - 0.77 | 1,118,325 | Production stimulated by increased price of gold and silver. |

THE TOMBSTONE DISTRICT

39

FIGURE 2

GENERAL GEOLOGY OF THE DISTRICT

The Tombstone Mining District lies within the southwestern porphyry copper province. Nearby large porphyry copper deposits are located at Bisbee some 25 miles to the southeast, and the newly discovered deposits at Dragoon are some 25 miles to the northeast. Exploration drilling on porphyry copper at Gleeson, about 15 miles northeast, is presently underway.

The Tombstone area itself has had a complex geologic history which includes sedimentation, folding, thrust-faulting, several stages of intrusion by igneous rocks, and mineralization from hydrothermal solutions. Basement rocks are Precambrian granodiorite, and Pinal schist. Over this are deposited approximately 5,000 feet of Paleozoic sediments consisting, for the most part of limestone. Mesozoic sedimentation includes the Bisbee Formation consisting of approximately 4,000 feet of sandstones, minor limestones, mudstones, and shales. Tertiary surface volcanic rocks include the Bronco volcanics, which are comprised of a lower andesite breccia overlain by a quartz latite welded tuff. The Bronco volcanics are interesting in that they are co-relative, at least in time and composition, to the Silver Bell andesite complex and the Cat Mountain rhyolite. Work by Richard and Courtright show that these units occur rather pervasively throughout the porphyry copper province in southeastern Arizona and are closely associated with porphyry copper mineralization. Recent sediments within the Tombstone area include cemented conglomerates of the Gila type and normal alluvial material occurring in the stream drainages and the valley of the San Pedro River.

The tectonic history has been complex. At least two episodes of folding and thrust faulting have taken place (Gilluly, p. 122 through 130). It is apparent (Gilluly, p. 128) that an earlier period of deformation created eastward-trending features and later deformation formed northward-trending and oblique features. During the first stage, north-south compression formed east-trending folds and minor thrusts with a north strike and northerly low-angle dips. After this structural episode, the Bronco volcanics were deposited on erosion surfaces formed on Bisbee Group sediments. The disconformity is in general low angle, the volcanic units being subparallel to the pre-existing Bisbee. Following extrusion of the Bronco volcanics, the area underwent southwest-northeast compression, which produced thrust faults of northwesterly trend and was probably responsible for the large features visible in the district today, including the Tombstone Syncline, the Ajax Hill fault, the Prompter fault, and the Horquilla fault. After this structural episode, the Uncle Sam quartz latite porphyry was injected into the area. Feeder dikes of Uncle Sam porphyry are seen to the west of Ajax Hill. The large expanse of porphyry in the western Tombstone Hills and the State of Maine area is apparently a very large sill, which was intruded along a pre-existing thrust plane. Over most of its expanse, the Uncle Sam porphyry is replete with xenoliths and near its basal contact with underlying Bisbee sediments, the amount of xenoliths increase until they comprise 10 percent or more of the total rock volume. Since there are for practical purposes, only occasional windows through the Uncle Sam sill, we can only surmise at the rock

type lying beneath. Because of its thrust-fault relationship, prediction as to the type of underlying rock is further complicated. All exposures in the State of Maine area seen to date have been of Bisbee Group sediments.

After the emplacement of the Uncle Sam porphyry northeast-trending shearing developed within the district. This zone of shearing is expressed topographically and can be easily noted on topographic maps and aerial photos of the area. After development of northeast-trending shears, the area was invaded by andesite porphyry dikes. After solidification of the andesite dikes the area was intruded by rhyolite porphyry dikes, following parallel and sometimes the same fissures as the andesite dikes. This relation is seen near the Gold Bug workings in the State of Maine area, where a composite dike of rhyolite and andesite is exposed. The andesite comprises the central part of the dike with rhyolite intruding on either side of the andesite. The relative age is indicated by numerous spheroidal xenoliths of andesite in the rhyolite. Extrusive equivalents of these rocks may have been deposited on the surface. However, there are no surface exposures which can be related to the dikes, and it is assumed their extrusive equivalents have been eroded away.

Emplacement of the Schieffelin granodiorite was the next intrusive event. The granodiorite forms a stock of northwesterly trend on the northern edge of the district, north of the Ajax fault, and it is quite possible that the granodiorite was intruded along this structural feature.

Subsequent to the granodiorite intrusion and possibly associated with it, hydrothermal solutions invaded the district

and formed the known ore bodies at Tombstone and Charleston and were responsible for porphyry copper type mineralization, alteration and formation of breccia pipes in the Robbers Roost area. Examination of new color air photographs of the Tombstone District show red areas of probable hydrothermal alteration extending from the northern edge of the Tombstone district south to Lewis Springs--a distance of some 11 miles. The width of this alteration zone is approximately 4 miles, giving a total of some 42 square miles of hydrothermal alteration. If it is assumed that the Robbers Roost breccia pipe area, which is approximately 3,000 feet in diameter is the central part of this alteration zone, then the Tombstone Mining District is approximately the same distance from the breccia pipe center as is the Charleston area. Typical mineral zoning, characteristic of large porphyry copper deposits, would thus be the source of the Tombstone and Charleston lead-zinc-silver mineralization with porphyry copper occurrences to be expected in the Robbers Roost area. These relations are shown diagrammatically on Attachment 14 which is a transparent overlay for James Gilluly's map of the area (Att. 13). Possible mineral zones are shown as circular features with the center point being in the Robbers Roost breccia pipe area. The radii of the hypothetical zones are; the outward edge of the Tombstone district and the inner edge of the Tombstone basin, the outer edge of the State of Maine area, and the inner edge of the State of Maine area, and the Charleston mine. It is interesting to note that by using these radii, the Charleston circle falls on the point where copper staining was noticed west of the T.M.R. mill

(Section A-A', Att. 3), suggesting the possible peripheral zone of copper mineralization. Further, the State of Maine zone coincides almost exactly with the Charleston zone, while the Tombstone zone falls into the Lewis Springs area, outside of known mineralization. As was noted previously, there is a strong northeast-trending shear direction prevalent in the district as indicated by northeast-trending faults, veins, and topographic alignments. This northeast trend is one of the most typical features of porphyry copper alteration zones in the southeast Arizona area. In response to this fracture pattern it can be expected that proposed alteration zones may take an elliptical rather than circular pattern, being elongated in the northeast and southwest direction. As noted, alteration visible on the color air photos is elongate, in a northeast-southwest direction (Att. 14 .) corresponding to the above observation. It has also been noted in the Arizona porphyry copper province that in a predominant number of the productive districts, there is more than one center of mineralization, and are in some cases, numerous centers which contain economic mineralization. Examples of this would include the Silver Bell district, the Safford district, the Pima district, and the Globe-Miami district. It is conceivable then, that there may be other centers of porphyry copper type mineralization located along the alteration trend in addition to the exposures at Robbers Roost. The circular Tombstone basin area in fact could possibly represent a porphyry copper center with the copper zone being at great depth below the present horizon of erosion. Certainly circular features are also typical of porphyry copper deposits as exemplified by

Copper Creek and Copper basin near Prescott, Red Mountain at Patagonia, and the Safford district (verbal communication, Grover Heinrichs).

Geologic interpretation as related to mineral deposits within the Tombstone district is greatly complicated by the presence of almost horizontal geologic units. These would include: (1) Quaternary soil and alluvial cover; (2) the pre-mineral Uncle Sam porphyry sill forming a thin veneer (Section A-A', Att. 3) over Mesozoic and Paleozoic sediments; (3) Bronco volcanic units, which are sub-horizontal; (4) apparently almost horizontal thrust plane(s), which involve Paleozoic, Bisbee Group, and Bronco volcanic sedimentary units; and (5) Bisbee formation and Paleozoic limestone units of unknown orientation. Because of the greatly differing chemical composition and reactivity of these various units to ascending hydrothermal solutions, and uncertainties as to the presence or absence of these features in any one given spot, interpretation of surface exposures of alteration and mineralization--or lack of it--are made extremely difficult. For example, strongly altered vein systems in Uncle Sam porphyry could be indicative of great potential for substantial bodies of replacement type mineralization, if the Uncle Sam is underlain by reactive limestone units. On the other hand, should such reactive units be absent, the presence of hydrothermal veining may have no significance directly relating to economic mineralization lying below. The corollary of this might be; if areas of poorly altered Uncle Sam porphyry, which would seem to have essentially no potential for mineralization, were underlain by a thick sequence of reactive lime, presence

of the lime--which tends to react vigorously with hydrothermal solutions--might be indicated by the very absence of hydrothermal effects in the Uncle Sam porphyry. It is obvious then that a very thorough understanding of the detailed geologic aspects of the district will be necessary before a meaningful porphyry copper drilling program can be laid out. The extensive amount of pyritic mineralization as indicated by the coloration on the color air photos suggests that induced polarization surveys, which merely show the presence or absence of pyritic mineralization, will be of little use in determining areas which have greater content of base and precious metals. However, because of the presence of Paleozoic and Mesozoic limy sediments throughout the district, there appears to be great potential for the occurrence of significant replacement ore bodies within these limy units. Since magnetite generally accompanies this type of mineralization, magnetic surveying might be a useful guide. It should be remembered, however, that magnetic response falls off as the inverse square of the distance from the magnetic source and thus, small bodies with low magnetic susceptibility if even moderately buried, show little or no magnetic response, even though they may carry significant ore grade mineralization. The most reliable guide to ore within the district will be an intimate knowledge of the geologic and alteration features of the district, both on the surface and related to the subsurface.

SURFACE GEOLOGY IN THE STATE OF MAINE AREA

GENERAL STATEMENT

The major part of the writer's time has been spent in detailed mapping within the State of Maine area in order to delineate geologic targets that would relate to production anticipated from the old State of Maine workings and processing of gob from the old stopes. Since potential was for relatively small, but rich bonanza type ore bodies, it was felt that a very detailed map would be required. The first order of business, then was to obtain an accurate topographic map at a scale of 1"=200' with 5-foot contour intervals. The base triangulation survey for this map was surveyed by Florian and Collins, Civil Engineers of Tucson, Arizona. Control was tied to the State survey, using the benchmark at the public library in Tombstone. Primary control points were surveyed using theodolites and a Hewlett-Packard distance measuring device. The survey is first order in nature and adheres to minimum Government specifications. In addition to the primary control points, all identifiable claim monuments and posts were targeted with white, 24-inch wide butcher paper, in the form of a "Y" with the monument in the center and legs extending outward 10 feet in length. In addition to claim monuments, fence corners, other property boundaries of interest, and some power poles were thus targeted. Although no accurate count was kept, probably at least 200 points were so identified. The area was then flown by Cooper Aerial Survey and photographed with black and white film using a Wild RC-10 mapping camera. The map was compiled using Kelsh plotters.

Each of the targeted claim monuments and other points of interest were surveyed on the Kelsh with their location and elevation being noted to the nearest 1/2 foot. Thus, in addition to the topographic lines, there are numerous permanent points of reference scattered throughout the map area. Patent corners of the patented claims were thus accurately located and claim lines were plotted on the map. Topographic features were scribed on mylar scribcoat and a screened mylar, right-reading base map sheet was then photographically reproduced from the scribcoat master. The scribcoat master remains on file at Cooper Aerial, and additional mylar copies can be made at any time.

Geologic features were plotted on this topographic base and black and white photos at the same scale were used as an assist to mapping. The technique of geologic outcrop mapping was employed. That is; only features that were actually seen in outcrop were plotted on the map. Little or no interpretive material has been added. Further, actual rock outcrops are shown in darker color (Att. 1) while talus-covered slopes on which bedrock was indicated by presence of only one type of rock detritus are indicated by lighter colors. For the most part, it was impossible to trace small vein or dike features through areas of detrital soil cover. To aid in exploration, numerous bulldozer roads and cuts were put in, an effort being made to anticipate future drill sites. More of the dozer cut work was laid out than has been completed as of this writing because of unanticipated breakdowns in the bulldozer equipment. As more dozer cuts and roads are made, it is probable that more

vein exposures and hence a better knowledge of the area will be gained.

In addition to the surface geologic mapping, the black and white air photos were examined stereoscopically and linear features identified. These linear features are shown on Attachment 1 as heavy dashed blue lines. They probably represent fault or shear zones of substantial magnitude, although their effect can rarely be seen on the ground.

SEDIMENTARY ROCKS

Quaternary Alluvium

Quaternary alluvium consisting, for the most part of stream wash, is located in valley bottoms. The thickest accumulations of Quaternary alluvium occurs in the north-trending drainage directly east of the Free Coinage claim where it is probably ten to a few tens of feet thick. In this area, it obscures the contact between Bisbee Group sediments and Uncle Sam porphyry. It is locally up to 15 or more feet thick in the Fox Ranch area as indicated by scraper cuts. However, in the remaining wash areas it is probably 5 feet or less in thickness. The contact of the alluvium with bedrock is generally arbitrary and marked with a dashed line. There was insufficient time to map in detail all of the small outcrops within the stream drainage areas marked Quaternary alluvium on the map. In the Fox Wash area in particular, there are numerous windows of bedrock sticking through alluvium, which are not shown on Attachment 1. As time is available, the Project Geologist should endeavor to detail the outcrops in this area as it may shed light on alteration and mineralization patterns. Since the stream drainages are

obviously structurally controlled, they are probably also the loci of veins which may carry significant mineralization and for this reason they should not escape continued effort at detailed mapping. This is particularly true of the northeast-trending drainage directly below the State of Maine dump. In this area several caved shafts have penetrated alluvium and strongly altered Uncle Sam porphyry can be seen on their dumps. To test this drainage, I have suggested the drilling of several air-track holes (See Att. 1).

Bisbee Group Sediments

The great preponderance of sedimentary outcrops within the bounds of the State of Maine 200-scale map are nondescript Bisbee Group sediments--probably equivalent of the Morita and Cintura formations as described in the Bisbee area. The sediments can generally be characterized as red bed units consisting of sandstones, quartzites, and arkosic sandstones, shaley mudstones, and shales (Figs. 3&5). Over most of their exposures within the map area, these sediments are soil covered; rock type indicated only by detrital fragments. Because of this rapid weathering to soil, few exposures show sufficient bedding to determine strike and dip. Where seen, divergent attitude of bedding precludes meaningful comment regarding the detail structure of Bisbee Group sediments in this area (Att. 16). It is suggested by regional aspects, however, that the beds are generally tilted to the east so that by progressing in a westerly direction, the base of the unit is approached. This idea is reinforced by the presence of limestones cropping out north-northwest of the Free Coinage claim (about 1,600 feet north of the Uncle Sam shaft)




FIGURE 5.—Composite section of the Bisbee formation in the Tombstone mining district. After Lyden, O'Donnell, Herson, and Higdon (unpublished mine rept., 1917).

The lowest and thickest is as much as 40 feet thick in places. These six beds of blue-gray and silty limestone contain abundant marine fossils. The formation contains at least two other beds of limestone 2 miles to the southwest; these contain fresh-water faunules. About 2 miles east of Dragoon Camp (Black Diamond), several thin beds of blue-gray shaly limestone occur in the Bisbee formation at a horizon that is many hundreds of feet stratigraphically higher (with respect to the local base of the formation) than these southern beds. The limestones near Dragoon Camp also contain a marine fauna, but so poorly preserved as to be of little service in correlation. The fauna is principally of interest because it shows the persistence, at least at times, of marine conditions during the deposition of the Bisbee formation as far north as Dragoon Camp.

Everywhere in the area the top of the Bisbee formation is an erosion surface, either ancient or recent. Accordingly, even if exposures were much better and structural complexities much less, it would be impossible to determine the original thickness of the formation. Under these conditions it is possible only to estimate the minimum thickness of the rocks. A careful study of the much-faulted and metamorphosed strata exposed in the mining district at Tombstone has been made by Messrs. J. P. Lyden, R. M. Hernon, Neil O'Donnell, and C. E. Higdon, who kindly supplied the following composite generalized section, synthesized from many partial sections measured in the Tombstone district.

Generalized composite section, Bisbee formation, Tombstone Hills.

Erosion surface.

| | <i>Feet</i> |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Sandstone and shale, alternating; a few 10-foot limestone conglomerate beds; shale members chiefly red or maroon; sandstone beds buff to brown, a few gray or white; sandstone members range from 20 to 170 feet in thickness, predominate over the shale..... | 1,040± |
| 2. Sandstone, buff, gray, and white, some interbedded gray-green hard shale; thick bedded..... | 220 |
| 3. Shale, gray to green, hard and siliceous, a few thin buff sandstone beds..... | 540 |
| 4. Sandstone, buff, white, and brown, a few green shale beds, at least one thin bed of limestone..... | 422± |
| 5. Shale, green and bluish, some conglomerate..... | 58 |
| 6. Limestone, massive, blue, cherty..... | 25 |
| 7. Shale, green, mottled red and green, brown, and yellow..... | 345 |
| 8. Limestone..... | 10 |
| 9. Shale, some sandy beds..... | 29 |
| 10. Shale and limestone, alternating in thin beds..... | 15 |
| 11. Shale, greenish, some limy beds..... | 30 |
| 12. Limestone..... | 5 |
| 13. Shale, poorly exposed..... | 53 |
| 14. Limestone..... | 4 |
| 15. Shale, gray, green, and black..... | 43 |
| 16. Sandstone, yellow..... | 9 |
| 17. Shale, red and brown..... | 65 |
| 18. Shale, black..... | 14 |

| | |
|---|--------|
| 19. Shale, green and gray, siliceous..... | 42 |
| 20. Limestone, "Ten-foot bed" of miners..... | 10 |
| 21. Shale, with arkose at base..... | 24 |
| 22. Limestone, "Blue limestone" of miners..... | 34 |
| 23. "Novaculite," silicified shale, local intercalations of limestone conglomerate..... | 60 |
| Total..... | 3,097± |

The above section cannot be considered accurate because it represents the synthesis of at least four partial sections, the correlations between which are all dubious. Nevertheless, as it was based on very detailed and careful work, there can be little doubt that it is as fair a representation of the stratigraphy of the formation at Tombstone as it is possible to give with the present exposures. It is shown graphically in figure 5. The formation elsewhere in the area is lithologically much the same.

No effort was made to measure a section of the Bisbee formation in the Dragoon Mountains; but from the dips and width of outcrop, it can be seen that there is about 15,000 feet of Bisbee rocks in the section northeast of Walnut Springs, where neither base nor top is exposed. This thickness, though large, is not surprising, as the aggregate thickness of the Bisbee group in the Mule Mountains was measured by Ransome (1904, p. 56) as 4,750 feet, with the top eroded. In the Little Hatchet Mountains, N. Mex., 80 miles to the east, Lasky (1938, p. 524-540) has found a section of Comancha rocks over 17,000 feet thick, of which fully 15,000 feet are of late Trinity (Glen Rose) age. The thinning of the Mural limestone northward from the Bisbee area does not, of course, imply the northward thinning of the clastic rocks above and beneath it. At any rate, whatever the a priori probabilities, the consistent attitudes and gradual changes in strike and dip of the section exposed northeast of Walnut Springs strongly oppose the idea that this section has been greatly repeated by faulting, despite the structural complexities of the mountains to the west.

CONDITIONS OF DEPOSITION


The Bisbee formation contains a few beds of definitely marine origin, at least as far north as the foothills east of Black Diamond Peak. On the other hand, fresh-water fossils have been found in the formation between Charleston and the Tombstone Hills. The fossils are confined to a few thin beds, and the great bulk of the rocks are unfossiliferous.

The sandstone beds are commonly current-bedded, with scour on their bases, ripple marks, and considerable grit or even fine conglomerate, and thus give evidence of shallow water at the time of their deposition. The mudstones are generally red, brown, maroon, or

and also exposed in the window in the Fox Ranch area (Att. 1). These limestone units are probably corelative of either the Ten Foot or the Blue limestone (Fig. 5). Further evidence of this is suggested by the presence of a quartzite pebble conglomerate, exposed in the Fox Ranch window. This conglomerate is probably the Glance conglomerate. In most of the Tombstone area the Glance is not present; however, as shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5, Gilluly and other workers in the district do show the Glance to be present, at least locally. In a small outcrop 2,000 feet north-northwest of the Uncle Sam shaft (at the site of P-17) there is exposed bleached quartzite breccia, which may be the equivalent of the Novaculite. Similar limestones appear to be absent in the Solstice Hill area and thus, although not conclusive because of small outcrops and structural complexities, it is presumed that the limestones exposed north of the Uncle Sam shaft and in the Fox Creek window are basal Bisbee formation --a critical point since this implies that Paleozoic Naco limestone should be present within a short distance, either horizontally or vertically (see Sections A through I, Atts. 3-11).

Paleozoic Sediments

No Paleozoic sediments have been mapped within the State of Maine area 200 scale map, Attachment 1. Geologic relations indicating that lower Bisbee sediments are exposed in the central part of the mapped area (as discussed above) suggest that Paleozoic sediments should be located shallowly beneath the lower Bisbee in the Fox Ranch area. Further, it is possible that Paleozoic limes may surface beneath the Uncle Sam sill as shown in Sections B through I (Atts. 4-11).



CORRELATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIAN AND PERMIAN ROCKS WITH
THOSE OF THE DRAGON QUADRANGLE, ARIZONA

971235 O - 86 - 1 (in pocket)

Based on section measurements by J. R. Cooper and J. Giluly, paleontologic work by J. S. Williams

IGNEOUS ROCKS

Schieffelin Granodiorite

The Schieffelin granodiorite is a holocrystalline rock. In hand specimen it is light greenish-gray or pinkish-gray and mildly porphyritic (Gilluly, p. 103), weathering to a buff color. Petrographically, it is intermediate between quartz monzonite and granodiorite and could easily be called a quartz monzonite (Gilluly, p. 102). No outcrops of this rock were mapped within the State of Maine area, although outcrops could occur in the poorly covered area to the north and northwest of the Free Coinage claim. A complete petrographic description is given in Gilluly, p. 103.

Rhyolite Dikes

Several discontinuous rhyolite porphyry dikes crop out in the central part of the mapped area and can be traced from the area of the Gold Bug prospect to the north end of the Clipper claim. The dikes generally have a steep northwesterly dip, although in the Brother Jonathan area one dip of 42° is recorded. Flow structure generally parallels the walls of the dikes. However, a large dike on the Clipper claim shows turbulent flow structure. The dike outcrops are generally limonite-stained from disseminated pyrite content and are occasionally cut by vein structures. The spatial relationship of the rhyolite porphyry dike swarm to the productive part of the State of Maine vein suggests some subtle relationship to mineralization. What this is, however, is not clear and numerous assays of dike material show only background amounts of base and precious metals.

Andesite Porphyry Dikes

Andesite porphyry dikes were mapped on the State of Maine map in only one area which is slightly south and west of the Gold Bug prospect. Dikes of the same type, however, were observed south of the southwest endline of the Chance claim. Lack of time, however, precluded detailed mapping in this area. Similar dikes are very prevalent in the Robbers Roost breccia pipe area. The dike rock consists of a dark-green chloritic looking matrix, in which are set white feldspar phenocrysts. The dikes are pre-mineral in age and also predate the rhyolite porphyry. In the one andesite dike mapped southwest of the Gold Bug area, rhyolite porphyry invades both the hanging wall and foot wall of the dike and is younger in age as indicated by spherical xenoliths of andesite porphyry in the rhyolite.

Uncle Sam Quartz Latite Porphyry

Uncle Sam quartz latite porphyry comprises the largest area of outcrop within the State of Maine area. The high peaks of Three Brothers Hill, the Dome, Main Hill, and Uncle Sam Hill are all composed of the Uncle Sam porphyry.

The porphyry has an aphanetic ground mass with phenocrysts of quartz and plagioclase feldspar. Xenoliths of Bisbee Group sediments are prevalent throughout its exposures and where it is in contact with the underlying Cretaceous Bisbee Group, the xenolith content increases and the rock appears to almost grade into the sediments. The tops of the higher hills appear to be composed of a more resistant unit of the Uncle Sam. It is unclear whether this is a primary rock feature or a secondary

alteration feature. Preliminary examination of color air photos covering the area shows light coloration, possibly due to a horizontal alteration front, occurring at an approximate equi-elevation line in the Uncle Sam. The suggestion is that the hard capping facies of the Uncle Sam atop the prominent ridges is then due to alteration rather than a primary rock feature.

The Uncle Sam shows sill-like relations in most of the State of Maine area (Atts. 4-11). However, its contacts with the Bisbee Group, approximately 600 feet northeast of the northeast sideline of the Merrimac claim, appears to be vertical as indicated by its lack of deviation across steep contours in the area. At the northern exposure of the State of Maine vein, 1,100 feet north of the Uncle Sam shaft, the exposure appears to be flat and sill-like, again indicated by topography while a couple of hundred feet north, it again appears to dip steeply. It is concluded that while in gross aspect, most of the Uncle Sam exposure in the State of Maine area shows sill-like relations; in all probability there are areas which are feeder dikes and as such, have continuity in depth.

STRUCTURAL FEATURES OF THE STATE OF MAINE AREA

General Statement

Structural features within the State of Maine area can be broken down into two broad categories--steeply dipping features and horizontal and sub-horizontal features. Steeply dipping features which can be easily traced and mapped on the surface would include veins, vein zones, dikes, post mineral faults

and photo-linears. Horizontal and sub-horizontal features would include thrust fault planes, bedding and fault planes with an angle of dip of less than 20 degrees and the basal contact of the Uncle Sam porphyry. These features are either poorly exposed or not exposed at the surface, and can only be inferred from detailed surface geologic mapping. Only the steeply dipping features will be discussed in this section, while the low angle features will be discussed under the heading Sub-Surface Geology, State of Maine Area.

Vein Zones

The strongest direction of structural shearing within the Tombstone Mining District is north approximately 55 degrees east. This is the typical northeast fracturing direction which is invariably seen in the Arizona porphyry copper deposits. The shearing is represented by topographic alignments of ridges and stream drainages, by rhyolite dikes, and andesite dikes, and by the vein system which is responsible for most of the mineralization within the district. In the Tombstone Basin, northeast of the north-trending Ajax fault, these northeast trending fractures dip to the southeast, while in the State of Maine area, west of the Ajax fault, most of the veins dip to the northwest. The exception to this observation is the Fox vein which dips southeasterly at about 50 degrees. Right lateral movement along the northeast trending veins is suggested by antithetic faults occurring along the shallowly dipping State of Maine structure and the Clipper vein zone (Att. 1). The strongest antithetic structure is the Triple X vein which appears to be continuous between the State of Maine vein and the Clipper vein zone. Apparent

antithetic structures along the San Pedro vein also suggest right lateral movement.

One fracture zone within the State of Maine area trends almost north-south with a vertical dip. This is the San Pedro vein just north of the Fox Ranch. The vein appears to bend to the northeast where it intersects the Fox vein and continues in a northeasterly-trending arc through the San Pedro workings, and is lost in the alluvium to the East.

Dikes

Two types of dike rock crop out within the State of Maine area. The most predominant type being rhyolite with only a few exposures of subordinate andesite being seen. The dikes are probably related closely in time and are both pre-mineral. The andesite predates the rhyolite as is indicated southwest of the Gold Bug area where a composite rhyolite-andesite dike shows spherical xenoliths of andesite in rhyolite. Discontinuous and irregular outcrop patterns of the rhyolite suggest intrusion into tension fractures.

Post-Mineral Faults and Photolinears and Topographic Alignments

Surface evidence of significant post-mineral faulting has only been seen in a few areas. A possible fault was noted in the southwest corner of the May claim, apparently being responsible for a bold ridge of Uncle Sam porphyry a few hundred feet long. One small probable left lateral fault was noted a few feet southwest of the Triple X shaft. This fault apparently offsets a rhyolite dike. A few small strike-slip faults were noted in the window in the Fox Ranch area, offsetting limestone

beds in the Bisbee sediments. The most significant fault could not be identified in the field, yet is indicated by its left lateral offset of the composite andesite-rhyolite dike southwest of the Gold Bug prospect. This linear appears to correspond with a poorly defined structure visible on aerial photographs. The structure can be traced on the color air photos approximately 4000' to the south, but apparently terminates against another photoliner northwest of the Gold Bug area (Att. 1).

Topographic alignments, which have not been specifically delineated on Attachment 1 except in the case of the Fox Wash zone, probably also represent structural features. The washes probably represent vein zones along which there may have been post mineral movement; at any rate they are the least resistant areas of rock exposure, and alteration generally appears stronger along their trend. Preliminary examination of the color air photos shows red coloration localized along the drainages while absence of this coloration on the ridge tops suggests fresh resistant rock.

Examination of the 1"=200" enlargements of the black and white photographs reveals linears which are shown on Attachment 1 as heavy dashed blue lines. The linears are for the most part topographic, vegetation or small drainage alignments, and cannot generally be seen from the ground. They appear to be post mineral and one of the most prominent, a north-trending feature traceable for in excess of a mile north of Fox Wash, appears to make a right lateral offset in the Fox Wash vein zone. The photoliner which trends east-west and cuts through the top of the State of Maine hill (Att. 1) projects through the State of Maine

shaft and essentially parallels the State of Maine wash which is alluvial covered. Dump rock on old caved prospect shafts along this wash show fragments of strongly altered Uncle Sam porphyry. The intersection of the structure with the State of Maine shaft suggests that it may be pre-mineral and may have had some influence on mineralization. For the most part, however, it still appears most of these features are post-mineral and may be quite recent. Except in the case of the fracture which offsets the Gold Bug area dike, there is no way at present to measure their dynamic effect on the rocks in the area. It may be, however, that these features bound structural blocks which have been displaced in a vertical sense, either up or down in relation to each other. For this reason, they may have an important bearing on the spatial positions of ore bodies within the area and thus their correct interpretation may be of economic significance. Knowledge of their location may be critical in correct interpretation of drill-hole data.

MINERALIZATION

Hydrothermal solutions associated with vein mineralization in the area appear to be mesothermal in nature. Alteration along the veins in the Uncle Sam porphyry consists of emplacement of pyrite, minor galena, possibly some sphalerite and primary manganese minerals. Wall rock has been silicified to varying degrees and alteration to clay and sericite has taken place in the reactive feldspars. Where alteration and vein intensity is greatest, sericite is dominant, while in poorly altered vein areas, argillization is the primary effect. Pyrite

is represented at the surface by jarosite and red and yellow limonites. In the most strongly altered veins, maroon, red "relief" or "live limonite" is present on fractures. In the most poorly altered areas, occasional suggestions of pseudomorphs of pyrite are seen. All of the dumps in the area, with the exception of the San Pedro dump show only oxidized material. Examination of the sulfide bearing fragments on the San Pedro dump show them to be intensely bleached and altered Uncle Sam porphyry with finely disseminated white pyrite along siliceous fractures and disseminated through the rock. Accessory gangue minerals in the veins consist of silica and some manganese. Barite is seen only in the Gold Bug area. Manganese appears to be more prevalent in the San Pedro area with lower amounts being seen in the Gold Bug, Lowell, and State of Maine areas. No primary manganese minerals have been identified in the State of Maine area to the knowledge of the writer.

The only silver mineral which has been identified within the area is bromyrite (AgBr). It is a pistachio green, waxy mineral which occurs in the oxide zone on fracture planes and is termed horn silver by the local miners. It is essentially the equivalent of cerargyrite (AgCl) and can only be differentiated by chemical analysis. The probable source of the silver is argentiferous galena. Numerous assays show a strong geochemical presence of lead ranging up to multiple thousands of parts per million. The lead is probably present as cerussite, or anglesite, but no specimens of these minerals have been identified as yet. Silver is probably also tied up as argentojarosite or plumbojarosite and in the manganese oxide minerals.

In spite of very careful observation of the rock in several hundred assay samples, there appears to be no way of judging silver content by eyeballing the rock, unless horn silver is present, in which case high assays can be anticipated. Traces of copper oxide were seen in the San Pedro area and also the dump of the Brother Jonathon shaft, but no copper sulfides have been noted.

Mineralization in the sediments consists of silicification and disseminated limonite after pyrite. There also appears to be a greater manganese content than in the Uncle Sam porphyry. Alteration is less noticeable, possibly because argillic and sericitic alteration of the Uncle Sam porphyry yields white bleached zones which have been subsequently stained red and orange by limonite while in the sediments alteration has been confined to silicification and a rather minor amount of limonite staining.

The veins in the State of Maine area can best be described as vein zones rather than discreet sharp-sided vein features consisting of emplaced hydrothermal minerals. Put another way, they are actually narrow alteration zones with numerous discreet fractures, all sub-parallel, along which mesothermal solutions have traversed, altering the rock present, and depositing base and precious metal sulphides, silica, and in some areas manganese and barite. Subsequent weathering has resulted in oxidation of the sulphides and deposition of various limonites and oxide minerals.

The most significant feature of the veins in the State of Maine area is their width. The State of Maine vein itself

appears to be only about 15 feet wide, however, the Gold Bug vein zone is silicified and strongly altered over width of about one hundred feet and shows moderate to strong alteration over a width of approximately 300'. The Fox Wash vein zone is approximately 100' wide and sub-parallel fracture zones associated with the Fox Wash vein zone appear to be up to 300' in maximum dimension. The north-trending San Pedro vein in the area of the Fox Ranch windmill is intensely altered over a width of 30' and shows moderate to strong alteration over a width of approximately 20 to 30 feet. A parallel structure which is intensely silicified but has not been mined, shows a width of 10 to 25 feet. A zone southeast from the San Pedro shaft (in the area of Hole P-12) shows disseminated sub-parallel fracture zones over a width of approximately 400 feet. This zone apparently continues across alluvial cover to intersect the north-trending San Pedro vein in the area of hole P-9.

In the Three Brothers shaft area (the vicinity of hole P-10) altered rock containing sub-parallel fractures is approximately 300' wide. Throughout the general area of the True Blue claim, Three Brothers shaft, San Pedro and Fox veins, the Uncle Sam porphyry shows sub-parallel and intersecting veining, the rock being pervasively altered over an area approximately 400 to 700 feet in width and about 1500' in length. In the area of the Lowell claim, a vein zone which may be the extension of the State of Maine vein, alters rock over a width of up to 200', and a length of three or more hundred feet. The Clipper Free Coinage claims are located on what the writer has termed the Clipper Zone. This zone consists of sub-parallel fractures showing

weak- to strong-hydrothermal alteration over a width of from 20 feet to about two hundred feet and a length of at least 3500 feet.

The width and intensity of mineralization of these veins suggest greater volume and intensity of mineralization than that present in the Tombstone Basin area. Further, when it is considered that these vein structures are underlain by reactive limestone units, which would have the effect of diluting any ascending hydrothermal solutions, their apparent potential is further emphasized. Since they appear to be closer to the source (the Robbers Roost porphyry copper center) it would be reasonable to assume a greater intensity of mineralization than that present in the Tombstone Basin. The best targets for ore bodies, of course, would occur where the hydrothermal vein zones intersect the chemically and structurally reactive host rocks -- the tightly folded lower Bisbee and upper Paleozoic sediments.

SUBSURFACE GEOLOGY STATE OF MAINE AREA

GENERAL STATEMENT

As mentioned previously under general geology of the district, low angle structures caused by two episodes of thrust faulting are responsible for some of the complexities of the sub-surface geology within the Tombstone mining district. The Uncle Sam porphyry which comprises the major portion of the outcrops in the western part of Tombstone Mining District is actually a very thin remnant of a sill which has probably been intruded along the plane of a pre-existing thrust fault. This fact is verified by several windows of sediments peeking from beneath the sill and also the intersection of Bisbee Group sediments in the bottom of the State of Maine shaft. The low angle of this structure is also attested to by its semi-circular outcrop on its eastern edge caused by topographic effects. Recent color aerial photography reveals a probable fault of normal displacement paralleling the San Pedro River which is not shown in any pre-existing geologic map. This fault or faults are most probably downthrown on the west side, tending to give the impression of greater thickness of the Uncle Sam porphyry than is the probable case. One outcrop of Permian Colina limestone on the northwest edge of May's Hill (on the up-side of the fault) indicates the relative thinness of the Uncle Sam. Were it not for the normal faults occurring to the west of this outcrop, Bisbee Group sediments and Paleozoic limes might also be exposed along the western margin of the Uncle Sam porphyry to the west of Uncle Sam Hill. Examination of cross section A-A' which is drawn at 1"=1000' (Att. 3) shows

the true thickness of the Uncle Sam as related to its surface contacts and elevations of windows which the writer has located and mapped. To further complicate the vertical picture, the pre-Uncle Sam, pre-thrust Bronco volcanic series also forms a thin veneer over Bisbee Group sediments which in turn overly, at apparent low angles, Paleozoic sediments. All of the planar formations which predate the Uncle Sam porphyry (including the Bronco volcanics, the Bisbee Group and Paleozoic sediments) have been involved in thrust faulting. How many layers of thrust sheets are present is not known. Thus, good ore horizons which would include basal Paleozoics and basal Bisbee Group sediments, could lie either near the surface or at great depth depending on their involvement with thrust and other faults. The only method of determining what the true layer cake nature of the district is would be additional detailed geologic mapping at a scale of 2000 or possibly 1000 feet per inch followed up by exploratory drilling.

THICKNESS OF THE UNCLE SAM QUARTZ LATITE PORPHYRY

In order to determine what the sub-surface beneath the Uncle Sam porphyry in the State of Maine area was like, eight structural cross sections--Sections B through I (Atts. 4-11) were constructed from the surface geologic map (Att. 1). On these sections, the vertical scale is equal to the horizontal scale so that no graphic distortion is involved. All surface contacts and strike and dip information were used on these maps. On each cross section, apparent angles of dip were plotted from tables dependent on their angle of intersection with the plane of the section. Thus, features such as surface contacts, angles

of strike and dip, locations of proposed drill holes, geographic features and projections of planar features such as dikes and veins can be considered real and accurate. Curving features such as bedding, folds, etc. were drawn in using general background knowledge of the district. They must be considered only typical of what could be found in the sub-surface but it should be understood that sub-surface data are at this point too scanty to allow the accurate projection of such complex features as folded sediments and true contacts of curving features. The approximate thickness of the Uncle Sam porphyry in various places is plotted from its surface contacts and the intersection of the State of Maine shaft with the Bisbee Group sediments. Additional data used in plotting the possible location of the bottom contact of the Uncle Sam include: verbal report of intersection of sediments in the bottom of the Escapule drillhole southeast of the Fox Ranch windmill (reported to have cut sediments at approximately 90 feet), exposures of sediments in windows in the Uncle Sam sill to the west of Sections D, C, and B, and to the south of F, G, and H.

Using these data, it is apparent that the thickness of the Uncle Sam ranges from several hundred feet from the tops of the highest hills to a few tens of feet in the bottoms of the washes. The thin areas would be exemplified by the San Pedro Mine area where the porphyry appears to be 300 feet or less in thickness (Section F-F'). It should be remembered, however, that since the Uncle Sam is an intrusive rock, it could very easily have an undulating contact with the underlying sediments. Thus, the geologic projections from surface outcrops


can only generalize its true angle of contact and it may thicken and thin in a complex fashion. Another factor may be important in determining the true thickness of the Uncle Sam from spot to spot are the photo linears indicated by heavy blue dashed lines on Attachment 1. As mentioned previously, they may define fault blocks which have been randomly jumbled up and down so that one block may have been downdropped considerably, giving an apparent increase in thickness to the Uncle Sam, while an adjacent block may have been relatively upthrown, thus giving a thin aspect to the sill. There are, however, enough exposures of sediments in the various windows to suggest that the thicknesses of the sill displayed on Sections B through I are probably fairly accurate.

STRUCTURE OF SEDIMENTARY ROCKS BENEATH THE UNCLE SAM SILL

The Bisbee Group sediments are rather massive nondescript sandstones, siltstones, and mudstones over most of their exposure with the State of Maine area. However, north and east of the Free Coinage claim, and in the Fox Ranch area, marker horizons which show structure are exposed. These marker horizons are limestone beds which may be the equivalent of either the Blue limestone occurring near the base of the Bisbee, or the so-called Ten-Foot limestone occurring slightly above the Blue limestone. Mapping of the sediments exposed in the window on the north end of the State of Maine vein show they are warped into a tight anticline plunging to the East. The type of fold and direction of plunge appears to be the equivalent of folds within the Tombstone Basin. It is preliminarily concluded that they were due to the same tectonic forces. In the Fox Ranch window there are exposed two limestone beds and one bed of

conglomerate. It is assumed the conglomerate is the Glance conglomerate, and thus the limestones appear to be overturned. Section G-G' shows this bedding sequence to represent a recumbent fold. Several other fold structures might be proposed to explain the geometry of the exposed features. However, until more data are acquired by drilling, the recumbent fold seems to fit the general geologic environment as well as any. Remembering that at least two events of folding and thrust faulting occurred in this area, it is quite probable that folds developed during the first episode were again folded during the second episode, thus creating extremely complex fold surfaces (Figs. 6 through 9).

It was assumed in drafting the cross sections that the folds were generally trending northwest-southeast as they are in the Tombstone Basin and would be similar to that known within the Tombstone Basin. Considerable artistic license was used in diagrammatically showing what the subsurface sediments might be like and no attempt was made for each cross section to adhere exactly to what had been portrayed in the other cross sections as far as the folded sediments are concerned. Instead, an attempt was made to illustrate various possibilities. It should, however, be noted that on Sections B, C, and D rather open structures were portrayed which would be the case if the sections were indeed drawn obliquely through northwest-southeast-trending folds. Thus, the projections of the veins, dikes, and Uncle Sam contact is probably accurate as it exists. However, the locus of intersection of veins with potentially reactive sediments is only generalized, and is not presented as



Figs. 16a and 16b.—Superposed cylindrical folding with oblique axes, as drawn by Weiss, showing stereographic projections of the bedding and fold axes (from Weiss, 1959, Fig. 5). 16c and 16d.—Superposed deformation of early lineated folds (from Ramsay, 1960, Figs. 1 and 2). Axes and directrices are oblique.

B, C, the sections parallel to the respective fold systems are shown in his Plate IVA and traces on oblique surfaces are shown in his Plate V. Oblique intersection of superposed folds is shown in his Plate IC, IIB, C and IIIA, C and Text-figs. 1, 4, 5 and 6, which show that the resulting domes and basins have sigmoid fold axes which have right or left echelon according to the relative angles of shear of the two interfering fold limbs. It is commonly assumed that such echelon anticlines indicate wrenching transectant movements. However O'Driscoll emphasizes that nothing but vertical transport is involved in producing these sigmoid echelon patterns. Offset occurs whenever the interfering fold axes are parallel or have a common component of movement (i.e. they are not orthogonal). These offsets cause the sigmoid

patterns (offset in opposite senses on either side of the composite crest) and may cause migration of crests or culminations below an unconformity (see O'Driscoll, Text-fig. 9).

Weiss (1959) has studied the same kind of cases as O'Driscoll by statistical analysis of S-planes (see Fig. 16).

Reynolds and Holmes (1954) have investigated by field mapping and petrofabric analysis, confirmed with plasticene models, a case of overprinted folding where the strikes are orthogonal but the directrices are oblique. They have shown that under these conditions the traces on a horizontal surface (corresponding to Figs. 19 and 20 of O'Driscoll) develop trident-, heart-, stirrup- and anchor-shaped outcrops (Fig. 17).




Fig. 17.—Superposition of similar folds with orthogonal strikes and divergent directrices (from Reynolds and Holmes, 1954, Text-fig. 13). EF is the axial direction of the first folding and CD (or AB) that of the second. (a) Surface outcrops after slicing. (b) Surface outcrops on the right-hand side only, as seen at a higher level than that of (a). (c) Section of the model, before slicing off its top, cut along CD of (a) and AB of (b). AB and CD represent the levels at which (b) and (a) were respectively sliced. (d) Section of the model, before slicing off its top, cut along EF of (a). XY and EF represent the levels at which (b) and (a) were respectively sliced.

When the orthogonal axes of Reynolds and Holmes are made oblique, with the fold directrices also divergent, the same patterns are produced in a skewed form, as shown in Fig. 18. The obliquity also results in signoidal axial traces for the same reasons as in O'Driscoll's common directrix case.

In the left hand column of Fig. 18 the fold axes are at right angles to each other. In the right hand column they intersect at 30°. In Fig. 18a both folds are symmetrical but one has twice the wave length of the other. In Fig. 18b the first is overturned. In 18c the first folds are overturned but crestlines are at different heights, although the axial lines for all folds are horizontal and wave lengths are equal. Fig. 18d shows conditions identical to

direction of domal migration from f to f' depends on the attitude of the inclined surface DEF with respect to the horizontal surface ABC . Where the strike of surface DEF is parallel to BC , EF becomes horizontal and the dome f' moves in the direction of BA ; where the strike of DEF is parallel to BA , DE becomes horizontal and f' moves in the direction of BC .




Fig. 9.—The shape of a horizontal fold interference surface ($AaBbC$), transmitted vertically to an inclined surface, ($DdEeF$), results in the lateral migration of corresponding domal crests.

Fig. 8 shows a specimen of the type of card used in the models to be described. As used, the cards are unmarked except for the succession of similar fold profiles printed upon them. For the purpose of this description, notations have been added to the specimen card in Fig. 8. The similar fold profiles represent the "first" fold system, with vertical axial planes parallel to A-A and S-S, which mark the anticlines and synclines of the accentuated stratum on the card. The lines P-P mark the loci of maximum shear on the limbs of the folds. In the figure they have equidistant spacing. The line M-M represents the slopes of a larger structure on which the smaller fold profiles have been imposed. It may be regarded as a "regional" gradient or differential. For reasons already described the axes A-A and S-S are therefore not equidistantly spaced. Although terminology in these matters is not confirmed, the writer has rather loosely employed the prefixes "micro-" and "mega-" to denote scales relative to the basic elements. In this way the line M-M has been regarded as the slope of a "mega-structure" on which the basic profile is imposed. The profiles in Fig. 8 have been described as anticlines on a "meganticline" (or domes on a "mega-dome") and the line M-M has accordingly been described as defining the "mega-slope."

Plate IV (A) shows a printed card model in which the continuous interference surface of domes and basins is seen intact in the upper foreground. On the vertical plane X of the cards may be seen the printed profile of the first fold system. On the vertical plane Y is the profile representing the second fold system. Where the cut edge of a card intersects a printed fold trace, a dark spot shows on the edge, and when a stack of cards is guillotined or




Plate IV (A).—Printed card model showing interference surface intact in foreground, and truncated in background to expose traces of successive surfaces.

an estimation of their true locus in space. The true position and shape of these folded sediments will only be known after numerous holes have been drilled and detailed plots made of the subsurface information.

MINERAL POTENTIAL

Within the Quartz Latite Porphyry

Mineral potential within the Uncle Sam quartz latite porphyry might be generalized in two categories. Category 1 would consist of very near surface low-grade open pittable material. The economics would be based on the cheapness of handling and would be dependent on (a) its degree of dissemination and thus ease of bulk mining within some of the pervasively altered rock areas such as the Gold Bug prospect, the San Pedro area, or the Fox Wash area, (b) the development of a cheap method of bulk treatment such as drum leaching (as suggested by Mr. John White) or some type of cheap agitation leaching (as suggested by Mr. Nicholas Caruso), or dump leaching. Category 2 would be relatively high-grade supergene oxide type ore shoots such as were mined in the State of Maine workings. These would consist of bromyrite (horn silver) in fissures ranging from a few feet to possibly as wide as 15 feet. It is apparent from numerous samples that the surface material is strongly leached, and that silver mineralization begins some several feet below the present erosion surface. It is possible that richer supergene ore bodies might be found at the water table interface along vein structures such as the State of Maine, Gold Bug, San Pedro, Fox Wash, etc. Exploration for these narrow zones would be by underground methods or surface drilling using air track equipment.

Replacement Ore Bodies in Lower Bisbee Formation and Paleozoic Sediments

The writer feels the greatest potential in the State of Maine area is for intermediate size bonanza type ore bodies located below the Uncle Sam sill at intersections of veins with chemically reactive beds and favorable structural traps. In this respect, the State of Maine area is very much like the East Tintic district of Utah wherein a previously productive precious metal district was extended by correct interpretation of alteration zoning in pre-mineral cover rock. If we adhere to the hypothesis that the Robbers Roost area is a central part of a porphyry copper zone, and Tombstone and Charleston lead-zinc-silver mineralization is peripheral to this zone; then, since the State of Maine area is closer to the source, it may be reasonable to expect stronger mineralization.

As indicated on Butler, Wilson, and Rasor's Bulletin 143, cross sections Attachments 27 through 36, the best horizons in the Tombstone Basin area were the Blue limestone, the Novaculite, and the Naco formation, although ore was also encountered within the Bisbee Group redbeds. Ore was not, however, found preferentially in any one of these formations, first being in one formation to the exclusion of the others and then another. It is not clear what caused a particular horizon in one spot to be preferable. However, it may have been some combination of favorable structure and/or chemistry. It is apparent, as indicated on Attachment 36, that fractures along the crests of anticlines or "rolls" were the best locales for ore deposition. Since these structures are present within the State of Maine

area and beneath the Uncle Sam porphyry, as indicated by the outcrops north of the Uncle Sam shaft and in the Fox Ranch area, exploration drilling should be designed to delineate and test these structures.

Because of the complex sub-Uncle Sam structure in the sediments, it might not be impossible that lower Paleozoics could lie near the surface, only covered by Uncle Sam porphyry. Thus, stratigraphic units like the Devonian Martin limestone which form rich horizons in other districts may also have potential for production within the State of Maine area.

In addition to favorable stratigraphic and strato-structural targets, the intersection of strong veins may also have potential for forming important ore bodies. Examples of this would include the intersection of the Fox and San Pedro veins which on the surface, are heavily pitted by prospects (Section C-C', Att. 5). Less obvious, but possibly even more significant, would be the intersection of the Free Coinage vein and the unnamed vein (Section D-D', Att. 6, and H-H', Att. 10). Further, it can be seen by inspection that if the surface structures have continuity in depth, there is potential for 3-way intersections as exemplified by the intersection of the Triple X vein with the intersection of the Free Coinage and unnamed vein-cylinder of intersection (Section H-H', Att. 10). Further complicating the three-dimensional aspects, this three-way intersection might (or might not) fall on the crest of an anticline (or at the bottom of a syncline) within favorable (or unfavorable rocks) which would tend to enhance (or decrease) the ore making potential of the structure. It appears then, that the best exploration

tool within the State of Maine area will be detailed surface mapping, projection of strong structures to the sub-surface, determination of their loci by descriptive geometry and testing by exploration drilling. Careful logging of exploration drill holes will yield additional data regarding the geometry of faulted Mesozoic and Paleozoic sediments, changes in trend of vein and dike structures, and the gradual refinement of geologic targets. As this process of data gathering continues, a more accurate understanding of the geometry of the sub-surface features will be gained, and thus a sharpened aim of exploration drilling.

PROPOSED DRILLING PROGRAM IN THE STATE OF MAINE AREA
GENERAL STATEMENT

Two objectives are paramount within the State of Maine area. The first is to delineate near surface ore bodies within the Uncle Sam porphyry, preferably those which could be mined by open pit methods to form immediate mill feed or feed for a heap leach operation. The most rapid and efficient means of doing this will be rotary percussion drilling using an air track drill.

The other objective is to test veins below the Uncle Sam porphyry sill for potential replacement bodies within the lower Bisbee group and upper Paleozoic section. Because of the problem at hand, three primary objectives in order of their importance become obvious. These are:

1. Determination of the thickness of the Uncle Sam porphyry by drillhole intersection.
2. Determination of the thickness, approximate geometry and location of Bisbee units and their relation to underlying Paleozoic units.
3. Determination of grade of mineralization in veins within the Bisbee or upper Paleozoic sections.

Since pinpointing the exact location of potential ore bodies will be exceedingly difficult until the information points 1 and 2 are known, i.e. thickness of the Uncle Sam and location of receptive beds within the sedimentary units, it is more important to determine those two points at the expense of detailed sampling than it is to have careful sample assays of each foot of exploratory drillhole. Therefore, because of

the rapidity of drilling and the low cost, the writer suggests that air rotary drilling above the water table and water circulation or mud circulation rotary drilling below the water table, be used for the initial holes. Good samples can be obtained from the air rotary drilling, while with water circulation, these samples will be much less accurate, but will reflect rock type, and certainly strong mineralization, so that no significant ore bodies will be missed. If interesting structures are intersected, spot cores can always be taken with the drill rig. Cost of this drilling using Sierra equipment should be no more than \$3 to \$3.50 per foot, to a depth of 500 to 800 feet. This compares with a commercial cost of \$5 to \$6 per foot for comparable rotary drilling or a cost of approximately \$10 a foot for diamond drilling.

AIR TRACK DRILLING

Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of the air track drilling is to test the various vein structures present in the State of Maine area to a depth of approximately 100 feet. Surface sampling suggests that all of the values have been completely leached from the surface, and therefore the only feasible way of making rapid tests is by drilling. The air track has the capability of drilling either vertical or inclined holes to a depth of approximately 100 to 120 feet. The holes are approximately 3½ inches in diameter and are drilled by percussion with air cleaning and circulation in the hole. Progress is quite rapid and should amount to 200 to 300 feet per shift. Cost should be in the \$1 to \$2 per foot range. Drill cuttings can be

sampled every 5 feet and compiled on core boards for geologic logging.

Since ore may occur in shoots within the vein structures relatively close sampling of the veins will probably be necessary to delineate all the ore present. On Attachment 1, suggested hole locations have been indicated by black dots. These locations are spaced at approximate 100-foot intervals along the various vein structures. Determination of whether the hole should be drilled vertically or at some inclined angle--so to intersect the vein in a perpendicular fashion--can best be made in the field, and thus no attempt was made to give specific orientations. Further, exact hole placement should also be done in the field rather than adhering exactly to the locations plotted on Attachment 1. It may be that in some areas the Uncle Sam is thin enough so that the air track drilling will give some information regarding the underlying sediments. It will, therefore, be important carefully to log all of the drill cuttings within these holes in addition to the normal assay logs.

ROTARY DRILLING

Purpose and Objectives

The purpose and objectives of the 25 proposed holes plotted on Attachment 1 is to explore mapped vein structures within and below the Uncle Sam porphyry and to determine the type and structure of sediments lying below the Uncle Sam.

Sample Handling and Logging

The total sample from each hole should be collected and split down to approximately 2 quarts in volume, using a Jones type splitter. From this 2-quart sample, 1 quart should be split again and retained in permanent storage. From the other quart, a pint of assay material should be split out and approximately the same volume should be taken for construction of core boards. Atomic absorption determinations for silver, gold, lead, zinc, and copper should be made routinely on each 5-foot sample. Core boards should be made for each hole, including a panned fraction for each sample. These should be logged immediately and the results posted on cross sections so that the sub-surface work can be continually updated.

FIGURE 10

TOTAL COST OF PROPOSED DRILLING PROGRAM

SIERRA ROTARY DRILL PROGRAM

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Cost per foot to 500 feet | = \$3.50/foot | |
| " " " 500-1000 " | = 4.50/foot | |
| 25 holes 500' deep | = 12,500' @ \$3.50 | = \$43,750 |
| 12,500 ÷ 5' sample intervals | = 2,500 Assay samples | |
| Geochem Assays for Au, Ag, Pb, Zn, Cu | | |
| Hawley & Hawley Discount Price | | |
| Cu, Pb, Zn,- | \$2.10; Au, Ag - \$3.32 = \$5.42 | |
| Assay & Sample Preparation (\$1.00) | = \$6.42 x 2,500= | <u>16,050</u> |
| | | <u>\$60,675</u> |

AIR TRACK - PERCUSSION DRILL PROGRAM

| | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| 200 holes x 100' deep each | = 20,000 feet | |
| | at \$2.00/foot | = \$40,000 |
| Sampling at 5' intervals | = 4,000 samples | |
| Assay cost (with Hawley & Hawley Discount) | | |
| \$5.42 for Au, Ag, Pb, Zn, Cu + \$1.00 Sample Preparation | | |
| = \$6.42 x 4,000 | | <u>25,680</u> |
| | | <u>\$65,680</u> |

Total cost \$126,355

Objectives of Each Hole

The positions of proposed rotary drill holes are shown on the geologic map of the State of Maine area (Att. 1), and cross sections at a scale of 1"=200' (Atts. 4-11).

Hole P - 1

P-1 is located on the ridge above and to the northwest of the Brother Jonathon inclined shaft. Assuming the State of Maine vein is approximately 15 feet in thickness and dipping at approximately 40°, P-1 should cut the State of Maine vein at a depth of 195 feet to 230 feet. The hole should be drilled a minimum of 250 feet deep, and close track should be kept of cuttings near the bottom. If it continues in altered rock the hole should be deepened until fresh rock is encountered. As indicated on Section H-H' (Att. 10) the base of the Uncle Sam porphyry sill should be encountered at approximately 450 to 500 feet. It is the writer's opinion that this hole should be drilled to at least 500 feet, or until the sediments below the Uncle Sam porphyry are intersected. If these sediments show alteration, then drilling should continue at least some distance into the sediments in order to determine their character. Ideally, a core sample should be cut at the bottom of the hole.

Hole P - 2

Hole P-2 is located with respect to the intensely silicified zone at the Gold Bug prospect north of the Lowell claim. Its purpose is to test the alteration at the Gold Bug prospect and penetrate through the Uncle Sam porphyry

to determine if replacement type ore bodies are located within the underlying sediments. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet. It would be preferable to drill to a depth of 1000 feet in order to get a clear idea of the sedimentary sequence lying beneath the Uncle Sam in this area, and to test possible extension of other veins which might project toward the hole (Section F-F'). The base of the Uncle Sam porphyry should be intersected at approximately 150 feet (See Section F-F'). Between 320 feet and 400 feet it might well encounter the composite rhyolite andesite dike which crops out to the southwest of the hole location.

If the decision is made to bottom the hole at 500 feet, then it should be filled with mud so that it could possibly be re-entered at a later date. In any event, should it encounter mineralization it should be deepened until the mineralization is penetrated.

Hole P - 3

Hole P-3 is located on the Fox Wash structure. Its purpose is to test grade of mineralization within this structure in the Uncle Sam porphyry and for possible replacement mineralization in sediments beneath the Uncle Sam. The hole will probably cut the bottom of the Uncle Sam at about 240 to 300 feet, and should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet to gain information about the underlying sediments.

Hole P - 4

Hole P-4 is located to the East of the shop area on the Clipper claim, and along the Clipper structure (Att. 1, and Section I-I', Att. 11). Its purpose is to cut the zone of intersection between the Triple X vein structure and the Clipper vein structure at the interface between the sediments and the Uncle Sam porphyry. This should occur at approximately 120 feet, and drilling should be continued to a minimum depth of 500 feet in order to determine the nature of the underlying sediments (Cross Section I-I', Att. 11 and Section B-B', Att. 4).

Hole P - 5

Hole No. 5 is located 1600 feet north of the Uncle Sam shaft on the crest of an anticline in lower Bisbee Group sediments (Section H-H', Att. 10). Its purpose is to test for mineralization in favorable horizons along the crest of the anticline and also along the projection of the State of Maine vein. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet or to a depth where the Bisbee Novaculite has been penetrated, and the Naco limestone unquestionably cut. Preferably it could be drilled to a depth of 1500 feet in order to cut the zone of intersection of the Free Coinage vein and the Unnamed vein (See Section H-H'). However, this deep drilling could be postponed until the Unnamed vein and the Uncle Sam vein have been tested by Holes P-25, and P-18 in order to determine their continuity. Further, a better location might be chosen so that a deep hole could penetrate the 3-way intersection

of the Free Coinage, the Unnamed vein, and the Triple X vein structure as shown on Section H-H'. At any rate, Hole P-5, if drilled to a shallow depth, should be mud filled and capped for later re-entry.

Hole P - 6

Hole P-6 is located in the Fox Ranch area on the extension of what appears to be the San Pedro vein and collars in altered Uncle Sam porphyry. Its purpose is to test the thickness of the Uncle Sam, the tenor of the altered rock in the Uncle Sam, and to test for replacement deposits within the lower Bisbee sediments below the Uncle Sam porphyry. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet.

Hole P - 7

Hole P-7 is located in the northeast corner of the Lowell claim, and collars in altered Uncle Sam porphyry. The vein responsible for the alteration at the hole collar dips approximately 42° to the north and may be the continuation of the State of Maine vein. P-7 is designed to test the tenor of the altered Uncle Sam porphyry, the depth to the Uncle Sam sediment interface, and to determine whether there is mineralization in the sediments beneath the Uncle Sam. It should penetrate the Uncle Sam at approximately 200 feet (Section G-G', Att. 9). And should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet.

Hole P - 8

P-8 is located approximately 300 feet west of the Escapule Mill at the Fox Ranch. It is designed to test the alteration

zone which appears to parallel the Fox Wash and to penetrate the Uncle Sam sill and test the sediments lying below. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet (Section B-B', Att. 4).

Hole P - 9

Hole P-9 is located approximately 350 feet north of the Fox Ranch windmill on the San Pedro claim on the San Pedro vein at its intersection with a northwest-trending vein zone. It is designed to test the tenor of mineralization along the San Pedro vein both in the Uncle Sam porphyry and in sediments lying beneath the Uncle Sam porphyry. It should be drilled to a depth of 500 feet and should penetrate the base of the Uncle Sam at approximately 100 feet (See Section C-C', Att. 5).

Hole P - 10

Hole P-10 is located approximately 250 feet southeast of the Three Brothers shaft on the Fox vein system. P-10 is designed to test the tenor of the Fox vein alteration zone in the Uncle Sam porphyry and in the sediments beneath the Uncle Sam porphyry. The hole should penetrate the Uncle Sam at approximately 120 feet, and should be drilled a minimum depth of 500 feet. Altered rock will probably be cut for the total length of the hole.

Hole P - 11

Hole P-11 is located approximately 440 feet north of the Fox Ranch windmill, and approximately 100 feet northwest of hole P-9. It is located so as to intersect the plane

of intersection of Fox vein and San Pedro vein within Bisbee Group sediments (Section C-C', Att. 5). P-11 should penetrate the Uncle Sam at approximately 100 to 120 feet and cut the point of intersection of the two vein systems at 200 to 250 feet. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet.

Hole P - 12

P-12 is located approximately 350 feet south of the San Pedro shaft on a strong northeast-trending vein system which is in total almost 400 feet wide. P-12 is collared in what appears to be the strongest part of the vein system, and is designed to test its tenor in the Uncle Sam porphyry and in sediments which lie beneath. It should cut the Uncle Sam porphyry in the vicinity of 200 feet and should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet. It should intersect altered rock throughout its total length.

Hole P - 13

Hole P-13 is located approximately 250 feet northeast of the San Pedro shaft on the San Pedro vein zone. It is designed to test the tenor of this vein zone in both the Uncle Sam porphyry and the sediments lying beneath. It should penetrate the Uncle Sam porphyry at 250 to 300 feet and should be drilled a minimum depth of 500 feet. It should be in mineralized rock throughout its length. However, it may cut the most strongly altered part of the vein system between 10 and 40 feet. When the exact location of this hole is spotted in the field it may be

better to move it 50 to 100 feet to the south in order that a greater section of the intensely altered vein material be cut.

Hole P - 14

P-14 is located 170 feet southwest of the San Pedro shaft along the San Pedro vein and between surface stopes on that vein. Copper oxide mineralization crops out to the north of the proposed hole location. P-14 is designed to test this intensely altered vein area both in the Uncle Sam porphyry and the sediments below as seen on Section F-F' (Att. 8). It should cut the Uncle Sam porphyry at about 200 feet, and should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet.

Hole P - 15

Hole P-15 is located approximately 550 feet to the northeast of the San Pedro shaft and is collared in Quaternary alluvium. It is on the projection of the very wide San Pedro vein zone, while the north-south trending area of alluvium may represent an intersecting zone of alteration. It is designed as a further test of San Pedro vein zone, both in the Uncle Sam porphyry and in underlying sediments. It should cut the Uncle Sam porphyry at about 300 feet and should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet.

Hole P - 16

P-16 is located approximately 750 feet east of the San Pedro shaft on the projection of the alteration zone tested by P-12. P-16 is designed as another test of this alteration

zone for tenor of rock both in the Uncle Sam porphyry and in the sediments beneath. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet and should penetrate the Uncle Sam at a depth of approximately 100 feet (Section D-D', Att. 6).

Hole P - 17

P-17 is located 400 feet north of P-5 and approximately 2000 feet north of the Uncle Sam shaft. It is collared on a brecciated and strongly manganese oxide mineralized quartzite breccia, and is designed to test this zone in depth. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 300 feet, or until it penetrates the breccia zone and goes into unaltered, unmineralized rock.

Hole P - 18

Hole P-18 is located approximately 200 feet west of the Free Coinage vein system (Section D-D'). It is designed to test the grade of the Free Coinage vein system below existing workings and hopefully within the Bisbee Group sediments. It should intersect the Free Coinage vein between 120 and 170 feet. The hole should be drilled a minimum depth of 500 feet in order thoroughly to test the Bisbee Group sediments in this area.

Hole P - 19

Hole P-19 is located approximately 150 feet northwest of the quarter corner marker for sections 9 and 16, and is located on the Merrimac claim. It is designed to test the Free Coinage vein system and sediments lying beneath

that area. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet and will probably remain in altered rock over that depth.

Hole P - 20

Hole P-20 is located approximately 1000 feet north of the Uncle Sam shaft, and collars in Uncle Sam porphyry along the projection of the State of Maine vein. It is designed to test the grade of mineralization of the State of Maine vein in both the Uncle Sam and the Bisbee Group sediments which shallowly underly this location. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 300 feet.

Hole P - 21

Hole P-21 is located approximately 380 feet south-southwest of the quarter corner marker of section 9 and 16 and lies on the Merrimac claim. It is designed as another test of the strong Clipper vein system both in the Uncle Sam porphyry and underlying sediments. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet and it is expected to remain in altered rock over this entire depth.

Hole P - 22

This hole is located approximately 250 feet from the State of Maine mine office in the State of Maine canyon. It is collared in alluvium, but is designed to test for the presence of a strongly altered structure projecting along State of Maine canyon. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet and should penetrate the Uncle Sam porphyry at approximately 100 feet. If drilled to a depth of 1000

feet it would also penetrate the strong Clipper alteration zone within Bisbee Group sediments or possibly the Naco limestone (See Section I-I', Att. 11).

Hole P - 23

P-23 is located approximately 150 feet northwest of the Bonanza shaft and lies on the Red Top claim. It is designed to test the grade of mineralization along the Bonanza-Solstice-Chance vein and should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet. It should remain in altered rock over this entire distance, and will probably penetrate an andesite porphyry dike with associated mineralization at about 300 feet (See Section C-C', Att. 5).

Hole P - 24

P-24 is located approximately 700 feet northeast of the mine gate in Maine Wash and is on the projection of the Clipper vein zone. It is designed as another test of the Clipper vein zone and as another penetration of the Uncle Sam porphyry. It collars in alluvium, but should penetrate the base of the Uncle Sam at approximately 50 feet and enter Bisbee Group sediments (Section C-C', Att. 5).

Hole P - 25

P-25 is located approximately 350 feet southwest of the Uncle Sam shaft, and is designed to penetrate the State of Maine vein in this area below known workings. It should cut the State of Maine vein at approximately 280 feet which is also the base of the Uncle Sam porphyry. It should be drilled to a minimum depth of 500 feet (Section I-I', Att. 11).

Supervision and Revision of Objectives

Because present knowledge of the sub-surface is so scanty, new data obtained by drilling might radically change the nature and objectives of the ensuing program. Recognition, therefore, that objectives might change with continued drilling should be made and the drilling work should be very closely supervised from a geologic standpoint so advantage can be taken of new information. It is suggested that the geologist in charge have enough assistants so that his time will not be occupied with routine sample preparation and handling duties. Rather, he should occupy himself in continued surface mapping or of plotting drill results and updating sub-surface maps. Continued and timely updating of sub-surface information to get an accurate and complete three dimensional picture of the area will be critical to ore finding.

PORPHYRY COPPER EXPLORATION

GENERAL STATEMENT

As mentioned previously, the discovery of porphyry copper breccia pipes in the Robbers Roost area is probably the single most important discovery resulting from this study. Recently obtained color air photos which cover approximately 234 square miles of the Tombstone District and its environs, show that Tombstone, Charleston, and the Robbers Roost area are part of one continuous alteration zone which is about 11 miles long, 4 miles wide and covers approximately 42 square miles. Thus the Tombstone Mining District, rather than being an epithermal precious metal district is part of a large porphyry copper type alteration zone. Identification of the district as a porphyry copper zone allows the application of knowledge of porphyry copper zoning criteria to salient geologic features of the district. The features mapped in the Tombstone district fit very well in the general porphyry copper picture and are easily explained in that context. These features would include:

1. Laramide stocks of quartz monzonitic to granodioritic composition represented by the Schieffelin granodiorite.
2. Silver Bell-type volcanic rocks represented by the Bronco volcanic units.
3. Strong northeast grain to fault and mineralized structures.
4. A zone of intense quartz-sericite alteration accompanied by breccia pipes and porphyritic dike rocks.
5. A large, continuous zone of disseminated sulfides (approximately 42 square miles at Tombstone).
6. Metal zoning. In the Tombstone district this is indicated by the occurrence of copper near the breccia pipe center and lead, zinc, silver mineralization near the town of Tombstone and at Charleston.

Although the Tombstone district has all necessary ear marks which identify it as a porphyry copper type alteration zone, as yet there is no known economic copper mineralization. Such mineralization may be obscured and hidden by both pre- and post-mineral cover rocks, partially as a result of numerous horizontal features existing in the district including; horizontal to sub-horizontal sedimentary beds, thrust faults, and the flat sill of Uncle Sam Quartz latite porphyry. Exploration methods must take into account these features if they are to be fruitful.

GEOLOGY BETWEEN THE STATE OF MAINE MINE AND CHARLESTON

Surface Geology

The major rock type cropping out between the State of Maine and Charleston is Uncle Sam quartz latite porphyry. Over much of the area thin soil covers the porphyry while in places, it is totally obscured by alluvium (Att. 13). Drainage and topography follow the northeast trending fracture and vein zones. Cutting the Uncle Sam in a northeasterly trend are greenish andesite dikes of the same type exposed near the Gold Bug prospect and elsewhere in the district. The dikes are particularly abundant in the Robbers Roost area. Alteration shows that they are probably late pre-mineral in age and fragments of the dike rocks are occasionally seen in the breccia pipes.

Intense quartz-sericite is seen in the Robbers Roost breccia pipe area, while pervasive argillic alteration is seen in the surrounding area. Northeast trending vein zones, in places up to 100 or more feet wide, also show sericite alteration.

Bronco volcanics are exposed in Charleston Hill. They are composed of a lower laharc andesitic breccia unit equivalent of the Silver Bell andesite complex. The upper unit where seen by the writer consists of a light-colored quartz latite welded tuff which displays eutaxitic texture and is similar in appearance to the Cat Mountain rhyolite. The top of Charleston Hill is a plug of Uncle Sam quartz latite porphyry as indicated by Gilully's map (Att. 18).

To the east and southeast of the Charleston-Tombstone road Bisbee Group sediments are exposed. The writer has only briefly visited a few of these outcrops and it is not known whether the upper- or lower-Bisbee is represented in the outcrops. Gilully's map shows a nearly conformable contact between the Bisbee and Bronco volcanics which are both dipping at shallow angles to the west in this area.

Subsurface Geology

Plotting of the basal contact of the Uncle Sam quartz latite sill on carefully constructed cross sections shows the sill to be very thin over its total exposure (Section A-A', Att. 3). The rock lying beneath the Uncle Sam in all exposures seen to date is Bisbee Group sediments. Contorted bedding and the possible presence of hidden thrust faults will probably necessitate drilling to obtain data regarding depth to Paleozoic sediments, although some idea of their depth might be gained by geologic mapping.

The base of the Uncle Sam sill appears to be tilted toward the San Pedro River. Normal faulting which can be identified

on recent color air photos appears to drop the Uncle Sam down along the river, exaggerating its apparent thickness in that direction.

PROPOSED EXPLORATION PROGRAM

Photogeologic and Ground Geologic Mapping

Excellent quality color air photos over an area of 234 square miles comprising all of the Tombstone district and its environs were flown for Sierra by Cooper Aerial Photo. These photos which are at a scale of 1"=2000' feet show excellent color contrast and zones of alteration can easily be mapped using a stereoscope to observe the photos in 3 dimension. It is therefore suggested that photogeologic interpretation using the color photos be performed over the entire district and compared with existing maps. At the same time a photo alteration map should be prepared to show the geometry of alteration patterns within the area. During this mapping enough field trips should be made into the area so the photo interpreter can identify the various rock and alteration units visible on the photography. In this manner critical areas will be identified for ground follow-up. Ground reconnaissance mapping at a scale 1"=1000" should be done to plot the location of alteration zones, breccia pipes, windows in the Uncle Sam porphyry and other features which may not be visible on the color air photos. Another objective of the ground reconnaissance mapping would be to identify marker horizons in the exposed Bisbee Group sediments so that as the tectonic picture is reconstructed, some idea as to depth to receptive Paleozoic horizons may be gained.

When the broad scale characteristics of the district have been adequately mapped to define centers of mineralization, detailed mapping of the target areas should be done. Base mapping scale for the detail map(s) should be in the range of 1"=400 feet. The technique of outcrop mapping should be used and alteration features as well as structure and geology should be plotted.

Geochemistry

During the field mapping, geochemical profile lines should be run across significant features. If these initial lines show the presence of geochemical contrasts or anomalies, a gridded survey should probably be run.

Geophysics


Preliminary examination of the color air photography shows the presence of red coloration probably due to disseminated pyrite over an area of approximately 42 square miles. The induced polarization method only gives an indication of the intensity of pyritic mineralization, so therefore, IP surveys will probably be of little use in defining drilling targets.

Since it would be expected that mineralization may occur within the Paleozoic limestones or lower Bisbee Group sediments, possibly accompanied by magnetite, low level aeromagnetic surveys may be useful as might detailed ground surveys.

Drilling

The geologic mapping should have the objective of trying to determine at what depth receptive Paleozoic horizons such as the Martin limestone might be expected. Initial drilling should probably be by rotary methods to take advantage of low

cost and rapid progress. Cuttings from the drill holes should be sampled at 5-foot intervals, and the samples compiled on core boards for logging. The holes should then be logged and the data plotted on structural sections so that an accurate understanding of the sub-surface geology is obtained.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "James A. Briscoe". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

James A. Briscoe
Consulting Geologist

566



consolidated inc.

ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS PLANNERS
2301 CAMPUS DRIVE, IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92664 (714) 833-2450 (P.O. BOX 1890, NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92660)

January 14, 1974

Mr. James A. Briscoe
6418 Santa Aurelia
Tucson, Arizona 85715

Dear Jim:

Reference is made to your letter of November 29, 1973, and our subsequent telephone conversation regarding the aerial photography and photogrammetric mapping of your Milagros Project near Zacatecas, Mexico.

We have reviewed your requirements and the project maps you sent, and it would appear that color aerial photography of your overall 800 square mile area would require approximately 300 exposures at a scale of 1" = 2000', considering normal 60% overlap and 30% sidelap between strips. I feel that you should budget about \$3,500.00 for the aerial photography and processing of the color negatives. The cost of making one set of LogEtronic color contact prints, one set of black & white contact prints, and a photo index map showing the relative location of the individual contact prints would probably run about \$2,100.00 additional. If you decide to have this photography mosaicked and reproduced at a scale of 1" = 500' in the form of Cronaflex film positives or Cronapaque reproductions, I feel that you should budget the sum of about \$10,000.00 for this phase of the work. To summarize, the color aerial photography and mosaic compilation, along with delivery of the related reproductions will probably run you in the neighborhood of \$15,600.00

Tot = \$15,600

You had also requested a quotation for compilation of topographic maps at a scale of 1:2000 with one (1) meter contour interval covering areas 1 and 2, which were outlined in green. We would recommend that these areas be photographed at a scale of 1:15,000. Six exposures - a total of five stereoscopic models will be required to cover the area. It will also be necessary for you to target six control points, one approximately at each corner of the area, plus two across the middle of the area and establish horizontal and vertical control on these points. The horizontal control will have to close about 1:20,000 and the vertical control should be accurate within one-half foot. We would then extend this basic control by means of analytical aerial triangulation and establish six horizontal and vertical control points on each stereoscopic model. The topographic maps will be compiled directly at a scale of 1:2000 with a one meter contour interval using our first-order Wild A-10 stereoscopic plotters. The topographic maps will be scribed and reproduced on .004" Cronaflex

1 = 166'

567

January 14, 1974

Mr. James A. Briscoe
Tucson, Arizona

film for final delivery. The cost for mapping areas 1 and 2, based on your furnishing the necessary aerial photography and field control surveys is \$7,970.00.

1" = 500'

You also requested a price for mapping areas 3 through 7 at a scale of 1:6000 with a two (2) meter contour interval from photography at a scale of 1:24,000. After checking the size of these areas, it would appear that it would be to your advantage to have all five areas flown separately at a scale of 1:25,000.

Area 3 would require 3 exposures, 2 stereoscopic models, and 6 horizontal and vertical control points, one at each corner of the job plus two across the middle. The cost for compiling the topographic map of this area and delivering finished map sheets would run \$2,650.00.

Area 4 can be covered with 4 exposures, 3 stereoscopic models at a scale of 1:25,000, and it would be necessary to establish six horizontal and vertical control points. The cost of topographic maps and delivery of finished sheets will be \$4,500.00, based on your furnishing the aerial photography and field control.

Area 5 will require 6 exposures, 5 stereoscopic models at a scale of 1:25,000 and it will be necessary to establish 6 horizontal and vertical control points on this area similar to the foregoing areas. The cost of the topographic maps based on your furnishing the photography and field control will be \$6,000.00.

Area 6 can be covered in one stereoscopic model, 2 exposures, at a scale of 1:25,000. The area requires 4 horizontal and vertical control points and the topographic mapping will run \$2,000.00.

I am unable to give you a quotation on area 7 since you were not able to furnish a map and I do not know the configuration of the area.

Jim as you suggested, I am returning your project maps on which I have indicated on an overlay the approximate layout of the stereoscopic models and location of the required control points.

I hope that this will furnish you with the information you need.

15, 150
3-6

568

January 14, 1974

Mr. James A. Briscoe
Tucson, Arizona

I apologize for my delay in getting this information to you, but your inquiry was received at a time when we were extremely busy in the office.

Very truly yours,

DUDLEY _____

Dudley W. Line
Vice President
Photogrammetric Division

DWL/md

Enclosures.

569

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED EARTH SCIENCES

- Economic Geology
- Environmental Geoscience
- Mineral Economics
- Mining Engineering
- Mineral Processing
- Extractive Metallurgy

January 8, 1974

James A. Briscoe
6418 Santa Aurelia
Tucson, Arizona 85715


Dear Jim,

Enclosed are the U-2 internegatives for the Tombstone area, and also a reference map of the coverage I am interested in from your photography. The reference map has some field numbers on it, which you can ignore.


I hope the internegatives will be useful to you. It is important to tell the processor that these were made from copies, and not from originals, so as to avoid having the prints reversed. I would like to have the internegatives returned when you are finished with them.

Good luck.

Yours very truly,



Roger A. Newell



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS


HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis


| IDENTIFICATION | Gold XXX ppm | Silver XXX ppm | Lead % OZ A) | Copper % | Zinc % | Mo. % | Copper ppm |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|---------------|
| T - 1 | <0.01 | 13. | | | | | 195 |
| 2 | <0.01 | 5.8 | | | | | 65 |
| 3 | 0.08 | 78. | | | | | 125 |
| 101 | <0.01 | 21. | 0.61 | | | | 70 |
| 102 | <0.01 | 10. | 0.29 | | | | 40 |
| 103 | <0.01 | 6.4 | 0.19 | | | | 25 |
| 104 | 0.01 | 2.8 | 0.08 | | | | 20 |
| 105 | <0.01 | <0.2 | | | | | 15 |
| T - 106 | <0.01 | 0.6 | | | | | 15 |
| <i>Fox Ranch Area</i> | | | | | | | |
| Moonlight - 1 | 0.01 | 75. | 6.56 | 0.43 | 5.95 | | |
| 2 | <0.01 | 100. | 0.83 | 0.05 | 6.70 | | |
| 3 | <0.01 | 31. | 0.43 | 0.04 | 5.05 | | |
| Moonlight - 4 | 0.02 | 48. | 0.76 | 0.05 | 2.33 | | |
| CC - 1 | | | | 0.01 | | | |
| 2 | | | | 0.02 | | | |
| CC - 3 | 0.21 | 0.4 | | | | | |



CC: Sierra Minerals
ADD: 4741 East Sunrise Drive
CITY: Tucson, Arizona 85718
ADD: Attn: Mr. James A. Briscoe
CITY:

REMARKS: Au, Ag, Cu - Trace analysis
Pb, Cu, Zn - Single determination
Analysis Cert. By *[Signature]*
Preparation \$ 14.40
Analysis \$ 91.00

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|
| ACC: SIERRA MINERALS | Date Spl. Received: 4/19/73 | Date Compl.: 4/25/73 | 347179 | \$ 105.40 |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold xxx ppm | Silver xxx ppm | Lead xxx ppm | Copper xxx ppm | Zinc % % Pb | Mo. % % Cu | OZ Ag |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------|
| T - 107 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 50 | 35 | 0.005 | 0.0035 | |
| 108 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 50 | 25 | 0.005 | 0.0025 | |
| 109 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 40 | 25 | 0.004 | 0.0025 | |
| 110 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 50 | 25 | 0.005 | 0.0025 | |
| 111 | | | | | | | |
| 112 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 30 | 15 | 0.003 | 0.0015 | |
| 113 | <0.02 | 0.2 | 65 | 20 | 0.0065 | 0.002 | |
| 114 | <0.02 | 1.4 | 50 | 15 | 0.005 | 0.0015 | |
| 115 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 35 | 20 | 0.0035 | 0.002 | |
| 116 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 25 | 15 | 0.0025 | 0.0015 | |
| 117 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 45 | 20 | 0.0045 | 0.002 | |
| 118 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 35 | 20 | 0.0035 | 0.002 | |
| 119 | 0.06 | 112. | 3,280 | 385 | 0.328 | 0.0325 | 3.27 |
| 120 | 0.02 | 35. | 7,200 | 100 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 1.02 |
| 121 | 0.08 | 35. | 3,480 | 140 | 0.348 | 0.014 | 1.02 |
| 122 | 0.02 | 14. | 2,680 | 50 | 0.268 | 0.005 | 0.41 |
| 123 | 0.12 | 150. | 4,960 | 570 | 0.496 | 0.057 | 4.37 |
| 124 | <0.02 | 11. | 2,160 | 35 | 0.216 | 0.0035 | 0.32 |
| 125 | <0.02 | 3.8 | 125 | 25 | 0.0125 | 0.0025 | 0.11 |
| T - 126 | <0.02 | 7.4 | 1,840 | 40 | 0.184 | 0.004 | 0.22 |

Fox Ranch

Three Brothers Area

CC: Sierra Minerals
ADD: 1971 Minerals Ltd.,
CITY: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
ADD: Tucson, Arizona 85716
CITY:

REMARKS:

Analysis Cert. By

Trace analysis

Page 1 of 2

Preparation \$

Analysis \$

ACC:

SIERRA MINERALS

Date Spl.
Received


4/24/73

Date
Compl.

4/27/73

347195

\$



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold ppm | Silver ppm | Lead ppm | Copper ppm | Zinc % | Mo. % |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| T - 127 | 0.08 | 21. | 5,680 | 75 | 0.568 | 0.0075 |
| 128 | 0.08 | 45. | 32,000 | 160 | 3.2 | .016 |
| 129 | <0.02 | 10. | 1,880 | 50 | .188 | .005 |
| 130 | <0.02 | 14. | 800 | 70 | .08 | .007 |
| 131 | <0.02 | 10. | 3,000 | 35 | .3 | .0035 |
| 132 | <0.02 | 8.0 | 920 | 60 | .092 | .006 |
| 133 | 0.13 | 192. | 1,960 | 470 | .196 | .047 |
| 134 | <0.02 | 6.8 | 2,240 | 40 | .224 | .004 |
| 135 | <0.02 | 19. | 7,400 | 130 | .74 | .013 |
| 136 | 0.31 | 26. | 1,100 | 60 | .11 | .006 |
| 137 | 0.07 | 9.4 | 2,080 | 25 | .208 | .008 |
| 138A | 0.54 | 42. | 445 | 40 | .0445 | .004 |
| 138B | 0.09 | 23. | 135 | 25 | .135 | .0025 |
| T - 139 | 0.02 | 28. | 100 | 20 | .01 | .002 |
| 140 | 0.35 | 4.4 | 4,250 | 130 | | |
| 141 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 75 | 15 | | |
| 142 | 0.06 | 3.0 | 95 | 15 | | |
| 143 | 0.03 | 4.2 | 50 | 10 | | |

34 Au @ \$2.00 = \$ 68.00
34 Ag @ 1.50 = 51.00
34 Pb, Cu @ 1.60 = 54.40
Sub-total \$173.40



CC: Sierra Minerals
ADD: 1971 Minerals Ltd.,
CITY: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
ADD: Tucson, Arizona 85716
CITY:

REMARKS:
Trace analysis

Analysis Cert. By *[Signature]*

Page 2

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|
| ACC: SIERRA MINERALS | Date Spl. Received 4/24/73 | Date Compl. 4/27/73 | 347195 | \$ 204.00 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 144 Size lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' along creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X?

Wulfenite , Cerussite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© **indecks** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 2-3% lim alt.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-95, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10-25, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____


SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

SCALE



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold | Silver | Lead | Copper | Zinc | Mo. | Gold | Silver | Silver |
|----------------|------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-----|--------|--------|--------|
| | OPT | OPT | % | % | % | % | oz/ton | oz/ton | oz/ton |
| SMM - LOT 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| No. 1 | 0.11 | 13.7 | | | | | <0.005 | 0.39 | 0.36 |
| 2 | 0.05 | 12.3 | | | | | <0.005 | 0.35 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 0.05 | 14.0 | | | | | <0.005 | 0.40 | 0.72 |
| 4 | 0.06 | 20.5 | | | | | <0.005 | 0.59 | 1.14 |
| 5 | 0.11 | 44 | | | | | <0.005 | 1.28 | 1.60 |
| 6 | 0.11 | 48 | | | | | <0.005 | 1.39 | 2.40 |
| 7 | 0.11 | 66 | | | | | <0.005 | 1.92 | 3.60 |
| 8 | 0.10 | 128 | | | | | <0.005 | 3.73 | 4.02 |
| No. 9 | 0.15 | 232 | 5,750 | 550 | 2,100 | < 2 | <0.005 | 6.76 | 7.52 |

CC: J. A. Briscoe DBA
ADD: Sierra Mineral Management
CITY: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
ADD: Tucson, Arizona 85716
CITY:


REMARKS:

Trace analysis

Analysis Cert. By *[Signature]*

Preparation \$ _____
Analysis \$ 34.85

| | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------|
| ACC: J. A. BRISCOE DBA SIERRA MINERALS MANAGEMENT | Date Spl. Received 5/9/73 | Date Compl. 5/16/73 | 347272 | \$ 34.85 |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------|



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold OPT | Silver OPT | Lead % | Copper % | Zinc % | Mo. % | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| <i>Fire Assay Recheck of earlier AA determinations</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| SSM Lot - 1 | | 0.46 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | 0.47 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | 0.42 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | 0.66 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | 1.33 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | 1.52 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | 2.00 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | 3.84 | | | | | | | |
| SSM Lot - 9 | | 7.23 | | | | | | | |

CC: J. A. Briscoe DBA
ADD: Sierra Mineral Management
CITY: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
ADD: Tucson, Arizona 85716
CITY:


REMARKS: Analysis Cert. By
Single determination

Preparation \$
Analysis \$ 20.25

ACC: J. A. BRISCOE DBA
SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT

Date Spl. Received 5/21/73
Date Compl 5/24/73

347272-A \$ 20.25



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

*Re Check of Earlier AA determinations
by Fire Assay*


| IDENTIFICATION | Gold OPT | Silver OPT | Lead % | Copper % | Zinc % | Mo. % | | | |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|--|-------------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | <i>AA results calculated by JAB to 02 from ppm</i> | | | | | | |
| | | | <i>↓</i> | | | | | | |
| T - 119 | 0.010 | 2.36 | 3.26 | | | | | | |
| 120 | <0.005 | 1.10 | 1.02 | | | | | | |
| 121 | 0.010 | 1.07 | 1.02 | | | | | | |
| 122 | <0.005 | 0.50 | .41 | | | | | | |
| 123 | 0.010 | 4.20 | 4.97 | | | | | | |
| 124 | <0.005 | 0.36 | .32 | | | | | | |
| 125 | <0.005 | 0.19 | 0.11 | | | | | | |
| 126 | <0.005 | 0.26 | 0.21 | | | | | | |
| 127 | <0.005 | 0.73 | 0.61 | | | | | | |
| 128 | <0.005 | 1.31 | 1.31 | | | | | | |
| 133 | 0.005 | 5.85 | 5.59 | | | | | | |
| 135 | <0.005 | 0.54 | 0.55 | | | | | | |
| 136 | <0.005 | 0.80 | 0.76 | | | | | | |
| 137 | <0.005 | 0.28 | 0.27 | | | | | | |
| 138 A | 0.020 | 1.12 | 1.22 | | | | | | |
| 138 B | 0.005 | 0.70 | .67 | | | | | | |
| T - 139 | <0.005 | 0.62 | .82 | | | | | | |

CC: Sierra Minerals
ADD: 4741 F. Sunrise Drive
CITY: Tucson, Arizona 85718
ADD: Attn: Richard Hewlett
CITY:

REMARKS: Analysis Cert. By

Single determination
Preparation \$
Analysis \$ 72.25

ACC: SIERRA MINERALS Date Spl. Received 5/21/73 Date Compl. 5/24/73 347195-A \$ 72.25



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

Jim Briscoe

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold ppm | Silver ppm | Lead ppm | Copper ppm | Zinc % | Mo. % | DZ Ag | | |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | | | | | |
| T - 145 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 40 | 10 | | | | | |
| 146 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 40 | 5 | | | | | |
| 147 | 0.05 | <0.2 | 40 | 5 | | | | | |
| 148 | 0.08 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | | |
| 149 | 0.07 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | | |
| 150 | 0.06 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | | |
| 151 | 0.05 | <0.2 | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| 152 | 0.06 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | | |
| 153 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 25 | 10 | | | | | |
| 154 | 0.08 | <0.2 | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| 155 | 0.08 | <0.2 | 25 | 10 | | | | | |
| 156 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 25 | 10 | | | | | |
| 157 | 0.02 | <0.2 | 65 | 5 | | | | | |
| 158 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 65 | 5 | | | | | |
| 159 | 0.03 | <0.2 | 40 | 5 | | | | | |
| 160 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| 161 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 40 | 10 | | | | | |
| 162 | 0.03 | 1.4 | 95 | 30 | | | | | |
| 163 | 0.04 | 0.8 | 40 | 30 | | | | | |
| 164 | 0.04 | 4.2 | 60 | 15 | | 0.12 | | | |
| 165 | 0.10 | 3.0 | 95 | 10 | | 0.09 | | | |
| 166 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 30 | 5 | | — | | | |
| 201 | 0.11 | 18 | 205 | 25 | | 0.52 | | | |
| 202 A | 0.07 | 29 | 210 | 50 | | 0.85 | | | |
| T - 202 B | 0.13 | 13 | 310 | 25 | | 0.38 | | | |

*202 ABC
avg 19.33 or .58 oz*

*201 & 202 ABC
avg 25 ppm or .76 oz*

CC: Sierra Minerals
ADD: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
CITY: Tucson, Arizona 85718
ADD: Attn: Mr. R. Hewlett
CITY: cc: J.A. Briscoe, Tucson, Az.

REMARKS:
Trace analysis
Page 1 of 2

Analysis Cert. By _____
Preparation \$ _____
Analysis \$ _____

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------|----|
| ACC: SIERRA MINERALS | Date Spl. Received 5/22/73 | Date Compl. 5/30/73 | 347338 | \$ |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------|----|

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 145 Size _____ lbs

Location: New 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 90' along S side Fox Ck

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

indextek ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2% lim 2H
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 50-75, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10-20, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification 75

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____


STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N 33-38 dip 185 SE Com. Sk. Foliation
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other None

COMMENTS:



SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 146 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 15' along S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite *2% lim est*
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. *58-80*, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. *≤ 10*, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/fits Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other *none*

COMMENTS:

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 147 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' along S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 26
Ag Mins: Argentite ___ Pb Mins: Galena ___, Tetrahedrite ___, Bournonite ___, Other ___
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite ___, Bornite ___, Chalcocite ___, Covellite ___, Other ___
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack ___, var. Rosinjack ___, Oth. Suls: Alabandite ___,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite ___ Fluorite ___ Other ___

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal ___, Mesothermal X Pyromet. ___

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 90-100, Chlor ___ , Epi. ___ Ser. 5%, 2nd. bio ___ , 2nd. Kspar. ___ , Anhydrite/gyp. ___
Calcite ___ , Silica ___ , Silicification ___

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels ___ Bleaching ___ Mblization ___ Epi. ___ Garnet ___ Wollastonite ___ Diop ___
Undif. Calcsilicates ___ , Silica ___ Silicif. ___ 2nd. bio. ___ Other ___

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. V Dozer cut/prosp. pit ___ Und. grnd. ___ Depth ___ Ox. Sul. ___

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature ___

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N ___ dip ___ Com. ___
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N ___ dip ___ Com. ___
Flt. intersect: ___
Bedding plane flt. ___, Anticline/roll ___, Syncline ___
Saddle Fis. ___ Hoodoo Cracks ___ Other ___


DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite ___, Rhyolite ___ Other ___


COMMENTS:

RK appears to be highly crystallized
but absence of penny feet suggests it isn't
ser. Odd box work py cav. either empty
or almost full of blk hm w/ brock red
streak, only tr like 5m in whole trench
& no stain around py or in rk

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



SCALE



TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 148 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' along S side Fox Creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff.G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au - Ag - Cu - Horn Silver ? Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys - , Mal. - , Az Bro - Teno - Cu pitch _____

inducks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2-3% lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-90, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 2/10, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: Poss tr of horn tho too small
to be sure

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 149 Size _____ lbs

Location: Nw 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' along S side Fox Creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM oz Ag _____ PPM oz Pb _____ PPM %

Cu _____ PPM % Zn _____ PPM % Mn _____ PPM % Mo _____ PPM %

Other: _____ PPM % _____ PPM % _____ PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro ?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5%
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 50%, Chlor _____, Epi. _____, Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____, Silicif. _____, 2nd. bio _____, Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

hem sta in good mass position
over lim. some jar

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 150 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' along S side Fox Creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X 2%

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite X? Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite ³⁻⁵
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 235, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:
one spec. appeared very heavy - close
inspect showed suspicious white min that
might be androsite? There appears to be
a greater amount of jar. assoc w/ clot-like
of cavities.

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 151 Size _____ lbs

Location: 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' along S side Fox creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% 11m alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75%, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 45, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:
1st 3' (S-N) of samp is heavily
hem stained in gravel mass. Limon
stone 3% of rk predom remains

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 152 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' along S side Fox Ck

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro ?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© **index** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-90, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 45, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/Fits Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS: predom lim stn only a to low stn

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 153 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & along 20' S side Fox Wash

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff.G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X?

Wulfenite _____, Cerussite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 2%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© **index** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrrite 3-5% line aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25/100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 2/10%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

Ft. intersect:

Bedding plane ft. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

no ox con along NE shears, sporadically

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 154 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' along S side FOX CRK

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM oz Ag _____ PPM oz Pb _____ PPM %

Cu _____ PPM % Zn _____ PPM % Mn _____ PPM % Mo _____ PPM %

Other: _____ PPM % _____ PPM % _____ PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro x??

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% 1m aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. ±10%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

Greenish clay (is not morillonite?) looks
sim to horn

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 155 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' along S side Fox Ck

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X?

Wulfenite _____, Cerussite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrrite 3-5% lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 5-10%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

hem about 25% lim amount &
occurs mainly as stain on argill fold. lim
appears to be mainly jarosite or at least
prosrite

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 156 Size _____ lbs

Location: 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' on S side Fox creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. X Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au - Ag - Cu - Horn Silver - Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. -

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal -

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrrite 3-5% 1m aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. ≤ 10, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other None

COMMENTS:

as in samp 155

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 157 Size lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' along S side Fox Ck

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerussite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 610, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

Ft. intersect:

Bedding plane ft. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____

Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

as previously samp 156

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 158 Size lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 12' on S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© indecks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% 11m alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. ≤ 10, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

11m is predom FeOx. No true
jarosite looking xyls present.

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 159 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 10' on ~~SW~~ S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 39 lim eff
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 56, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____, Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ pozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____


N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect:
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 160 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' along S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Tenor _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite $\leq 2\frac{1}{2}$ mm *at*
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-50, Chlor 20%, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

*Much more poorly alt than 159.
Sporadic fissures w/ lim alt py but no
thorough bleaching or argill. Some calcifer
coming up along vert. faults & spreading
out near surface.*

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 161 Size lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' on S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 18 lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____, _____
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25-50%, Chlor tr, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____


STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/felts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS: Rk earthy - looks more due to weathering than alt. Only sp lim str. no lim str. Caliche forms box work vns

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 162 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & _____

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 55%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____


STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:
in straight sect. of Wash

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 163 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' on S side Fox cdk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM oz Ag _____ PPM oz Pb _____ PPM %

Cu _____ PPM % Zn _____ PPM % Mn _____ PPM % Mo _____ PPM %

Other: _____ PPM % _____ PPM % _____ PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

inducks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 167 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' on S side FDR LOK

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

indecks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% 11m aft.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 25%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica X sp, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure A Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 165 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' x cuts (15' 8' 25') on S side Fox creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au ~ Ag - Cu - Horn Silver - Pb Mins: Plumbojaro tr?

Wulfenite - , Cerusite - , Anglesite - Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. tr

Psilomelane - , Pyrolusite - , Manganite - , Rhodochrosite - Blk cal -

Zn Mins: Smithsonite - , Calamine - Aurichalcite - , Hydrozincite -

Rosasite - Cu Mins: Chrys - , Mal. - , Az - Bro - Teno - Cu pitch -

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5 /m aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25-70, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 45, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica 70, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 201 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 15' @ rt Lg to Fox Ck on S side

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. Tr

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Tenor _____ Cu pitch _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 202-A Size _____ lbs

Location: Nw 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 10' 8" along S side Fox Ck at The Box

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM oz Ag _____ PPM oz Pb _____ PPM %

Cu _____ PPM % Zn _____ PPM % Mn _____ PPM % Mo _____ PPM %

Other: _____ PPM % _____ PPM % _____ PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 2%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% lim alt.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bourbonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcocopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25%, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 25%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wt, Silicification TC

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:
N 3°W (TK) Vert gtz on flt zn w/assoc
Wad w/ gtz. Lim predom over hem
which occurs as str in alt fold.

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 202-B Size _____ lbs

Location: Nw 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 10' width & 15' dug cut along S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM oz Ag _____ PPM oz Pb _____ PPM %

Cu _____ PPM % Zn _____ PPM % Mn _____ PPM % Mo _____ PPM %

Other: _____ PPM % _____ PPM % _____ PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 1/10

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-95, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 5%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica mod, Silicification wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

This outcrop is quite hard & prob
could not be ripped

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 202-C Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 8' along S side Fox cove

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au- _____ Ag- _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro Tr

Wulfenite _____, Cerussite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 30%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3% lim att
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-90, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 5-10, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wk, Silicification sp-wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N 3E dip vert Com. 3' wide mostly MnOx
N-S dike/fissure/flts _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

Rk hard mag not rip

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 203A Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 15' on N side Fox wash

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. X Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X 2-3%

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 2%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyr *34% lim aft*
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. *75-100*, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. *10%*, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica *wk-mod* Silicification *wk-mod*

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____


SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N *16E* dip *vert* Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

N 16E (TN) vert flt w/ vert slicks
± silif ± MnOx assoc w/ flt. Rk fairly well
broken up ± silif.

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 2033 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' (20 between flts) N side Fox cove

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM oz Ag _____ PPM oz Pb _____ PPM %

Cu _____ PPM % Zn _____ PPM % Mn _____ PPM % Mo _____ PPM %

Other: _____ PPM % _____ PPM % _____ PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS


Native Met: Au - Ag - Cu - Horn Silver - Pb Mins: Plumbojaro 2?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite - Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____, Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite - Cu Mins: Chrys - _____, Mal. - _____, Az - Bro - Teno - Cu pitch - _____

 ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% lim alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100%, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 225, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

Rk well broken, soft & alt. lim & hem
about = but hem slightly proclous

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 203c Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 10' on N side Fox ckr

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro Tr

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 25%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% lim att
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____, Ser. 10-25, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wk-mod, Silicification wk-mod

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N N23E dip vert Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

3' wide muox zone on N end of sample. This is same structure sampled by # 202 C

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 204 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 40' on N side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 1%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© **indecks** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 205 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' on N side Fox crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerussite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 2%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrrite 3-5% 11m aft.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bourmonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 5/10, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica WR-MNS, Silicification WR

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Ft. intersect: _____
Bedding plane ft. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 206 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' on N side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM oz Ag _____ PPM oz Pb _____ PPM %

Cu _____ PPM % Zn _____ PPM % Mn _____ PPM % Mo _____ PPM %

Other: _____ PPM % _____ PPM % _____ PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerussite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. Tr

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____, Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Tenor _____ Cu pitch _____

© **indecks** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25-35, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 35, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wk, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____
N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
~~N-S~~ dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Ft. intersect: _____
Bedding plane ft. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 207 Size _____ lbs

Location: 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 20' on the S side Fox Crk @ Box _____

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro 7-?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 5%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

indecoks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% 11m at
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 75%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica Wk Mod, Silicification 7-10 Wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other N18°W 52.5

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 208 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 20' on N side Fox crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 1%

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 1-26 1m aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25%, Chlor 5, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wk, Silicification Tr

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
NW N-E. Ore fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other MNOI on same struct


SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

COMMENTS:

alteration is quite wk & looks

unimportant.



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold XXX | Silver XXX | Lead XX | Copper XX | Zinc % | Mo. % | Ag 02 | | |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------|----------|-------|--|--|
| | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | | | | | |
| T - 202 C | 0.08 | 16 | 65 | 10 | | 0.47 | | | |
| 203 A | 0.05 | 1.4 | 20 | 20 | | 0.27 | | | |
| 203 B | 0.18 | 9.4 | 350 | 30 | | 0.20 | | | |
| 203 C | 0.08 | 7.0 | 80 | 20 | | | | | |
| 204 | 0.05 | 1.0 | 25 | 10 | | | | | |
| 205 | 0.17 | 6.8 | 55 | 20 | | 0.20 | | | |
| 206 | 0.10 | 5.2 | 70 | 10 | | 0.15 | | | |
| 207 | 0.24 | 6.2 | 135 | 20 | | 0.18 | | | |
| T - 208 | 0.10 | 6.6 | 135 | 20 | | 0.19 | | | |

203 AB & C Avg. 5.93 ppm or 0.18 02

34 Gold @ \$2.00= \$ 68.00
34 Silver 1.50= 51.00
34 Lead & Copper 1.60= 54.40

SUBTOTAL 173.40

34 Full preparations \$.90= 30.60

CC: Sierra Minerals
ADD: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
CITY: Tucson, Arizona 85718
ADD: Attn: Mr. R. Hewlett
CITY: cc: J. A. Briscoe, Tucson, Ariz.

REMARKS:

Trace analysis
Page 2

Analysis Cert. By



ACC:

SIERRA MINERALS

Date Spl.
Received


5/22/73

Date
Compl.

5/30/73

347338

\$ 204.00



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold OPT | Silver OPT | Lead % | Copper % | Zinc % | Mo. % | | | |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | 0.2 Ag | | | | |
| T - 166 | 0.06 | 0.8 | 50 | 15 | | | | | |
| 167 | 0.07 | 0.8 | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| 168 | 0.07 | 1.2 | 50 | 10 | | | | | |
| 169 | 0.10 | 9.4 | 45 | 30 | | | | | |
| 170 | 0.07 | 5.0 | 60 | 15 | | | | | |
| 171 | 0.09 | 6.6 | 40 | 20 | | | | | |
| 172 | 0.09 | 9.8 | 80 | 20 | | | | | |
| 173 | 0.10 | 11. | 205 | 35 | 0.321 | | | | |
| 174 | 0.13 | 46. | 200 | 40 | 1.341 | | | | |
| 175 | 0.10 | 2.4 | 45 | 20 | | | | | |
| 176 | 0.26 | 26. | 200 | 25 | 0.758 | | | | |
| 177 | 9.4 | 960. | 6,900 | 475 | 27.993 | | | | |
| 178 | 0.09 | 1.6 | 45 | 15 | | | | | |
| 179 | 0.09 | 2.4 | 45 | 15 | | | | | |
| 180 | 0.08 | 2.6 | 60 | 20 | | | | | |
| 181 | 0.11 | 3.8 | 105 | 20 | | | | | |
| 182 | 0.06 | 4.4 | 55 | 15 | | | | | |
| 183 | 0.09 | 6.6 | 50 | 15 | | | | | |
| 184 | 0.11 | 13. | 40 | 20 | 0.379 | | | | |
| 185 | 0.18 | 16. | 55 | 30 | 0.466 | | | | |
| 186 | 0.12 | 5.4 | 35 | 25 | | | | | |
| 187 | 0.09 | 5.4 | 60 | 15 | | | | | |
| 188 | 0.13 | 10. | 345 | 25 | 0.2916 | | | | |
| 189 | 0.16 | 9.0 | 170 | 25 | | | | | |
| 190 | 0.56 | 9.8 | 85 | 30 | | | | | |
| 191 | 0.08 | 140. | 165 | 85 | 4.082 | | | | |
| 192 | 0.36 | 55 | 285 | 45 | 1.604 | | | | |
| 193 | 0.12 | 5.4 | 75 | 15 | | | | | |
| 194 | 0.09 | 35. | 100 | 30 | 1.021 | | | | |
| 195 | 0.10 | 3.4 | 50 | 10 | | | | | |
| T - 196 | 0.11 | 28. | 80 | 50 | 0.816 | | | | |

2 Sup. # 166

Wted Avg
Ag = 1.1602
Au = 0.0102
@ #125 Au
#300 Ag
value = \$4.72

CC: J. A. Briscoe DBA
ADD: Sierra Minerals Management
CITY: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
Tucson, Arizona 85718
ADD: cc: Mr. R. Hewlett

REMARKS:

Analysis Cert. By

Trace analysis
Page 1 of 2

Preparation \$

Analysis \$


\$

ACC: J A BRISCOE DBA
SIERRA MINERALS MANGEMENT

Date Spl.
Received
6/6/73

Date
Compl.
6/11/73

347415



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

Cards made out.

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold OPT | Silver OPT | Lead % | Copper % | Zinc % | Mo. % | | | |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| T - 224 ✓ | <0.02 | 4.4 | 1,600 | 30 | 0.128 | | } Below machine shop | | |
| 225 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.0 | 1,700 | 30 | .02916 | | | | |
| 226 ✓ | 0.06 | 1.2 | 6,700 | 45 | .0319 | | | | |
| 227 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.2 | 1,700 | 30 | .0349 | | | | |
| 228 ✓ | 0.08 | 2.0 | 1,500 | 30 | .0583 | | | | |
| 229 ✓ | 0.10 | 7.1 | 5,400 | 40 | .207 | | | | |
| 230 ✓ | 0.08 | 3.4 | 2,600 | 35 | .099 | | | | |
| 231 ✓ | 0.06 | 1.4 | 1,700 | 25 | .0408 | | | # T-232 missing | |
| 232 ✓ | <0.02 | 4.2 | 1,200 | 45 | .1224 | | | NEXT PAGE | |
| 234 ✓ | 0.04 | 0.2 | 850 | 25 | | | | | |
| 235 ✓ | 0.04 | 14. | 45 | 65 | 0.408 | 7' long | } State of Maine area | | |
| 236 ✓ | 0.05 | 52. | 360 | 70 | 1.516 | 18' heavy max | | | |
| 237 ✓ | 0.05 | 8.6 | 200 | 90 | .251 | 11 side collar state of maine | | | |
| 238 ✓ | 0.08 | 171. | 12,000 | 145 | 4.986 | 12" soft fw rls under # 238 | | | |
| T - 239 ✓ | 0.05 | 88. | 3,400 | 155 | 2.566 | | | | |

15 Gold @ \$2.00 = \$30.00
 15 Silver @ \$1.50 = 22.50
 15 Lead & Copper @ \$1.60 = 24.00
 Subtotal \$76.50
 15 sample preparations @ \$.90 = 13.50

CC: J. A. Briscoe DBA
 ADD: Sierra Mineral Management
 CITY: 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 ADD: Tucson, Arizona 85718
 CITY: Attn: Mr. R. Hewlett

REMARKS:
 Trace analysis

Analysis Cert. By *R. Hewlett*
 Preparation \$ 13.50
 Analysis \$ 76.50

ACC: J. A. BRISCOE DBA SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT
 Date Spl. Received 1/8/73
 Date Compl. 6/13/73
 347419
 \$ 30.00

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-238 Size 3 6 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & Q.S. of Main N. Side Collar 10' on 1/4 side redon

ASSAY RESULTS: Au 0.08 PPM oz Ag 171 PPM 4.986 oz Pb 12,000 PPM %
S. of main vein

Cu 145 PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. X Schieff. G. D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au - Ag - Cu - Horn Silver - Pb Mins: Plumbojaro -

Wulfenite -, Cerusite -, Anglesite - Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. -

Psilomelane -, Pyrolusite -, Manganite -, Rhodochrosite - Blk cal -

Zn Mins: Smithsonite -, Calamine - Aurichalcite -, Hydrozincite -

Rosasite - Cu Mins: Chrys -, Mal. -, Az Bro Teno - Cu pitch -

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 5-10% hem aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25%, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 75-100, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification wk-mod

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 3 ft below Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____


N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N 173 dip 42° Com. St. gneiss
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fls. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: Diss line as fuzzy casts aft py = 5-10% color

rk bench red.
at this loc. Samps 238, 237 & 285-287 taken to
compare surface weatherability of rock in metal
contact.

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No F-239 Size 3 6 lbs

Location: 1/4 Sec. T S, R E &

ASSAY RESULTS: Au 0.05 PPM oz Ag 88 PPM 2.666 oz Pb 3,400 PPM %

Cu 156 PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. X Schieff. G. D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane 2%, Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

 ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 10% here aft
Ag Mins: Argentite ___ Pb Mins: Galena ___, Tetrahedrite ___, Bournonite ___, Other ___
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite ___, Bornite ___, Chalcocite ___, Covellite ___, Other ___
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack ___, var. Rosinjack ___, Oth. Suls: Alabandite ___,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite ___ Fluorite ___ Other ___

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal ___, Mesothermal K Pyromet. ___

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 50-75, Chlor ___, Epi. ___ Ser. 25-50, 2nd. bio ___, 2nd. Kspar. ___, Anhydrite/gyp. ___
Calcite ___, Silica ___, Silicification tr

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels ___ Bleaching ___ Mblization ___ Epi. ___ Garnet ___ Wollastonite ___ Diop ___
Undif. Calcsilicates ___, Silica ___ Silicif. ___ 2nd. bio. ___ Other ___

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. ___ Dozer cut/prosp. pit X Und. grnd. ___ Depth ___ Ox Sul.

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature ___

N.E. Ore Fissure ___ Stk. N ___ dip ___ Com. ___
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N 1737K dip 42 Com. st. of basin etc

Flt. intersect: ___
Bedding plane flt. ___, Anticline/roll ___, Syncline ___
Saddle Fis. ___ Hoodoo Cracks ___ Other ___

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite ___, Rhyolite ___ Other ___

COMMENTS:


mainly here str. Rk looks heavy
& probably contains a lot of pb oxides.

See core # T-238

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

See T-238

SCALE



SKYLINE LABS, INC.
 Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P. O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Pb ppm | Cu ppm | Ag (Co ₂) | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | T - 267 ✓ | 0.03 | 6.8 | 2,500 | 30 | 0.20 | | | | |
| 2 | 268 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.6 | 360 | 25 | — | | | | |
| 3 | ? 269 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 135 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 4 | 270 ✓ | 0.03 | 37. | 6,100 | 175 | 1.08 | | | | |
| 5 | ? 271 | <0.02 | 0.40 | 120 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 6 | 272 ✓ | 0.03 | 4.4 | 540 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 7 | 273 ✓ | <0.02 | 8.6 | 400 | 20 | 0.25 | | | | |
| 8 | 274 ✓ | 0.04 | 15. | 980 | 85 | 0.44 | | | | |
| 9 | 275 ✓ | 0.12 | 50. | 2,300 | 195 | 1.46 | | | | |
| 10 | 278 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.0 | 335 | 10 | — | | | | |
| 11 | 279 ✓ | 0.02 | 2.2 | 360 | 10 | — | | | | |
| 12 | 280 ✓ | 0.06 | 79. | 17,000 | 215 | 2.30 | | | | |
| 13 | ? 284 | <0.02 | 31. | 1,100 | 45 | 0.90 | | | | |
| 14 | 285 | 0.02 | 34. | 850 | 95 | 0.94 | | | | |
| 15 | T - 286 | 0.03 | 95. | 2,200 | 220 | 2.77 | | | | |

TO: J. A. Briscoe DBA
 Sierra Minerals Management
 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 Attn: Mr. R. Hewlett

REMARKS:


CERTIFIED BY:

ACCT.: SIERRA MINERALS MANAGEMENT

DATE REC'D: 6/20/73

DATE COMPL.: 6/26/73

347483



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Gold ppm | Silver ppm | Lead ppm | Copper ppm | O ₂ Ag | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--------------------|
| 1 | T - 232 ✓ | 0.08 | 8.1 | 4,900 | 30 | 0.24 | | | | |
| 2 | 240 ✓ | <0.02 | 8.1 | 2,800 | 60 | 0.24 | | | | |
| 3 | 241 ✓ | 0.23 | 220. | 25,000 | 120 | 6.42 | | | | |
| 4 | 242 ✓ | <0.02 | 5.7 | 4,200 | 600 | 0.17 | | | | <i>underground</i> |
| 5 | 243 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.4 | 40 | 25 | — | | | | |
| 6 | 244 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.8 | 280 | 25 | — | | | | |
| 7 | 245 ✓ | <0.02 | 9.7 | 1,200 | 30 | 0.28 | | | | |
| 8 | 246 ✓ | <0.02 | 11.4 | 1,100 | 30 | 0.33 | | | | |
| 9 | 247 ✓ | <0.02 | 8.8 | 525 | 25 | 0.26 | | | | |
| 10 | 248 ✓ | 0.06 | 31. | 2,300 | 40 | 0.90 | | | | |
| 11 | 249 ✓ | 0.11 | 14. | 1,900 | 55 | 0.41 | | | | |
| 12 | 250 ✓ | <0.02 | 13. | 65 | 70 | 0.38 | | | | <i>where</i> |
| 13 | 251 ✓ | <0.02 | 0.8 | 80 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 14 | 252 ✓ | <0.02 | 9.4 | 2,500 | 100 | 0.27 | | | | |
| 15 | 253 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.4 | 470 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 16 | 254 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.6 | 535 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 17 | 255 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.2 | 435 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 18 | 256 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.0 | 540 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 19 | 257 ✓ | <0.02 | 39. | 4,000 | 110 | 1.14 | | | | |
| 20 | 258 ✓ | <0.02 | 9.8 | 630 | 50 | 0.29 | | | | |
| 21 | 259 ✓ | 0.32 | 39. | 33,000 | 320 | 1.14 | | | | <i>underground</i> |
| 22 | 260 ✓ | 1.20 | 240. | 4,500 | 55 | 7.00 | | | | |
| 23 | 261 ✓ | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 50 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 24 | 262 ✓ | <0.02 | 0.6 | 25 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 25 | 263 ✓ | <0.02 | 31. | 1,900 | 55 | 0.90 | | | | |
| 26 | 264 ✓ | <0.02 | 6.2 | 2,500 | 30 | 0.18 | | | | |
| 27 | 265 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.6 | 165 | 35 | — | | | | |
| 28 | 266 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.8 | 150 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 29 | T - 305 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.0 | 35 | 10 | — | | | | |



To: J. A. Briscoe DBA
 Sierra Mineral Management
 1971 Minerals Ltd., 4741 E. Sunrise Dr.,
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 cc: Mr. R. Hewlett

REMARKS: Trace analysis
 CERTIFIED BY: *[Signature]*

ACCT.: J. A. BRISCOE, DBA, SIERRA MINERAL MGNT., 1971 MINERALS LTD
 DATE REC'D: 6/14/73
 DATE COMPL.: 6/21/73
 347451

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-251 Size 0 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & Collar Bro. Jonathan shaft.

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

inducks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite _____
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bourmonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. _____, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:
*5' across in at collar of shaft & along
both sides of collar*

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-252 Size 10 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & Bro. Jonathan shaft

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

index

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite _____
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. _____, Chlor _____, Epi. _____, Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____, Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. Depth 40' Ox Sul.

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: 40' below collar (-on shaft, incl.) ± 10'
above platform, across 6' taken on both
sides

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. F-261 Size 5 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & above Bro: Jonathan Shufft - Rhys. dump

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite _____
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. _____, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: *Grab sample of coarse frags of Rhyolite material*

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-262 Size 5 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & above Bro Jonathan shaft

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

 ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite _____
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. _____, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

Red material & fines on Rhyolite dump.

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-285 Size 3 10 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & 4. of main on 10' S of collar

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro HP

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 1%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2-5% horn adt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 50-75, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 25-50, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 2-5' Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N 173° TN dip 4A W Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

See card 7-278

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-286 Size 10 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & at S. of Main shaft collar

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© **indecks** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyr 5-10% hem aft
Ag Mins: Argentite Pb Mins: Galena , Tetrahedrite , Bournonite , Other
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite , Bornite , Chalcocite , Covellite , Other
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack , var. Rosinjack Oth. Suls: Alabandite ,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite Fluorite Other

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal , Mesothermal X Pyromet.

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 0-25, Chlor , Epi. Ser. 75-100, 2nd. bio , 2nd. Kspar. , Anhydrite/gyp.
Calcite , Silica TR, Silicification

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels Bleaching Mblization Epi. Garnet Wollastonite Diop
Undif. Calcsilicates , Silica Silicif. 2nd. bio. Other

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit X Und. grnd. Depth 3-6' Ox. Sul.

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature

N-E Ore Fissure Stk. N dip Com.
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N 100% dip 42N Com. at. of Main ch
Flt. intersect:
Bedding plane flt. , Anticline/roll , Syncline
Saddle Fis. Hoodoo Cracks Other

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION


Monzonite , Rhyolite Other

COMMENTS:

White hem aft py diss in all field
xyls but very little in ground mass
almost no yellow - orange lim in the
shaft area
Soft gougy part of vein - much less hard
& red than same zone on N of collar.

See card T-238

SCALE



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold OPT | Silver OPT | Lead % | Copper % | Zinc % | Mo. % | O ₂ Ag | | |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|--|--|
| | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | | | | | |
| T - 319 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 40 | 5 | | | | | |
| 320 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| 321 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | | |
| 322 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | | |
| 323 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| 324 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 40 | 10 | | | | | |
| 325 | 0.07 | 1.8 | 30 | 15 | | | | | |
| 326 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | | |
| 327 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| T - 328 | 0.03 | 5.4 | 85 | 15 | | | 0.16 | | |

34 Gold @ \$2.00 = \$ 68.00
 34 Silver @ \$1.00 = 34.00
 34 Copper @ \$1.00 = 34.40
 & Lead
 Sub-total \$176.40
 34 sample prep. @ \$.90 = 30.60

CC: J. A. Briscoe DBA
 ADD: Sierra Mineral Management
 CITY: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
 ADD: Tucson, Arizona 85716
 CITY: cc: Mr. Richard Hewlett

REMARKS:
 Page 2
 Trace analysis

Analysis Cert. By *A.E. [Signature]*


Preparation \$ 30.60
 Analysis \$ 176.40

ACC: J. A. BRISCOE DBA
 SIERRA MINERALS MANAGEMENT

Date Spl.
 Received 5/29/73

Date
 Compl. 6/4/73

347359 \$ 204.00



HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES
Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold | Silver | Lead | Copper | Zinc | Mo. | Oz Ag | Oz Au |
|----------------|-------|--------|------|--------|-------|-----|----------|----------|
| | OPT | OPT | % | % | % | | | |
| | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | Level | | | |
| T - 140 | 0.35 | 4.4 | 4250 | 130 | 0.425 | | 0.13 | 0.01 |
| 141 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 75 | 15 | .007 | | 0.09 | 0.002 |
| 142 | 0.06 | 3.0 | 95 | 15 | | | | |
| 143 | 0.03 | <0.2 | 50 | 10 | | | | 0.001 |
| 300 | 0.04 | <0.2 | 55 | 5 | | | | 0.001 |
| 301 A | <0.02 | <0.2 | 55 | 10 | | | | |
| 301 B | <0.02 | <0.2 | 40 | 10 | | | | |
| 301 C | <0.02 | <0.2 | 40 | 5 | | | | |
| 302 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 25 | 5 | | | | |
| 303 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | |
| 304 | 0.05 | <0.2 | 25 | 5 | | | | 0.001 |
| 305 Missing | | | | | | | | |
| 306 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 40 | 5 | | | | |
| 307 | <0.02 | 1.6 | 125 | 10 | 0.013 | | | |
| 308 | <0.02 | 2.2 | 55 | 10 | | | | |
| 309 | 0.04 | 0.8 | 50 | 10 | | | | 0.001 |
| 310 | 0.16 | 0.4 | 60 | 5 | | | | 0.005 |
| 311 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 45 | 10 | | | | |
| 312 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 60 | 10 | | | | |
| 313 | <0.02 | 2.0 | 35 | 5 | | | | |
| 314 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 25 | 10 | | | | |
| 315 | <0.02 | 0.2 | 30 | 5 | | | | |
| 316 | 0.03 | <0.2 | 35 | 10 | | | | 0.001 |
| 317 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 30 | 10 | | | | |
| T - 318 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 30 | 5 | | | | |

CC: J. A. Briscoe DBA
ADD: Sierra Mineral Management
CITY: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
ADD: Tucson, Arizona 85716
CITY: cc: Mr. Richard Hewlett

REMARKS:

Page 1 of 2

Trace analysis

Analysis Cert. By

Preparation \$

Analysis \$

ACC: J A Briscoe DBA
Sierra Minerals Management

Date Spl.
Received
5/29/73

Date
Compl.
6/4/73

347359

\$

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 300 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & in Dr ct S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro tr?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% lim alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 5-25%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wk, Silicification wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Ozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Ft. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

at N end bx dike - some silty,
lhm but lim predom over hem

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 302 Size 15? lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & chips @ 3' int. over 250'

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. tr

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyr 1-2 1/2 m aft.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bourmonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 10-25, Chlor X, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

Rk returns part of talk color (gy) in
places the most bl to lt gy & strand from
lim.
Bio. alt. to chlor.

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

sample from Rd cut
struck not vis but prob
NE.

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 303 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in 6' deep prop. pit

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 1%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite _____
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcocopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 50-75, Chlor x, Epi. _____ Ser. 10, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____

Calcite _____, Silica 40, Silicification tr-wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching 7-1000 Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____

Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit DD Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1-6' Ox x Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure x Stk. N 42° TN dip 76-85 E Com. _____

N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

Flt. intersect: _____

Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____

Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____


DIKE ASSOCIATION none

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

Lim 2 mu along cracks - fissures
Lim predom. w/ hem stain in alt field.
Bio alt to chlor. & ser.

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 304 Size 10 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & across lower cut & swt -

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. tr

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Pyrite *2 to 4% (11a at)*, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____, Fluorite _____, Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. *35-50*, Chlor *wk*, Epi. _____ Ser. *sp. wk*, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica *wk*, Silicification *tr*

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____, Silicif. _____, 2nd. bio. _____, Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:


Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth *0-25'* Ox? Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N *33E* dip *80 N* Com. *weak silica enclzr*
N-S dike/fissure/flts _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____



DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____, Other *none*

COMMENTS:

sp. by Hm w/ jarosite

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 305 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dozer cut

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 1/2

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 1-3% 1mm alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bourmonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____, _____
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS: Argill. 25-30%, Chlor , Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____

Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS: Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____

Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1-2 Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

Ft. intersect: _____

Bedding plane ft. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____

Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION none Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: This samp shows a bit more alt

than 30% but still doesn't look good.

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

No struts vis in dozer cut
but prob NE

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 307 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dower cut

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS *lim-hem-weak-mod hem-predom.*

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% lim off
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcocite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal , Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 50-75, Chlor 45, Epi. _____ Ser. 25-50, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification 45

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____


STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION none vis
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: waggy silica veins w/ drusy qtz
veils lining wags

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



SCALE 1" = 20'

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 308 Size lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 15' in lower cut

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X?

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-4% lim alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 50-75, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification tr

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1-2' Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

Not vis in dozer cut
but prob large shear zone
cont. by Fox creek.

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

KK stuy by alt & no vis phen's
left yellow-orange lim (pass ph jarro)
about = to red ben stain of alt felds

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 309 Size _____ lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in closer out S of Fox Creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro ?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2-3% 1 in aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 20-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. P-20, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica , Silicification tr

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1-2' Oxid. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

Rk complete argill lith on no

text. v. as

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

dozer cut down all
streets but on large Fox
Creek street.

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 310 Size _____ lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & in desert cut

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au - _____ Ag - _____ Cu - _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro see notes

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© **index** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrrite 2-3% 1m aft.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 10/100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 20-35%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification tr

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 6-1' Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

RK in places shows white w/
honey yellow jar. Myls lining py voids
Really looks suggests ser. the low level of ore
to be same. The jar. may be Pb jar &
a pos. My contact would sugg. this source
Also 1m & lam str - lam cont. to str of argill
told white 1m str due to jar around & in py
cavities

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 311 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dozer X cut S side Fox Creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro 3X

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. X 239

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

index

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 312 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T S, R E &

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. X₄

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal , Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 50-80, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10-20, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wk, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1' Sul.

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other wue

COMMENTS:

In Foe Creek in Rk leads to
be seeing w/ lg clots ^{to 1/2"} lim aft sals
along inlet 2us interspersed w/ wk-mod
alt rk

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 313 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dyke S side Fox Crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X?

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 3%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 5-7% 1 km aft.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 50-75, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1' Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other none

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: For csk 20, RK strongly alt w/
ghosts of text, only vis. Py & Mn cut increasing
to SE. from 21 Yellow pulverent jar in
Dy cut. may be Pb jar.
Dozer cut obscures div of Mn v. etc
but appear to 11 stream.

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 314 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T S, R E & in direct S side Fox crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. K Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. -2%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine , Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% line off
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcocopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 20-50, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification tr

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1' ~~OR~~ Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS: FOX ctk zn most text is destroyed
new # line about = passport. One ok trace
showed exceedingly fine line km off py - ie
pin-prick size. One NE? trend near ox
vulet 1" wide? exp.

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 315 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in direct S side Fox cove

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro ?

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 2%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

index

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% 1/4 in aft.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-10%, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 25-50, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification tr

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1' Sul.

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

Flt. intersect: _____

Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____

Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

RK text mostly gone. Some yellowish greenish min seen in cav. & in fractures w/ Mn OX v.lets. Poss. Pb jar or other horn Ag min. Mn OX v.lets up to 1" wide & appear to trend NE // to cut

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 316 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dr ct S side Fox Cok

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X ?

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 1%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2-3% lim att
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-90, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10-20, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification WTF-LK

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1' Sul.

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____

Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

Mn ox vein upto 1' wide appears to
strike N 55 E (TN). Text not comp obs.
Thin films of greenish mica on some fract may
be a Ag helide

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 312 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & cut S side Fox crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X? 1%

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrrite 2-4% lim alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 50-75, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10-25, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1' Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____


DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

RR slightly less alt - more horn
str sugg less ser & more argill. No
mu dx

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 318 Size 6 lbs

Location: Sw 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dr ct S side Fox Creek

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro X

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© **indecks** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% /im aft-
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-90 Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10-35, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit X Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1-21 Ox X Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS: Clear Rosin colored silicate agls in sulangs
appear much more commonly in this cut. They
are largest & most closely assoc w/ hem
stained early rk. Quite poss. Pb sat w/ a thin
ly content. A high grade sample of this mat will
be taken -

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 319 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & 1/2 ct S side Fox Ck.

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. X Schieff. G. D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2-4 lin aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-90%, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. ≤ 10%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 1-2' Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:
alt appears to be getting less as edges
alt zone is approximately 6" green clay
alt of field, prob. clay but
looks similar to horn

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 320 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dr ct S side Fox Ck

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 20%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

inducks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% lim att
Ag Mins: Argentite ___ Pb Mins: Galena ___, Tetrahedrite ___, Bournonite ___, Other ___
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite ___, Bornite ___, Chalcocite ___, Covellite ___, Other ___
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack ___, var. Rosinjack ___, Oth. Suls: Alabandite ___, ___
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite ___ Fluorite ___ Other ___

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal ___, Mesothermal Pyromet. ___

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25-40, Chlor ___ , Epi. ___ Ser. 25-35%, 2nd. bio ___ , 2nd. Kspar. ___ , Anhydrite/gyp. ___
Calcite ___ , Silica ___ , Silicification TR

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels ___ Bleaching ___ Mblization ___ Epi. ___ Garnet ___ Wollastonite ___ Diop ___
Undif. Calcsilicates ___ , Silica ___ Silicif. ___ 2nd. bio. ___ Other ___

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. ___ Bozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. ___ Depth 1-2' Sul. ___


STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature ___

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N ___ dip ___ Com. ___
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N ___ dip ___ Com. ___
Flt. intersect: ___
Bedding plane flt. ___ , Anticline/roll ___ , Syncline ___
Saddle Fis. ___ Hoodoo Cracks ___ Other ___

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite ___ , Rhyolite ___ Other none

COMMENTS: In this 10' sect. MnOx is sig.
The S 2' is a zone in which MnOx is disc.
in the ground mass of the por. tho the strike of
the Zn looks about N with others measured
at about N 55 E in this area

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION



TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 321 Size 6 lbs

Location: SW 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E & in dr ct S side Fox crk

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro ?

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 15%

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

indec's

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 3-5% 11m aft.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-45, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10-25, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit Und. grnd. _____ Depth 24-3 Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other in zone

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

Disc MnOx in grad mass of
POV as in previous samp. and
is part of same zone. About 2' length
this samp shows stray MnOx

SCALE _____

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 322 Size 6 lbs

Location: Sw 1/4 Sec. 17 T S, R E &

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. X¹⁶

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

inducks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyr 1-2% 11m alt.
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 50-75% Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 10%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Bozer cut/prosp. pit X Und. grnd. _____ Depth 28-3' Ox 2 Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

alt fading out west few feet is
only w/ by alt.

SCALE



TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 323 Size lbs

Location: No 1/4 Sec. 12 T S, R E & adjacent to 322

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Tenor Cu pitch

© indecks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2-3% 1m aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____ Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 5, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk.N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 324 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & hi grade from same loc as 318

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro 10%

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyr ^{3-5% 1/2m alt}
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal K Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. soil, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 25, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____, Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox. Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/Flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

*This is a high graded sample
from a strongly hem stn'd area showing
strong jarosite? w/ls in cavities. If this is
plumbo jar this samp should be high in
Pb & Ag.*

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE 

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 325 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 25' N 317 - 0003 - 4' high M. BOX

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U. Sam P. Schieff. G. D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. 75 85

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© **indecks** ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite wk lim att
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. _____, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____, Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Oozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS: hi graded sample of Mn Ox
this should show it thru Mn Ox in
this area is carrying Ag.

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 326 Size _____ lbs

Location: Nw $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 5' vert cuts along 70' N side Fox Wash

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. Tr

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 2-4 1/2' lim aft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____
ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. ≤ 10%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other None

COMMENTS:
1 small NE trending vein 2-4
foot or so wide carries MnOx & there
is a few stony ore adj to this. Rest is sans
MnOx

SCALE 

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 327 Size _____ lbs

Location: Nw $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17 T _____ S, R _____ E & 5 Vert. Sampls every 10' over 70' N side Pen

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS:

Pyrite 2-3% 1m ft
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS:

Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal X Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. ±5%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT:

Surf. X Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure X Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other none

COMMENTS:

Looks hungry

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. 328 Size _____ lbs

Location: NW 1/4 Sec. 17 T ___ S, R ___ E & 20' on D2 CT S side Fox CR

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro Fr?

Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Tenor _____ Cu pitch _____

index ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% lim alt
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____, Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 75-100, Chlor _____, Epi. _____ Ser. 5-25%, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica wk, Silicification wk

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____ Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio. _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION


Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

N End 6x dike

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

SCALE _____



Registered Assayers
OVER 50 YEARS

HAWLEY & HAWLEY

ASSAYERS AND CHEMISTS, INC.
BOX 50106 1700 W. GRANT RD.,
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85703 (602) 622-4836

BRANCHES

Douglas
Hayden
Morenci
Inspiration
El Paso
St. Louis

| IDENTIFICATION | Gold OPT ppm | Silver OPT ppm | Lead % ppm | Copper % ppm | Zinc % ppm | Mo. % ppm | Oz Ag | | |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----|--------|
| T - 202 C | 0.08 | 16 | 65 | 10 | | | 0.47 | | |
| 203 A | 0.05 | 1.4 | 20 | 20 | | | — | | |
| 203 B | 0.18 | 9.4 | 350 | 30 | | | 0.27 | | |
| 203 C | 0.08 | 7.0 | 80 | 20 | | | 0.20 | | |
| 204 | 0.05 | 1.0 | 25 | 10 | | | — | | |
| 205 | 0.17 | 6.8 | 55 | 20 | | | 0.20 | | |
| 206 | 0.10 | 5.2 | 70 | 10 | | | — | | |
| 207 | 0.24 | 6.2 | 135 | 20 | | | — | | |
| T - 208 | 0.10 | 6.6 | 135 | 20 | | | — | | |
| | | | | | | | 34 Gold @ \$2.00= | \$ | 68.00 |
| | | | | | | | 34 Silver 1.50= | | 51.00 |
| | | | | | | | 34 Lead & Copper 1.60= | | 54.40 |
| | | | | | | | SUBTOTAL | | 173.40 |
| | | | | | | | 34 Full preparations \$.90= | | 30.60 |

CC: Sierra Minerals
ADD: 4741 E. Sunrise Drive
CITY: Tucson, Arizona 85718
ADD: Attn: Mr. R. Hewlett
CITY: cc: J. A. Briscoe, Tucson, Ariz.

REMARKS:
Trace analysis
Page 2

Analysis Cert. By *R. E. Hewlett*

Preparation \$ 30.60
Analysis \$ 173.40

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|
| ACC: SIERRA MINERALS | Date Spl. Received: 5/22/73 | Date Compl.: 5/30/73 | 347338 | \$ 204.00 |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|



THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85721

ARIZONA BUREAU OF MINES

TEL. (602) 884-2733

18 June 1973

Mr. J. A. Briscoe
1971 Minerals Limited
4741 East Sunrise Drive
Tucson, Arizona 85716

Ore Tests 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188

Dear Mr. Briscoe:

The four samples 3, 4, 5, and 6 from State of Maine, Tombstone, were screened and each size assayed for silver.

2185 - Sample 3

| Product | Wt. % | Oz. Ag/ton | Distribution Ag % |
|---|-------|------------|----------------------|
| Heads | 100.0 | 4.88* | 100.0 |
| on $\frac{1}{2}$ inch | 28.4 | 3.05 | 17.5 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{2}$ plus $\frac{1}{4}$ inch | 11.7 | 3.30 | 7.9 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{4}$ plus 20-mesh | 36.0 | 6.20 | 45.7 |
| Minus 20-mesh | 23.9 | 5.90 | 28.9 |

*Calculated from products

2186 - Sample 4

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Heads | 100.0 | 1.74* | 100.0 |
| on $\frac{1}{2}$ inch | 74.8 | 1.50 | 64.4 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{2}$ plus $\frac{1}{4}$ inch | 12.2 | 2.10 | 14.7 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{4}$ plus 20-mesh | 10.1 | 2.70 | 15.6 |
| Minus 20-mesh | 2.9 | 3.20 | 5.3 |

*Calculated

2187 - Sample 5

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Heads | 100.0 | 2.44* | 100.0 |
| on $\frac{1}{2}$ inch | 57.4 | 1.60 | 41.8 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{2}$ plus $\frac{1}{4}$ inch | 13.1 | 3.60 | 21.5 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{4}$ plus 20-mesh | 22.5 | 2.60 | 26.2 |
| Minus 20-mesh | 7.5 | 3.10 | 10.5 |

*Calculated

Mr. J. A. Briscoe
Page 2
18 June 1973


2188 - Sample 6

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Heads | 100.0 | 2.45* | 100.0 |
| on $\frac{1}{2}$ inch | 58.8 | 1.80 | 47.1 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{2}$ plus $\frac{1}{4}$ inch | 14.3 | 2.50 | 15.9 |
| Minus $\frac{1}{4}$ plus 20-mesh | 19.8 | 2.80 | 24.4 |
| Minus 20-mesh | 7.1 | 4.00 | 12.6 |

*Calculated

The only sample that has promise is Sample 3. We are saving the products in case you would need further information.

Yours very truly,


George H. Roseveare
Metallurgist

GHR:rj

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA BUREAU OF MINES
ORE TESTING SERVICE

May 30, 1973

Mr. J. A. Briscoe
1971 Minerals Ltd.
Sierra Mineral Management
4741 E. Sunrise Dr.
Tucson, Arizona 85716

Dear Mr. Briscoe:

Ore Test No. 2182

A sample of minus 20-mesh product which Mr. Smith had screened from the State of Maine dump was separated into minus 20 plus 100-mesh, which assayed 8.3 ounces silver per ton, and minus 100-mesh products.

The minus 100-mesh product was cyanided in a rotating bottle at 40 percent solids for 72 hours and after settling the solution was syphoned off. There is clay present in the material and a flocculent was needed to make the solids settle. More water was added and the pulp agitated for a few minutes and again allowed to settle and the clear solution syphoned off. This procedure was repeated.

The results are given in the following table:

| <u>Product</u> | <u>Percent weight based on -100-mesh product</u> | <u>Ounces silver per ton</u> | <u>Distribution percent silver</u> |
|----------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Head | 100.0 | 6.05* | 100.0 |
| Solution #1 | 85.0 | 2.55 | 40.7 |
| " #2 | 68.0 | 2.08 | 23.3 |
| " #3 | 92.0 | 0.87 | 13.3 |
| Tailing | 98.0 | 1.40 | 22.7 |

*Calculated

The cyanide consumption was 3.0 pounds per ton and lime, 2.5 pounds. The silver recovered amounted to 77.3 percent of the silver in the minus 100-mesh.

Another test was made on the minus 20-mesh desliming the material at about 200-mesh and cyaniding the plus 200-mesh sands. There was too much fine material left in the sands for the solution to percolate down through the sand in a 20-inch column. I would not recommend leaving any material less than 20-mesh in the sands to be leached.

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA BUREAU OF MINES
ORE TESTING SERVICE

#2, 5/30/73

Mr. J. A. Briscoe

State of Maine

Ore Test No. 2183

The sample you delivered to the Arizona Bureau of Mines, May 25, was screened and the products assayed. The results are given in the following table:

| <u>Screen size</u> | <u>Weight Percent</u> | <u>Assay ounces silver</u> | <u>Distribution percent silver</u> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Head | 100.0 | 4.25* | 100.0 |
| on 1" | 31.8 | 2.00 | 15.0 |
| Minus 1 on 3/4" | 9.1 | 2.75 | 6.0 |
| 3/4 on 1/2" | 6.9 | 2.30 | 3.7 |
| 1/2 on 1/4" | 16.2 | 3.85 | 14.8 |
| 1/4 on 10-mesh | 17.6 | 6.90 | 28.6 |
| 10 on 20-mesh | 6.9 | 6.40 | 10.4 |
| 20-mesh | 11.3 | 8.10 | 21.5 |

*Calculated from products

The silver values in the minus 1/2 plus 20-mesh amounted to 40.7 percent of the weight and contained 53.8 percent of the silver in the mine run sample. It assayed 5.8 ounces silver.

We are holding the samples in reserve in case you may wish to have cyanide test made on any of these products.

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA BUREAU OF MINES
ORE TESTING SERVICE

#3, 5/30/73

Mr. J. A. Briscoe

Bisbee Group

Ore Test No. 2184


The sample you delivered from the Bisbee group, May 25, was screened and each size assayed. The results are given in the following table:

| <u>Product</u> | <u>Weight percent</u> | <u>Assay Ounces Silver</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Head | 100.0 | 2.00* |
| plus 1" | 32.5 | 1.30 |
| Minus 1 plus 3/4" | 12.4 | 4.20 |
| 3/4 " 1/2" | 10.4 | 2.20 |
| 1/2 " 1/4" | 21.4 | 1.80 |
| 1/4 " 10-mesh | 11.4 | 2.20 |
| 10 on 20-mesh | 3.5 | 1.85 |
| 20-mesh | 8.4 | 3.80 |

*Calculated

This sample is too low to be considered ore.


Yours very truly,


Geo. Roseveare,
Metallurgist

GR:B

SKYLINE LABS, INC.

Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P. O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Pb ppm | Cu ppm | O ₂ Ag | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | T - 276 ✓ | <0.02 | 4.0 | 125 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 2 | 277 ✓ | <0.02 | 0.2 | 85 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 3 | 281A ✓ | 0.18 | 28. | 6,800 | 75 | 0.82 | | | | |
| 4 | 281B ✓ | 0.23 | 26. | 3,800 | 40 | 0.76 | | | | |
| 5 | 282 ✓ | 0.11 | 165. | 8,900 | 1,300 | 4.81 | | | | |
| 6 | 283 ✓ | <0.02 | 6.6 | 8,100 | 50 | 0.19 | | | | |
| 7 | 287 ✓ | <0.02 | 8.2 | 4,000 | 45 | 0.24 | | | | |
| 8 | 288 ✓ | <0.02 | 11. | 3,600 | 30 | 0.32 | | | | |
| 9 | 289 ✓ | 0.11 | 36. | 1,400 | 30 | 1.05 | | | | |
| 10 | 290 ✓ | <0.02 | 165. | 4,400 | 180 | 4.81 | | | | |
| 11 | 291 ✓ | 0.06 | 3.6 | 30 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 12 | 292 ✓ | <0.02 | 2.8 | 1,100 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 13 | 293A ✓ | <0.02 | 18. | 1,400 | 75 | 0.52 | | | | |
| 14 | 293B ✓ | 0.19 | 13. | 1,300 | 85 | 0.38 | | | | |
| 15 | 294 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.4 | 125 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 16 | 295 ✓ | <0.02 | 4.2 | 3,200 | 45 | — | | | | |
| 17 | 296 ✓ | <0.02 | 0.8 | 55 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 18 | 297 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.0 | 425 | 25 | — | | | | |
| 19 | 298 ✓ | 0.03 | 13. | 1,500 | 90 | 0.39 | | | | |
| 20 | 299 ✓ | 0.06 | 62. | 16,000 | 260 | 1.81 | | | | |
| 21 | 332 ✓ | 0.06 | 4.2 | 850 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 22 | 333 ✓ | <0.02 | 4.0 | 1,300 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 23 | 334 ✓ | <0.02 | 6.6 | 1,900 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 24 | 335 ✓ | <0.02 | 1.0 | 110 | 25 | — | | | | |
| 25 | T - 336 ✓ | <0.02 | 4.4 | 4,100 | 100 | — | | | | |

TO: James A. Briscoe DBA
 Sierra Mineral Management
 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 Attn: Mr. R. Hewlett

REMARKS: Trace analysis
 Page 1 of 2

CERTIFIED BY:

ACCT.: SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT

DATE REC'D: 6/26/73

DATE COMPL.: 6/29/73

347509

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-287 Size 10 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & state of Maine shaft

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff.G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© indecks

ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965

RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite 3-5% hem
Ag Mins: Argentite Pb Mins: Galena , Tetrahedrite , Bournonite , Other
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite , Bornite , Chalcocite , Covellite , Other
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack , var. Rosinjack , Oth. Suls: Alabandite ,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite Fluorite Other

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal , Mesothermal X Pyromet.

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:

Argill. 25-50, Chlor , Epi. , Ser. 50-75, 2nd. bio , 2nd. Kspar. , Anhydrite/gyp.
Calcite , Silica , Silicification

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:

Hornfels Bleaching Mblization Epi. Garnet Wollastonite Diop
Undif. Calcsilicates , Silica Silicif. 2nd. bio. Other

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. Dozer cut/prosp. pit X Und. grnd. Depth 3' Ox. Sul.

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature

N.E. Ore Fissure Stk. N dip Com.
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N 1737W dip 42 Com. stake marks 1737
Flt. intersect:
Bedding plane flt. , Anticline/roll , Syncline
Saddle Fis. Hoodoo Cracks Other

DIKE ASSOCIATION

Monzonite , Rhyolite Other

COMMENTS:

Hanging wall if more strongly
broken 1737 42

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

See T-238

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-289 Size 10 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & State of Vermont shaft collar

ASSAY RESULTS: Au PPM oz Ag PPM oz Pb PPM %

Cu PPM % Zn PPM % Mn PPM % Mo PPM %

Other: PPM % PPM % PPM %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. X Schieff. G.D. Por. dike Type

Bisbee Group: Novac. , Blue Is. , Shale , Other

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. , Other:

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS

See T-288 for description

Native Met: Au Ag Cu Horn Silver Pb Mins: Plumbojaro

Wulfenite , Cerusite , Anglesite Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min.

Psilomelane , Pyrolusite , Manganite , Rhodochrosite Blk cal

Zn Mins: Smithsonite , Calamine Aurichalcite , Hydrozincite

Rosasite Cu Mins: Chrys , Mal. , Az Bro Teno Cu pitch

© indecks ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK

MINERALIZATION CONTINUED:

SULFIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS: Pyrite _____
Ag Mins: Argentite _____ Pb Mins: Galena _____, Tetrahedrite _____, Bournonite _____, Other _____
Cu Mins: Chalcopyrite _____, Bornite _____, Chalcocite _____, Covellite _____, Other _____
Zn Mins: Sphalerite var. Blackjack _____, var. Rosinjack _____ Oth. Suls: Alabandite _____,
GANGUE MINERALS: Barite _____ Fluorite _____ Other _____

ALTERATION TYPE AND ALTERATION MINERALS: Alteration Type: Epithermal _____, Mesothermal _____, Pyromet. _____

ALTERATION IGNEOUS ROCKS:
Argill. _____, Chlor _____, Epi. _____, Ser. _____, 2nd. bio _____, 2nd. Kspar. _____, Anhydrite/gyp. _____
Calcite _____, Silica _____, Silicification _____

ALTERATION SEDIMENTARY ROCKS:
Hornfels _____ Bleaching _____ Mblization _____ Epi. _____ Garnet _____ Wollastonite _____ Diop _____
Undif. Calcsilicates _____, Silica _____, Silicif. _____ 2nd. bio _____ Other _____

SAMPLES' WEATHERING ENVIRONMENT: Surf. _____ Dozer cut/prosp. pit _____ Und. grnd. _____ Depth _____ Ox Sul. _____

STRUCTURAL ENVIRONMENT: Rk not vis. assoc. w/ a structural feature _____

N.E. Ore Fissure _____ Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
N-S dike/fissure/flts Stk. N _____ dip _____ Com. _____
Flt. intersect: _____
Bedding plane flt. _____, Anticline/roll _____, Syncline _____
Saddle Fis. _____ Hoodoo Cracks _____ Other _____

DIKE ASSOCIATION
Monzonite _____, Rhyolite _____ Other _____

COMMENTS:

SKETCH OF SAMPLE LOCATION

See T-238

SCALE

TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT SAMPLE DATA: Samp. No. T-288 Size 10 lbs

Location: NE 1/4 Sec. 16 T S, R E & S. of Keama shaft collar

ASSAY RESULTS: Au _____ PPM _____ oz Ag _____ PPM _____ oz Pb _____ PPM _____ %

Cu _____ PPM _____ % Zn _____ PPM _____ % Mn _____ PPM _____ % Mo _____ PPM _____ %

Other: _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ % _____ PPM _____ %

ROCK TYPE: U.Sam P. Schieff. G.D. _____ Por. dike _____ Type _____

Bisbee Group: Novac. _____, Blue Is. _____, Shale _____, Other _____

Paleozoic Seds: Naco Fm. _____, Other: _____

MINERALIZATION: OXIDE ZONE ORE MINERALS


Native Met: Au _____ Ag _____ Cu _____ Horn Silver _____ Pb Mins: Plumbojaro _____


Wulfenite _____, Cerusite _____, Anglesite _____ Mn Mins: Undif. Wad Min. _____

Psilomelane _____, Pyrolusite _____, Manganite _____, Rhodochrosite _____ Blk cal _____

Zn Mins: Smithsonite _____, Calamine _____ Aurichalcite _____, Hydrozincite _____

Rosasite _____ Cu Mins: Chrys _____, Mal. _____, Az _____ Bro _____ Teno _____ Cu pitch _____

 ARLINGTON, VERMONT 1965 RESEARCH DECK



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Pb ppm | Cu ppm | O ₂ Ag | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 26 | T - 337 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 70 | 10 | — | | | | |
| 27 | 338 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 165 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 28 | 339 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 50 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 29 | 340 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 175 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 30 | 341 | 0.07 | 5.6 | 950 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 31 | 342 | <0.02 | 4.2 | 2,100 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 32 | 343 | 0.03 | 3.2 | 1,200 | 25 | — | | | | |
| 33 | 344 | <0.02 | 4.0 | 1,400 | 10 | — | | | | |
| 34 | 345 | <0.02 | 11. | 1,700 | 10 | 0.31 | | | | |
| 35 | 346 | <0.02 | 6.8 | 2,300 | 10 | — | | | | |
| 36 | 347 | <0.02 | 6.0 | 1,400 | 10 | — | | | | |
| 37 | 348 | 0.03 | 3.6 | 1,400 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 38 | 349 | 0.09 | 3.8 | 1,200 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 39 | 350 | <0.02 | 2.2 | 800 | 15 | — | | | | |
| 40 | 351 | 0.04 | 3.8 | 950 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 41 | 352 | <0.02 | 4.0 | 1,100 | 20 | — | | | | |
| 42 | T - 353 | <0.02 | 1.8 | 545 | 15 | — | | | | |

TO: James A. Briscoe DBA
 Sierra Mineral Management
 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 Attn: Mr. R. Hewlett

REMARKS:
 Trace analysis
 Page 2


CERTIFIED BY: *H. E. Richard*
 H. E. RICHARD
 Date Signed
 Arizona U. S. A.



| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| ACCT.: SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT | DATE REC'D: 6/26/73 | DATE COMPL.: 6/29/73 | 347509 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|

SKYLINE LABS, INC.

Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P.O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Cu ppm | Pb ppm | Oz Ag | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | T - 354 | 0.30 | 28 | 185 | 1,250 | 0.82 | | | | |
| 2 | T - 355 | 0.50 | 820 | 255 | 2,150 | 23.91 | | | | |
| 3 | T - 356 | 0.64 | 157 | 110 | 2,350 | 4.58 | | | | |
| 4 | T - 357 | 0.21 | 82 | 185 | 3,300 | 2.39 | | | | |
| 5 | T - 358 | 0.10 | 35 | 70 | 1,400 | 1.02 | | | | |
| 6 | T - 359 ✓ | <0.02 | 24 | 120 | 1,300 | 0.70 | | | | |
| 7 | T - 360 ✓ | <0.02 | 10 | 30 | 1,250 | 0.29 | | | | |
| 8 | T - 361 ✓ | <0.02 | 101 | 85 | 800 | 2.96 | | | | |
| 9 | T - 362 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 5 | 35 | — | | | | |
| 10 | T - 363 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 5 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 11 | T - 364 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 5 | 35 | — | | | | |
| 12 | T - 365 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 20 | 35 | — | | | | |
| 13 | T - 366 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 10 | 165 | — | | | | |
| 14 | T - 367 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 10 | 950 | — | | | | |
| 15 | T - 368 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 15 | 1,200 | — | | | | |
| 16 | T - 369 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 10 | 110 | — | | | | |
| 17 | T - 370 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 10 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 18 | T - 371 | <0.02 | 8.2 | 15 | 750 | 0.24 | | | | |
| 19 | T - 372 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 20 | 35 | — | | | | |
| 20 | T - 373 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 15 | 75 | — | | | | |
| 21 | T - 374 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 10 | 170 | — | | | | |
| 22 | T - 375A | <0.02 | 4.4 | 35 | 80 | 0.13 | | | | |
| 23 | T - 375B | <0.02 | 5.8 | 180 | 600 | 0.17 | | | | |
| 24 | T - 451 | <0.02 | 2.0 | 10 | 80 | — | | | | |
| 25 | T - 452 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 15 | 180 | — | | | | |
| 26 | T - 453 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 20 | 40 | — | | | | |
| 27 | T - 454 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 20 | 50 | — | | | | |
| 28 | T - 455 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 15 | 70 | — | | | | |
| 29 | T - 456 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 20 | 30 | — | | | | |
| 30 | T - 457 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 25 | 80 | — | | | | |
| 31 | T - 458 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 5 | 50 | — | | | | |
| 32 | T - 459 | <0.02 | < 0.2 | 20 | 200 | — | | | | |

TO: J. A. Briscoe DBA Sierra Mineral Mgmt.,
 4741 East Sunrise Drive, Tucson, Az. 85718
 Attn: Mr. Hewlett
 cc: Mr. J. A. Briscoe
 6814 Santa Aurelia, Tucson, Az. 85715

REMARKS: Trace analysis


CERTIFIED BY:

ACCT.: J. A. BRISCOE, DBA
 SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT

DATE REC'D: 7/3/73

DATE COMPL.: 7/11/73

347558



SKYLINE LABS, INC.
 Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P. O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Cu ppm | Pb ppm | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | T - 376 | 0.10 | 2.2 | 70 | 285 | | | | | |
| 2 | 377 | 0.07 | 1.6 | 35 | 245 | | | | | |
| 3 | 378 | 0.15 | 1.8 | 75 | 115 | | | | | |
| 4 | 379 | 0.06 | <0.2 | 35 | 160 | | | | | |
| 5 | 380 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 25 | 110 | | | | | |
| 6 | 381 | 0.05 | 1.4 | 55 | 100 | | | | | |
| 7 | 382 | 0.03 | 0.6 | 15 | 185 | | | | | |
| 8 | 383 | 0.22 | 5.0 | 40 | 365 | | | | | |
| 9 | 384 | 0.06 | 4.0 | 10 | 185 | | | | | |
| 10 | 385 | 0.06 | 3.8 | 10 | 270 | | | | | |
| 11 | 386 | 0.04 | 1.4 | 20 | 25 | | | | | |
| 12 | 387 | 0.02 | 4.0 | 10 | 350 | | | | | |
| 13 | 388 | 0.10 | 5.6 | 10 | 295 | | | | | |
| 14 | T - 389 | <0.02 | 1.6 | 10 | 55 | | | | | |

TO: Sierra Mineral Management
 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 Tucson, Arizona 85715
 cc: Mr. James A. Briscoe

REMARKS:
 Trace analysis


CERTIFIED BY:

ACCT.: SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT

DATE REC'D: 8/1/73

DATE COMPL.: 8/6/73

347691



SKYLINE LABS, INC.
 Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P. O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Cu ppm | Pb ppm | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | T - 390 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 20 | 110 | | | | | |
| 2 | 391 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 25 | 30 | | | | | |
| 3 | 392 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 20 | 75 | | | | | |
| 4 | 393 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 40 | 25 | | | | | |
| 5 | 394 | <0.02 | 2.0 | 15 | 80 | | | | | |
| 6 | 395 | <0.02 | 1.6 | 20 | 75 | | | | | |
| 7 | 396 | <0.02 | 4.4 | 20 | 45 | | | | | |
| 8 | 397 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 50 | 20 | | | | | |
| 9 | 398 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 25 | | | | | |
| 10 | 399 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 30 | | | | | |
| 11 | 400 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 50 | | | | | |
| 12 | 401 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 50 | | | | | |
| 13 | 402 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 35 | | | | | |
| 14 | 403 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 100 | | | | | |
| 15 | 404 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 25 | 25 | | | | | |
| 16 | 405 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 30 | | | | | |
| 17 | 406 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 90 | | | | | |
| 18 | 407 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 30 | | | | | |
| 19 | 408 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 25 | | | | | |
| 20 | 409 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 55 | | | | | |
| 21 | 410 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 25 | | | | | |
| 22 | 411 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 30 | | | | | |
| 23 | 412 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 35 | | | | | |
| 24 | 413 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 35 | | | | | |
| 25 | T - 414 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 75 | | | | | |

To: A. Briscoe DBA Sierra Mineral Mng't.
 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 cc: James A. Briscoe
 6418 Santa Aurelia, Tucson, Az. 85715

REMARKS:

Trace analysis

Page 1 of 2


CERTIFIED BY:

Acct: A. Briscoe DBA
 SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT

DATE REC'D:
 8/6/73

DATE COMPL.:
 8/14/73

347719



SKYLINE LABS, INC.
 Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P.O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Cu ppm | Pb ppm | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 26 | T - 415 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 35 | | | | |
| 27 | 416 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 30 | | | | |
| 28 | 417 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 20 | 20 | | | | |
| 29 | 418 | 0.06 | <0.2 | 25 | 35 | | | | |
| 30 | 419 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 20 | 50 | | | | |
| 31 | 420 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 20 | 35 | | | | |
| 32 | 421 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 50 | | | | |
| 33 | 422 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 25 | 125 | | | | |
| 34 | 423 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 85 | | | | |
| 35 | 424 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 25 | | | | |
| 36 | 425 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 40 | 40 | | | | |
| 37 | 426 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 30 | | | | |
| 38 | 427 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 45 | | | | |
| 39 | 428 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 15 | | | | |
| 40 | 429 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 25 | | | | |
| 41 | 430 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 10 | 130 | | | | |
| 42 | 431 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 100 | | | | |
| 43 | T - 432 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 65 | | | | |

TO: **J. A. Briscoe DBA Sierra Mineral Mng't.**
 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 cc: James A. Briscoe
 6418 Santa Aurelia, Tucson, Az. 85715

REMARKS:
 Trace analysis
 Page 2 of 2


CERTIFIED BY:

ACCT.: **J. A. Briscoe DBA**
SIERRA MINERAL MANAGEMENT

DATE REC'D:
 8/6/73

DATE COMPL.:
 8/14/73

347719



SKYLINE LABS, INC.

Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P. O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Cu ppm | Pb ppm | Zn ppm | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | TDC-12 0 - 5 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 285 | 35 | | | | |
| 2 | 5 - 10 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 20 | 100 | 60 | | | | |
| 3 | 10 - 15 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 120 | 65 | | | | |
| 4 | 15 - 20 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 5 | 90 | 100 | | | | |
| 5 | 20 - 25 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 20 | 190 | 205 | | | | |
| 6 | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 25 | 185 | 170 | | | | |
| 7 | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 10 | 270 | 40 | | | | |
| 8 | 35 - 40 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 5 | 160 | 25 | | | | |
| 9 | 40 - 45 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 110 | 100 | | | | |
| 10 | TDC-12 45 - 48 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 10 | 75 | 70 | | | | |
| 11 | TDC-13 0 - 5 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 60 | 35 | | | | |
| 12 | 5 - 10 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 60 | 45 | | | | |
| 13 | 10 - 15 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 185 | 45 | | | | |
| 14 | 15 - 20 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 5 | 155 | 25 | | | | |
| 15 | 20 - 25 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 630 | 25 | | | | |
| 16 | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 55 | 15 | | | | |
| 17 | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 50 | 20 | | | | |
| 18 | 35 - 40 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 20 | 460 | 105 | | | | |
| 19 | 40 - 45 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 75 | 80 | | | | |
| 20 | TDC-13 45 - 48 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 60 | 75 | | | | |
| 21 | TDC-14 0 - 5 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 20 | 55 | 50 | | | | |
| 22 | 5 - 10 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 10 | 50 | 45 | | | | |
| 23 | 10 - 15 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 270 | 50 | | | | |
| 24 | 15 - 20 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 195 | 30 | | | | |
| 25 | 20 - 25 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 290 | 45 | | | | |
| 26 | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 165 | 50 | | | | |
| 27 | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 290 | 155 | | | | |
| 28 | 35 - 40 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 390 | 65 | | | | |
| 29 | 40 - 45 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 20 | 165 | 50 | | | | |
| 30 | TDC-14 45 - 48 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 270 | 40 | | | | |
| 31 | TDC-15 0 - 5 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 170 | 255 | | | | |
| 32 | 5 - 10 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 20 | 210 | 335 | | | | |
| 33 | 10 - 15 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 25 | 150 | 45 | | | | |
| 34 | 15 - 20 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 30 | 60 | 45 | | | | |
| 35 | TDC-15 20 - 25 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 60 | 140 | 25 | | | | |

TO:
 Sierra Minerals
 4741 East Sunrise Drive
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 cc: Mr. James Briscoe, 6418 Santa Aurelia
 Tucson, Arizona 85715

REMARKS:
 Trace analysis
 Page 1 of 3

CERTIFIED BY:

| | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| ACCT.: SIERRA MINERALS | DATE REC'D: 8/31/73 | DATE COMPL.: 9/19/73 | 347861 |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|

SKYLINE LABS, INC.

Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P. O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS


| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Cu ppm | Pb ppm | Zn ppm | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| 36 | TDC-15 | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 80 | 25 | | | |
| 37 | | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 70 | 25 | | | |
| 38 | | 35 - 40 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 230 | 20 | | | |
| 39 | | 40 - 45 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 150 | 15 | | | |
| 40 | TDC-15 | 45 - 48 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 105 | 10 | | | |
| 41 | TDC-16 | 0 - 5 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 15 | 190 | 35 | | | |
| 42 | | 5 - 10 | <0.02 | 2.0 | 10 | 55 | 25 | | | |
| 43 | | 10 - 15 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 10 | 310 | 20 | | | |
| 44 | | 15 - 20 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 10 | 75 | 20 | | | |
| 45 | | 20 - 25 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 240 | 25 | | | |
| 46 | | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 10 | 270 | 65 | | | |
| 47 | | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 20 | 200 | 140 | | | |
| 48 | | 35 - 40 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 15 | 700 | 170 | | | |
| 49 | | 40 - 45 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 105 | 145 | | | |
| 50 | TDC-16 | 45 - 48 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 10 | 300 | 160 | | | |
| 51 | TDC-17 | 0 - 5 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 15 | 55 | 30 | | | |
| 52 | | 5 - 10 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 10 | 50 | 60 | | | |
| 53 | | 10 - 15 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 10 | 65 | 25 | | | |
| 54 | | 15 - 20 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 10 | 65 | 20 | | | |
| 55 | | 20 - 25 | <0.02 | <0.2 | 10 | 165 | 35 | | | |
| 56 | | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 25 | 105 | 35 | | | |
| 57 | | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | 1.4 | 20 | 45 | 50 | | | |
| 58 | | 35 - 40 | <0.02 | 1.8 | 35 | 185 | 30 | | | |
| 59 | | 40 - 45 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 15 | 120 | 80 | | | |
| 60 | TDC-17 | 45 - 48 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 10 | 115 | 80 | | | |
| 61 | TDC-18 | 0 - 5 | <0.02 | 0.2 | 15 | 40 | 15 | | | |
| 62 | | 5 - 10 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 15 | 35 | 40 | | | |
| 63 | | 10 - 15 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 15 | 80 | 60 | | | |
| 64 | | 15 - 20 | <0.02 | 0.6 | 170 | 110 | 50 | | | |
| 65 | | 20 - 25 | <0.02 | 1.0 | 85 | 240 | 55 | | | |
| 66 | | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | 1.4 | 25 | 60 | 30 | | | |
| 67 | | 30 - 35 | 0.07 | 5.2 | 15 | 100 | 75 | | | |
| 68 | | 35 - 40 | 0.07 | 1.4 | 10 | 150 | 85 | | | |
| 69 | | 40 - 45 | 0.05 | 1.2 | 15 | 110 | 95 | | | |
| 70 | TDC-18 | 45 - 48 | <0.02 | 1.5 | 15 | 75 | 70 | | | |

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------------|
| TO: | REMARKS: | CERTIFIED BY: |
| | Trace analysis Page 2 of 3 | |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| ACCT.: SIERRA MINERALS | DATE REC'D: 8/31/73 | DATE COMPL.: 9/19/73 | 347861 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|

SKYLINE LABS, INC.

Hawley & Hawley, Assayers and Chemists Division
 P. O. Box 50106, 1700 W. Grant Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85703



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| ITEM NO. | SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | Au ppm | Ag ppm | Cu ppm | Pb ppm | Zn ppm | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 71 | TDC-19 0 - 5 | <0.02 | 12. | 10 | 60 | 25 | | | | |
| 72 | 5 - 10 | 0.16 | 24. | 15 | 30 | 35 | | | | |
| 73 | 10 - 15 | 0.06 | 6.0 | 10 | 135 | 35 | | | | |
| 74 | 15 - 20 | 0.05 | 3.2 | 20 | 65 | 55 | | | | |
| 75 | 20 - 25 | 0.05 | 1.6 | 10 | 225 | 90 | | | | |
| 76 | 25 - 30 | 0.09 | 3.6 | 40 | 340 | 145 | | | | |
| 77 | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | 2.8 | 5 | 70 | 35 | | | | |
| 78 | 35 - 40 | 0.10 | 6.2 | 10 | 180 | 70 | | | | |
| 79 | 40 - 45 | 0.07 | 4.0 | 10 | 55 | 35 | | | | |
| 80 | TDC-19 45 - 48 | <0.02 | 1.6 | 30 | 60 | 30 | | | | |
| 81 | TDC-20 0 - 5 | 0.09 | 25. | 30 | 750 | 310 | | | | |
| 82 | 5 - 10 | 0.15 | 5.2 | 10 | 180 | 155 | | | | |
| 83 | 10 - 15 | 0.05 | 2.6 | 5 | 440 | 25 | | | | |
| 84 | 15 - 20 | 0.03 | 1.4 | 5 | 120 | 15 | | | | |
| 85 | 20 - 25 | 0.03 | 2.4 | 5 | 170 | 20 | | | | |
| 86 | 25 - 30 | <0.02 | 1.6 | 5 | 40 | 25 | | | | |
| 87 | 30 - 35 | 0.07 | 3.0 | 5 | 35 | 35 | | | | |
| 88 | 35 - 40 | 0.04 | 2.6 | 20 | 175 | 50 | | | | |
| 89 | 40 - 45 | 0.05 | 2.4 | 30 | 40 | 50 | | | | |
| 90 | TDC-20 45 - 48 | <0.02 | 2.0 | 20 | 60 | 45 | | | | |
| 91 | TDC-21 0 - 5 | <0.02 | 1.4 | 5 | 125 | 40 | | | | |
| 92 | 5 - 10 | 0.06 | 6.4 | 5 | 65 | 65 | | | | |
| 93 | 10 - 15 | 0.07 | 4.8 | 5 | 160 | 100 | | | | |
| 94 | 15 - 20 | 0.10 | 2.4 | 10 | 90 | 130 | | | | |
| 95 | 20 - 25 | 0.04 | 3.6 | 10 | 150 | 50 | | | | |
| 96 | 25 - 30 | 0.03 | 2.0 | 5 | 75 | 45 | | | | |
| 97 | 30 - 35 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 5 | 50 | 15 | | | | |
| 98 | 35 - 40 | <0.02 | 0.8 | 5 | 120 | 20 | | | | |
| 99 | 40 - 45 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 5 | 55 | 15 | | | | |
| 100 | 45 - 50 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 5 | 250 | 25 | | | | |
| 101 | 50 - 55 | <0.02 | 0.4 | 10 | 125 | 50 | | | | |
| 102 | TDC-21 55 - 60 | <0.02 | 1.2 | 10 | 100 | 60 | | | | |

TO: _____ REMARKS: _____ CERTIFIED BY: _____

Trace analysis
 Page 3 of 3

ACCT.: SIERRA MINERALS DATE REC'D: 8/31/73 DATE COMPL.: 9/19/73 347861

ATT. 1 & 2
GEOL. & SAMP.

ATT. 3 - 11
X SECTIONS

ATT. 15-36, Bul.
143 X SECTIONS