



CONTACT INFORMATION

Mining Records Curator
Arizona Geological Survey
416 W. Congress St., Suite 100
Tucson, Arizona 85701
602-771-1601
<http://www.azgs.az.gov>
inquiries@azgs.az.gov

The following file is part of the Grover Heinrichs Mining Collection

ACCESS STATEMENT

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

QUALITY STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

maximum information on the localization, grade and quality of the deposits.

* * * * *

ALTERATION AND MINERALIZATION IN THE IRWIN DISTRICT, GUNNISON COUNTY, COLO.

By Arthur A. Socolow

In this area a thick sequence of clastic sediments was invaded by a group of dikes, sills, and laccoliths, all acid in nature and genetically related. Following the intrusions, there was mineralized a system of fissures which had originated during a broad warping. Base metal and precious metal mining operations have developed here. The large intrusions show so little in the way of distinctive contact effects that an insulating action by the early chill margins is suggested. The wall rock alteration by the solutions which mineralized the fissures, consists of silicification and sericitization; this alteration is restricted to well defined zones parallel to the fissure walls. Pervading the rocks of the entire area is yet another type of secondary mineralization consisting in the development of epidote, chlorite, and pyrite. This would seem to fit the concept of propylitization, even though the rock assemblage of the area is acid in nature. Structural and textural data indicate that much of epidote-chlorite-pyrite was brought in as such by hydrothermal solutions, rather than developed in situ at the expense of pre-existing minerals. It is suggested that this propylitic type of mineralization has developed in a thick, widespread zone over the roof of a deep-seated batholith, the very same mass which was responsible for the broad warping and fracturing.

* * * * *

TRACING GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURES BY GEOCHEMICAL MEANS

By Forbes Robertson

Geological structures including contacts of intrusive rocks; "favorable" beds for replacement orebodies; and fissure veins can be traced by geochemical means in mining districts. A recent investigation to be published by Montana Bureau of

inherent components of force in forming flood zones of mineralization in the axial-crestal plane zones of anticlines. The flood zones in turn have the maximum chance of containing major ore deposits.

The advantages, limitations, and pitfalls inherent with anticlinally controlled exploration are briefly presented.

* * * * *

GEOLOGICAL, MINERALOGICAL, AND CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC FACTORS IN THE EXPLOITATION OF LEPIDOLITE DEPOSITS

By E. Wm. Heinrich and A. A. Levinson

The chief districts in the United States from which lepidolite has been produced are Maine, the Black Hills, Gunnison County, Colorado, Taos County, New Mexico, and the Pala district, California. Major areas of production or potential production in foreign countries include southeastern Manitoba, Canada, Varutrask, Sweden, Haut Vienne, France, Karibib, South-west Africa, Southern Australia. Lepidolite and lithian muscovite are restricted to complex pegmatites, i.e., those with both primary zones and secondary units. These uncommon complex pegmatites normally occur in the marginal zone of a district, close to the margins of the parent batholith, usually in bordering metamorphic rocks. Within individual pegmatites lepidolite typically is confined to secondary units, such as fracture fillings and replacement bodies, which have been localized by various primary structural features of the pegmatite. Cleavelandite or sugary albite, quartz and a wide variety of rare constituents are characteristic associates. Two or more generations of lepidolite may occur in a single deposit, and each may be characterized by distinctive associates, macrostructural properties and composition including the Li_2O content. Color in lepidolite is independent of the Li_2O content. Lepidolite occurs in four polymorphic structural types, which can be correlated with their Li_2O contents. Different polymorphs occur in different parts of the same deposit and even in different parts of the same book. X-ray studies can predict the approximate Li_2O content. Because of these small-scale variations in the nature and occurrence of lepidolite, detailed geological, mineralogical and crystallographic studies must be combined in order to obtain the