

CONTACT INFORMATION Mining Records Curator Arizona Geological Survey 3550 N. Central Ave, 2nd floor Phoenix, AZ, 85012 602-771-1601 http://www.azgs.az.gov inquiries@azgs.az.gov

The following file is part of the Grover Heinrichs Mining Collection

ACCESS STATEMENT

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT


The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

QUALITY STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

Sano Niño Chare menhatten on Shafted Burny in men 2. Hut ment - (Chick Tax ucorts on Patient Claims) 3.



STATE OF NEVADA)) COUN : OF WASHOE)

1972-73 AFFIDAVIT OF ANNUAL ASSESSMENT

SS.

Sanford A. Taylor, being first duly sworn, states and says:

1. That in making this Affidavit he is acting for and on behalf of UTAH INTERNATIONAL INC. (formerly known as Utah Construction & Mining Co.) the locator or lessee of the following unpatented mining claims.

2. That this Affidavit is made for the purpose of showing and making of record the performance of annual assessment or representative work as required by law between the 1st day of September, 1972 and through the 31st day of August, 1973 on the following claims located and situated in the Patagonia Mining District, Santa Cruz County, Arizona, and recorded in the records of Santa Cruz County as follows:

Claim Name	Docket	Page
Quercus One	100	394
Q-2 thru Q-8	100	395-401
Q-10 Relocation Q-12 Relocation Q-14 Relocation Q-16 Relocation Q-18 Relocation Q-20 Relocation Q-21 thru Q-34 Q-41 thru Q-74 Q-76 thru Q-105 Q-112 thru Q-121 Q-125 Q-126 Q-126 Q-128 Q-130 Q-131 Q-132 Q-133 Q-134A Q-135A Q-136 Q-137 Q-138 Q-139 Q-140 Q-141	102 102 102 102 102 102 100 100 100 100	651 653 655 657 659 661 414-427 428-461 462-491 492-501 502 503 505 507 508 509 510 473 474 513 514 515 516 517 518
Q-142 Q-143 Q-144	100 100 100	519 520 521
Q-145	100	522
Q-146 thru Q-151	100	523-528
Q-152 Q-153 Q-154 Q-155 Q-155	100 100 100 100 100	529 530 531 532 533
		tinued -

UTAH INTERNATIONAL INC. Quercus One et al Claims - Santa Cruz County, Ariz. 1972-73 Assessment Affidavit Page #2

(r. 3

Claim Name	Docket	Page
Q-157 Q-158 Q-159 Q-160 Q-161 Q-162 Q-163 Q-164 Q-165 Q-166 thru Q-181 Q-183 Relocation Q-186 thru Q-192 Q-193 Relocation Q-104 Relocation Q-104 Relocation Q-195 Q-196 Q-197 Q-198 Q-199 Q-200 Q-201 Q-201 Q-202 Q-203 Q-204 Q-205 Q-206 Q-207 Q-208 Q-209 Q-210 Q-211 Q-212 Q-213 Q-214 Q-215	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543-588 662 675-681 663 664 684 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614
Q-9A Q-11A Q-13A Q-15A Q-17A Q-19A	109 109 109 109 109 109	664 665 666 667 668 669
Q-127A Q-129A	109 109	670 671
Key No. 1 thru Key No. 6 Key No. 7 Key No. 8 Key No. 9 Key No. 10 Key No. 11 Key No. 12 Key No. 13 Key No. 14	117 118 118 117 117 117 117 117 117 117	626-631 676 677 632 633 634 635 635 636 637

-continued-

M.A.

UTAH INTERNATIONAL INC. Quercus One, et al Claims - Santa Cruz County, Ariz. 1972-73 Assessment Affidavit Page #3

3

Claim Name			Docket	Page
Key No. 15 Key No. 16	A lance Wass Ma	21	118 118	678 679
Key No. 22 Key No. 23	thru Key No.	21	117 118 118	638-642 680 681
	thru Key No.	30	117 118	643-649 682
Key No. 32 Key No. 33			118 118	683 684
Key No. 34 Key No. 35 Key No. 36			118 117	685 650
Key No. 37 Key No. 38			118 118 117	686 687 651
	thru Key No.	45	117	652-658

3. That the above are a group of contiguous claims under lease to or located by Utah International Inc. (formerly Utah Construction & Mining Co.) which have been consolidated into one group for the purpose of common development.

4. That the nature of the work performed is as follows, the same being a statement of a portion only of the entire work done and not intended as a complete account of all work:

> 1. Diamond core drilling by E. J. Longyear, 308 E. Pima, Phoenix, Arizona, between June 1 and October 20, 1973, amounting to in excess of \$23,210.00, and that the cost of all work was borne by Utah International Inc.

2. Road and drillsite construction plus road rehabilitation by Sierrita Mining & Ranching Co., Box 25-A, Sasabe Star Route, Tucson, Arizona, between June 1 and August 31,/1973, amounting to in excess of \$890.00, and that the cost of this work was borne by Utah International Inc.

5. That the above work, valued in excess of ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$100) per claim, has been expended on the above claims and that this work develops or tends directly to develop the mineral resources and the exploration thereof and facilitates and leads to the extraction of minerals therefrom.

Dated and signed this 24 day of Celeber , 1973.

Sanford A. Taylor.

Sanford A. Taylor, Agent for UTAH INTERNATIONAL INC. UTAH INTERNATIONAL INC. Quercus One, et al Claims - Santa Cruz County, Ariz. 1972-73 Assessment Affidavit Page #4

SS.

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF WASHOE

On this 24^d day of October, 1973, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared Sanford A. Taylor, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same. IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above

written.

STATE OF ARIZONA

62. 3

MILDRED V. SMITH Notary Publis - State of Nevada Viashes County My Commission Expires Dec. 12, 1976

) 33.

Notary Public V. Amad

4198 NO ...

County of Santa Cruz) hereby certify that the within instrument was filed and recorded at the persons of OCT 29 1973 International A 1., 19 at 9:13 A.W. DOCKET NO. 165 Page 278-8 Witness my hand and official seal the day and year aforesaid, By Mila. onle Deputy County Readeday Q. ESPINOSA MORENO, County Recorder

DOCK 144 PAGE 548

STATE OF NEVADA

COUNTY OF WASHOE :

88.

1971-72 AFFIDAVIT OF ANNUAL ASSESSMENT WORK

Charles P. Knagbel, being first duly sworn, states and says:

1. That in making this Affidavit he is acting for and on behalf of UTAH INTERNATIONAL INC.; formerly known as Utah Construction & Mining Co., the locator or lessee of the following unpatented lode mining claims.

2. That this Affidavit is made for the purpose of showing and making of record the performance of annual assessment or representative work as required by law between the 1st day of September, 1971 through the 31st day of August, 1972 on the following claims located and situated in the Patagonia Mining District, Santa Cruz County, Arizona, and recorded in the records of Santa Cruz County as follows:

<u>Claim Name</u>	Docket	Page
Q-132	100	509
Q-133	100	510
Q-134A	109	473
Q=135A	109	474
0-136	100	513
0-137	100	514
0-138	100	515
Q-139	100	516
Q-140	100	517
0-141	100	518
0-142	100	519
0-143	100	520
0-144	100	521
Q-145	100	522
Q-152	100	529
Q-153	100	530
Q-154	100	531
0-155	100	532
Q-156	100	533
0-157	100	534
Q-158	100	535
Q-159	. 100	536
Q-160	100	537
0-161	100	538
0-162	100	539
0-163	100	540
Q-164	100	541
Q-165	100	542
Q-196	101	595
Q-197	101	596
Q-198	101	597
Q-199	101	598
Q-200	101	599
0-201	101	600
Q-202	101	601
Q-203	101	602
Q-204	-101	603
Q=205	101	604
Q-206	101	605
✓ Q-207	101	606
Harris T.		

a and a set of the

DOCK 144 PAGE 549

Utah International Inc. Q-132 et al claims - Santa Cruz County 1971-72 Assessment Affidavit Page #2

Claim Name	Docket	Page
0-208	101	607
Q-209	101	608
0-210	101	609
Q-211	101	610
0-212	101	611
Q-213	101	612
0-214	101	613
Q-215	101	614

3. That the above claims are a group of contiguous claims which have been located by Utah International Inc. (formerly Utah Construction & Mining Co.) or are under lease to Utah International, Inc., which have been consolidated into one group for the purpose of common development.

4. That the nature of the work performed is as follows, the same being a statement of a portion of the entire work done and not intended as a complete account of all work:

-

Geologic mapping by J. W. Hoyt (graduate geologist, more than ten years experience in applied economic geology), Sept. 1971 through April, 1972, more than \$4,800.00 in direct costs for mapping as indicated on exhibit herewith.

5. That this work, valued in excess of ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$100) per claim, has been expended on the above claims and that this work develops or tends directly to develop the mineral resource's and the exploration thereof and facilitates and leads to the extraction of minerals therefrom.

Dated and signed this _// day of 1972

UTAH INTERNATIONAL INC.

STATE OF NEVADA

COUNTY OF WASHOE : SS.


On this <u>1/2</u> day of <u>uly</u>, 1972, before me, the unversigned Notary Public, personally appeared **Charles P. Knached**, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.


neared J Amouth




MILDRED V. SMITH Notery Public — State of Nevada Wathon County My Commission Expires Dec. 12, 1972











DOCK 154 PAGE 253

AFFIDAVIT OF LOCATION WORK

PERFORMED BY DRILLING

STATE OF Arizona SS. COUNTY OF Sta. Cruz

<u>John W. Heyt</u>, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he is a citizen of the United States of America, over. the age of twenty-one years, and has personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this affidavit and is familiar with the following contiguous, unpatented mining claims situate in the <u>Pafagonia</u> mining district, <u>Sta Cruz</u> County, <u>Arizona</u>, the location notices of which are of record in the office of the County Recorder, <u>Sta Cruz</u> County, <u>Arizona</u>:

Name of Claim	1100	Docket	Page
Key 54		151	412
Keyss		151	413
Key 55 Key 56		151	414
		Service States	
	1		
	and P		

DOCK 154 PAGE 254

That said claims are held by and are in the possession of Utah International Inc. ; that between Jan 29, 1973 and Jan 31, 1973 , location work consisting of drilling <u>3</u> hole(s) totalling <u>30.3</u> feet (with each hole being at least ten feet deep) at a cost of more than <u>B 300^{oo}</u> was performed on approx 265 N 2000 of SE Cor the <u>Ley 54</u>, <u>see cor</u> using a <u>2 inch percussion-air</u> type drill rig and collecting a representative sample of core or rock cuttings. Such drilling work was done at the expense of <u>Utah International Inc</u>.

Attached hereto and made a part hereof is a plat to scale upon which are shown the names and boundaries of the above described claims and the location of said drill hole or holes.

John W. HayA

ubscribed and sworn to before me this 8th day of Beb Marys G. ESPINOSA MORENO . 19 73 COUNTY RECORDER 4. Jontes Nogion expires: BY Notary Public

-2-

DOCK 154 PAGE 255 57 R16年 TrucNorth N90°E -NCITES NECT NUCCO Keyss Keyss NEWEY N Ctr. E Keysto NECOT NWCOT Key 54 Key 55 NECOr NWCor Key 53 Key 54 NE Cor Keyso T245 0 TZ4S 0 Key54 Keyss Key 56 1100 Note: A B,C Note: A B,C 10.1 Freideepeach Total Depth Drilled = 30.3ft. N20°W 264 ft from SE Corkayst SW Corkeyss Loc. Man. Koy SS GE GE SWEAR Key 56 Key 55 Key 56 NE cor NWEAR Q-194 Peloc. Peloc. DE Cor Bryes Keyst Bryes NE Cor NWCO Q-195 Q-194 relac SELOT NW Cor Rej 59 NW Cor Q-195 Keyté NECor Q-193 rela Keys3 NECor Wild Pigi 590°W RIGE Location Plat Showing Location for Drill Hole QKG-3 Holes A, B, C 10.1 A. deep each Location Noles for Key 54,55 \$56 Claim Boundaries for Key 54,55 \$56 1"= 400 ft Utah International Inc 9257 E. 274 St Tucson Az. 85710 OUFFICE FEE NO. S STATE OF ARIZONA, County of Santa Cruz - 55. Page a ords of Santa Cruz County, Arizona. WITNESS my hand and official seal the day and year first above written. S. 60 4

7001 Luana Drive Tucson, Arizona April 3, 1966

DOCK 972 PAGE 289

Mr. George Norehouse 121 No. 8th Street Grand Junction, Colorado

Dear George,

This letter will summarize the results of petrologic-petrographic studies carried out on the four samples given to me for study. In addition, I have summarized the results of petrographic work insofar as it is possible to interpret the significance of the petrology in terms of alteration, ore genesis and processes from the 13 somples w thin sections given and Sweeks ago

Seventeen samples were studied of which 4 were from outcrop, the remaining from 5X core samples. Study was carried out with petrographic microscope and, in 2 cases, by whole-rock diffractometry studies to verify optically determined clay and secondary mineral compositions.

I should emphasize that the following interpretation is based, in part on the assumption that the holes from which the cores were derived were in the same area. This, incidentally is reasonable inasmuch as there are threads of similarity that go through most of the samples I examined, suggesting with only a few exceptions that that the rocks are derived from the same parent body. I should also emphasize the fact that while examination of one or two of any of these rocks taken at random might give equivocal data for interpretation, the study of the entire suite provides interpretive data that gives me an unequivocal picture.

Drill Oore Samples

Except for samples 33-137, 35-69, and perhaps 30-268 in part, all specimens are generally fine-grained phanerites to porphyritic phanerites of a composition somewhere in the range of quartz-monzonite to granodiorite, based solely on mineralogical composition.

The dominant plagioclase feldspar is Ab7-8.5 although in hand specimen much of it is surprisingly dark. All of the plagioclase occurs as phenocrysts rather than in any of the fine-grained groundwass. Orthoclase is present in three modes of occurrence, one of which is part of a fine-grained groundwass with quartz. Another is as fresh, rather large phenocrysts and the third is as overgrowths on and around plagioclase crystals. From the standpoil. of relative feldspar content, none of the samples deviate from a proportion of 60%-40% of either feldspar and most show equal contents of each so far as I can determine petrographically.

All specimens show biotite, some of which appears to be fresh but most of it appears to be an alteration of hornblends. An insignificant amount is altered to very minor quantities of low-birsfringent chlorite and carbonate, particularly so specimen 35-351. (Abundant carbonate is resent in secimen 34-500, so much so as to give the impression of "flooding"). In all specimens there is elteration of hornblends to biotite and magnetite and in a few biotite is further altered(?) to muscovite. It is in these patches of horblende-biotite that all disseminated sulfides are present. . George Morehouse 4/3/66 Page 2.

The quartz-monzonites-granodiorites are "altered" and I consider them strongly so but not in a sense analogous to what is ordinarily considered "hydrothermal alteration." The consistent pattern is as follows: irtually all plagiocless shows sericitic alteration ranging from slight to complete destruction of the feldspar as in sample 30-268. On the average for the 10 samples, I would judge that 10% of the total plagioclass surface is covered with or converted to sericite. Sericite occurs as a selvage around the crystals or disseminated patches on the surface.

DOCK 372 PAGE 270

The altered plagioclase crystals, in turn, are resorbed, decomposed in part, and surrounded by relatively fresh and usually unaltered potash feldspar. There is a suggestion of ghost plagioclase (twinning) in some of the potash feldspar. These consistent characteristics of the rocks indicate pronounced deuteric alteration. In other words, the alteration is a phenomenon of the rock genesis and, although of late stage, is not epigenetic but is related to the reactions of rock formation in the closed system represented by the rock samples studied. Although there are a few fractures in the specimens (none in most) there is no late-stage shattering or fracturing that provided access to the rock for late-stage, epigenetic hydrothermal fluids. The sulfide mineralization is mostly derived (in the specimens studied) from elements contained in the melt that crystallized from a small system of volatiles where they are now found. The alteration of these rocks and the mineralization in them is of "late magmatic" genesis rather than "hydrothermal."

The process as interpreted from the samples is as follows:

1. Relatively high-temperature formation of plagioclase and hornblendephenocrysts from a silicate melt.

2. With declining temperature, alteration of these phases by excess potash in the melt. This resulted in scricitization of plagioclase and bictitization of the hornblende with magnetite developing. The mineral assemblage indicates a rather high volatile content in the melt at the onset of this stage.

3. With changing composition of the melt by reaction or, most probably as indicated by fine-grained textures in the ground mass, with declining temperature, orthoclase and quertz crystallized in the groundmass and orthoclase reacted with the plagioclase to form rims around the resorbed plagioclase crystals.

4. Some event, either movement of the system or a lowering of the temperature through pressure drop and boiling produced the fine-grained quartz-orthoclase mixture of the groundmass and promoted some "replacement" of the biotite by sulfides. The presence of sulfides surrounded by magnetite (in two dimensions) suggestcomposition changes in the system. The association of sulfides, magnetite, hornblende and biotite suggest that these are simply isolated, disseminated centers of reaction, developed in a crystal mush through a process of moving unreacted materials away Effrom the sites of feldspar crystallization.

Some note should be taken of three specimens not considered in the above discussion. Sample 33-137 is a fine-grained rock consisting of completely altered plagioclase phenocrysts, little quartz and with chalky texture. It may have been a quartz-latite or latite. Sample 35-69 is now a quartz-carbonate-chlorite rock that represents intense alteration of that may have been a basic rock such as diabase. Sample 30-268 is a

George Morehouse 4/3/66 Fage 3.

composite rock, intensely altered, that is brecciated and may represent either a fault zone or a zone of internal brecciation within the igneous mass. The latter alternative is suggested in view of the fact that some of the filling between the breccia fragments appears to be a biotite-1, 2 & 3 Santo Nino Outcrop hornblende-sulfide mixture. It is difficult to be more precise at this stage because drill-hole relationships may be a better clue than the petrology as to what it actually represents. 4 Benton Drift

300K 072 PAGE 271

Outcrop Saurles 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Specimon 1 is a buff-tan fine-grained, equigranular rock probably ctz-monzonite-ctz-diorite in composition. It is moderately altered by kaolin and displays some limonite. The high clay content is probably surface derived or at least some consideration should be given this possibility in terms of other samples from depth if they are available.

Specimen 2 is a "limonite-yellow" to buff, weathered rock with much of the original texture is destroyed. The surface is featureless except for a few quartz crystals and a light mica. Under the microscope it appears to be an intensely altered mixture of orthoclase and plagioclase crystale. Some of the alteration may be the result of weathering. In textural characteristics, grain size, and possible composition it may be the same rock as Specimen 1 but their post-formational histories are cuite different and specimen/2 is much more "altered" than specimen 1.

Specimen 3 consists of 2 rock types. One (a) is a highly weathered porcus rock consisting now of plagioclase phenocrysts and abundant black-limonite-filled casts and partial casts from sulfides. Sulfides are still present in the rock. The sulfides are dominantly chalcopyrite in disseminated grains. The rock was too friable to permit preparation of a polished section. The other rock (b) is a coarse-grained pink feldspar rock in contact with (a) along a sharp boundary. Sulfides are present in (b) but in considerably smaller amounts than in (a). Clay alteration of (a) is pronounced, that of (b) is only slight. (b) may be either a dike or a zone of high potash minerals developed by differentiation within the igneous rocks. Field relations would be important in determining which.

Specimen 4 is a strongly silicified sulfide-bearing rock. Microscopic study reveals that it is dominantly quartz with lesser amounts of altered feldspars. The relict grain size and probable composition suggests that it may be a different alteration type of specimens 1. 2. and 3(a)

Surface effects have modified all of these rocks, particularly in developing clays and dissolving sulfides to create limonite-like minerale. Microscopic study surgest, however, that with the exception of that portion of sample 3 that is made up of coarse orthoclase, all rocks could have been the same rocks, differing only in original sulfide content and in sufficient histories at the surface. Diffractometry was used to determine clay compositions (kaolin-like) and to lock for jarosite, some of which was identified in specimen 3(a). It is noteworthy that in spite of high probable dercopper contents, the type of capping developed is not typical of that found in the sericite-argillite-altered felsic rocks of most copper deposits in Arizona. I ascribe this characteristic to the lack of "hydrothermal" Concern clays and the low pryite content of the specimens examined.

George Morchouse 4/3/66 Page 4.

Discussion and Summary

The type of relationships shown by these rocks and their mineralogy is typical of that of the late magmatic type of sulfide mineralization. The high extent of late feldspar development, the presence of black biotite derived from hornblende, the early sericitization of plagioclase, the presence of carbonate and the disseminated nature of the sulfide mineralization are typical of such deposits among which Ajo and the deep mineralization at Butte are typical.

The significance of recognition of this type of mineralization cannot be overemphasized. It does not develop typical capping, it does not develop the very common characteristics of "hydrothermal" alteration in clay and sericite, and it does not manifest the degree of fracturing or shattering so prevalent as localizing characteristics in other quartz-monzonite-copper associations. I mention these characteristics because they are important and many time may be overlooked in evaluation of potential copper concentrations. It is noteworthy also that these characteristics make mapping and evaluation difficult at times owing to the fact that control of mineralization is related to subtle internal changes in the composition of the host rock and to the process operative at the time of rock formation.

Thus, I believe that the alteration is significant. Whether or not it indicates massive mineralization cannot be determined from my study. However, based upon my study, which included study of the hand specimen and one thin section from each rock, I believe that it is encouraging enough to justify considerable further study. The important point is that while I have no basis for a statement relating to potential, I can in all honesty state that the alteration is significant and encouraging.


I trust that this letter gives you the information you desire and a fair presentation of my interpretations. If you have further questions of desire elaboration on any point, I shall be glad to do so.


Sincerely yours pencor R. Ritley legistered Geolog

GOCK 172 PAGE 272

10147STATE OF ARIZONA 85. County of Santa Crus I hereby certify that the within instrument was filed and recorded at the request at at 8:3/A.M. DOCKET NO. 72 Page Bioman Mare menerg all M.G. Witness my hand and officied soul the day and year aforesaid. By Mary day G. ESPINOSA MORENO, County Becorder























AFFIDAVIT OF LABOR PERFORMED AND IMPROVEMENTS MADE

COLORADO STATE OF ABLZONAK) COUNTY OF MANEAXXBUZX) SS. Mesa

DUCK 072 PAGE 262

INTERED.

George L. Morehouse , being duly sworn, states:

- 1. That he is a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and resides at 2276 Broadway _____, Grand Junction, Colorado.
- 2. That he makes this affidavit for and on behalf of Chapman-Morehouse Mining Corporation.
- 3. That at least \$11,100.00 worth of work or improvements were performed or made on the following described group of contiguous, unpatented mining claims, to wit:

		Location	Certificate	Recorded
Name of C	laims	Docket		Page
Rubarb N	lo. 1	052		279
Rubarb N	0. 2	052		280
Rubarb N	lo. 3	052		281
Rubarb N	0. 4	052		282
Rubarb N	10. 5	052		413
Rubarb N	0. 6	052		414
Rubarb N	lo. 7	052		415
Rubarb N	io. 8	052		416
Rubarb N	lo. 9	052		417
Rubarb N	0. 10	052		418
Rubarb N	0. 11	052		419
Rubarb N	0. 12	052		420
Rubarb N	0.13	052		283
Rubarb N	0. 14	052		284
Rubarb N	lo. 15	052		285
Rubarb N	0. 16	052		286
Rubarb N	lo. 17	052		287
Rubarb N	io. 18	052		288
Rubarb N	0. 19	052		289
Rubarb N	0. 20	052		290
Rubarb N	0. 21	052		291
Rubarb N	0. 22	052		292
Rubarb N	0. 23	052		421
Rubarb N	0. 24	052		422
Rubarb N	0. 25	052		423
Rubarb N	0. 26	052		424
Rubarb N	o. 27	052		425
Rubarb N	o. 28	052		426
Rubarb N	0. 29	052		427
Rubarb N	0. 30	052		428
Rubarb N	0. 31	052		429
Rubarb N		052		430
Rubarb N	0. 33	052		293

	Location Certificate Recorded	
Name of Claims	Docket	Page
Rubarb No. 34	052	294
Rubarb No. 35	052	295
Rubarb No. 36	052	296
	052	297
Rubarb No. 37	052	298
Rubarb No. 38	052	
Rubarb No. 39		299
Rubarb No. 40	052	309
Rubarb No. 41	052	301
Rubarb No. 42	052	392
Rubarb No. 43	052	303
Rubarb No. 44	052	304
Rubarb No. 45	C52	305
Rubarb No. 46	052	306
Rubarb No. 47	052	307
Rubarb No. 48	052	308
Rubarb No. 49	052	309
Rubarb No. 50	052	319
Rubarb No. 51	052	311
Rubarb No. 52	052	312
Rubarb No. 53	052	312
Rubarb No. 54	052	314
Rubarb No. 55	052	?15
Rubarb No. 56	052	316
Rubarb No. 57	052	431
Rubarb No. 58	052	432
Rubarb No. 59	052	433
Rubarb No. 60	052	434
Rubarb No. 61	052	435
Rubarb No. 62	052	436
Rubarb No. 63	052	437
Rubarb No. 64	052	438
Rubarb No. 65	052	439
Rubarb No. 66	052	44G
Rubarb No. 67	052	441
Rubarb No. 68	052	442
Rubarb No. 70	052	444
Rubarb No. 72	052	446
Rubarb No. 73	052	447
Rubarb No. 74	052	448
Rubarb No. 75	052	449
Rubarb No. 76	052	450
Rubarb No. 77	052	451
Rubarb No. 78	052	452
Rubarb No. 79	052	453
Rubarb No. 80	052	454
Rubarb No. 81	052	455
Rubarb No. 82	052	456
Rubarb No. 83	052	430
Rubarb No. 85	052	
		459
Rubarb No. 86	052	460
Rubarb No. 87	052	461

DOCK 072 PAGE 264

	Location Certifi	cate Recorded
Name of Claims	Docket	Page
Rubarb No. 88	052	462
		The same species where the second second second
Rubarb No. 89	052	463
Rubarb No. 90	052	464
Rubarb No. 91	052	465
Rubarb No. 92	052	466
Rubarb No. 93	052	467
Rubarb No. 94	052	468
Rubarb No. 97	052	471
Rubarb No. 98	052	472
Rubarb No. 99	052	473
Rubarb No. 100	052	474
Rubarb No. 101	052	475
Rubarb No. 107	053	524
Rubarb No. 108	053	525
Rubarb No. 109	57	1
Rubarb No. 110	57	2
Rubarb No. 111	57	3
Rubarb No. 112	57	4
Rubarb No. 113	57	5
Rubarb No. 114	57	6
Rubarb No. 115	57	7
Rubarb No. 116	57	8
Rubarb No. 117	57	9
Rubarb No. 118	57	10

which claims are situated in Patagonia Mining District, County of Santa Cruz, State of Arizona.

4. That said work was performed between the 1st day of September, 1965, and the 31st day of August, 1966.

5. That such expenditure was made by, for or at the expense of C - MMC (owner), and that <u>Mr. William Ambrose</u> of Khun Construction was employed by the owner.

6. That the geochemical and geophysical work was performed by Dr. Spencer R. Tittley, Department of Geology, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona; Mr. Ray Ludden, Geologist, Douglas, Arizona; Mr. Hugh Steele, Geologist, San Manuel, Arizona; Rocky Mountain Geochemical Laboratory, Prescott, Arizona, Mr. George Morehouse, Geologist, Grand Junction, Colorado. Not less than \$9,800.00.

7. That the results of the geochemical and geological research are filed with this affidavit and consist of 8 pages.

George & Morehouse

on this 21st day of October

The foregoing instrument was subscribed and sworn before me by

1966.

My commission expires Ju.