

CONTACT INFORMATION Mining Records Curator Arizona Geological Survey 416 W. Congress St., Suite 100 Tucson, Arizona 85701 520-770-3500 http://www.azgs.az.gov inquiries@azgs.az.gov

The following file is part of the

Reconstruction Finance Corporation Arizona Records

# ACCESS STATEMENT

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

# CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

# QUALITY STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

October 20, 1943

Re: R. A. Thurstin Docket No. ND-8498

GOERING, SUPERVISING ENGINEER, MINING DIVISION, RFC, PHORNIX

At your request, I visited Mr. Thurstin in Chloride on October 12th, with regard to his request for a reconsider-ation of his application for a loan. The original request for a loan was declined on September 9th on the ground that inaufficient factual evidence had been submitted. Mr. Thurstin now presents an amended request for a loan in the sum of \$2500 and submits additional information, consisting principally of an affidavit by Mr. Ed Mingle, who was a former superintendent of the property, and a report by Elgin Holt, Field Engineer for the Arizona Department of Mineral Resources. I would comment upon the proposed project as follows:

APPLICANT: The applicant is an elderly man with considerable mining and civil engineering experience. He has lived in the Chloride area for the past eleven years and has conducted a consulting business, surveying, mapping, and sampling properties for small property holders in the region. His work has been done mainly for parties desir-ing to sell and his reports have leaned generally toward the optimistic side, apparently because otherwise there would be no work for him. He impressed me, however, as having a sound knowledge of mining, and I believe he is com-petent to direct the proposed development project. During my discussion with him. It was acreed mutually that the my discussion with him, it was agreed mutually that the merit of the property could be determined by the expenditure of \$2500 rather than \$5,000. The reduced budget envisages clean-ing out the adit but not the winze workings below water.

1

PAST PRODUCTION: The property was actively developed during the period 1911-1913 and shipped 13 carloads of ore to the Needles smelter in Galifornia. I talked with Mr. Mingle, whose affidavit accompanies this new application. Mr. Mingle is 85 years old and at present a resident of Chloride. He is still active despite his age and speaks quite clearly regarding the situation at the mine when he had charge of the work. In the past he has been prominently connected with a number of developing and producing properties in the region and his record is respected in the comunity.

In 1941, a group of leases shipped 189 tons of ore which averaged: .55 oz au. 3.25 oz ag. 10% pb.1% zn. (inaccessible) This ore was derived from an 80 foot level in a shaft on (inaccessible) the hill above the main adit. A body of ore had been mined to the surface from the 50-foot level in this shaft many years ago and the leasers opened a new level from the shaft 30 ft. below this level. It is claimed that the ore continues in the floor of this new level and that it is the same shoot of ore which is developed in the adit workings. This appears to be a reasonable deduction.

Gohring Docket No. ND-8498 Sup. Eng. Rep.

Samples Nos. 1, 2 and 3 were taken from dumps at the portal of the adit for their possible inference re-garding past production. Sample No. 1, apparently second-class ore, was taken from a portion of the main coarse dump. This sample, representing approximately 50 tons assayed:

Sample	oz. au.	0z.ag.	% ou	% pb.	% zn
No. 1	.20	3.0	.05	6.53	1.05

The balance of the dump appears to be barren or low grade. A large portion of the original dump appears to have washed down the canyon.

Samples Nos. 2 and 3 represent fine and coarse tailings from the old jig operation at the portal of the tunnel. The tailings dump contain several hundred tons.

#### PROPOSED WORK:

The applicant proposes to clean out the main adit and thus make accessible for sampling the reported shoot of ore near its end. The adit is now open to 184 feet. Mr. Mingle recalls that he cleaned out a 35' length of caved ground at this point in 1911, but that the balance of the drift was in firm ground.

CONCLUSION: I believe that the record of early production is reasonably authentic and this, with the recent leaser production, substantiated by settlement records, points to the probable presence here of a shoot of goldsilver-lead ore (with probably some zinc) which could be profitably mined at "A" premium prices for the lead and zinc. I would favor granting a loan to project.

> TRAVIS P. LANE Supervising Engineer

b

No. 159 . ...

# CHAS. A. DIEHL Jet. 19, 1943. ARIZONA ASSAY OFFICE

Phone 3-4001815 North First StreetThis Certifies That samples submitted for assay byP. D. P. D. DO.

P. O. Box 1148

contain as follows per ton of 2000 lbs. Avoir.

No.	1) MABK-5	tatb	SILVER Ounces Tenths	VALUE (0z.)	GOLD Junces Hundths		TOTAL VALUE Of Gold and Silver	Load	PERCE Jopper	NTAGE Zinc	%	REMARKS
1		Damo	3.0	· · · · ·	.20	7.00		C.53	.05	1.05	•	
2		99	1	-	.07	2.40			.05	1.05		
3		u	.3		.07	1.40	L .	1.21	.03	1.10		
				T. S.								
										Land Tr.		

Charges \$\_\_\_\_13.50

Assayer MOILON ASSAY OFFICE

Phoenix, Arizona,

		DEPARTM	IENT OF MINER		JRCES	<i>P</i>				
			STATE OF ARIZ			- Callon -				
			FIELD ENGINEERS	REPORT		antes	2			
Mine		NEW JERSEY. Pb.,	Z <b>n</b> .	Date	October	22, 1943				
District		Wallapai		Engineer	Earl F.	Hastings				
Subject:		Reconstruction Finance Nine Loan	e Corporation			V.				
	Doc.	ket No.			ND 8498					
		e Application Received			October	21, 1943				
		e of Examination	(E. B. Holt)		October					
	Dat	e of Report			October	22, 1943				
	1.	Name and address of appl R. A. Thurstin, Box 223,	licant (corresp , Chloride, Ari	ondent): zona.						
	2.	Character of project and Pb., Zn. Rehabilitate : production was obtained,	500-foot adit t		re shoot	from which previous	8			
	3.	Location of property:								
		Wallapei Mining District	, near Chlorid	e, Mohave	County, A	rizona.				
	4.	Applicant's interest in Applicant is owner by lo		f property	:					
	5.	Loan requested: \$2,500.00.								
	6.	Loan recommended: \$2,500.00.								
	7.	Comments:								
		(A) Under date of August 30, 1943 recommendation of a \$5,000 loan on this property was reserved on the grounds of insufficient acceptable factual evidence of ore (7-B of previous report) to be exposed by means of this expenditure.								
		<ul> <li>(B) Subsequent to August 30, 1943, Mr. Ed Mingle of Chloride, Arizona has stated, under oath, that certain conditions and exposures exist in the area to be made accessible. This affidavit is acceptable in that another property in the area, rehabilitated with private capital by the writer, confirmed Mr. Mingle's representations in every respect. It is therefore considered that this affidavit supplies evidence of ore.</li> <li>(C) In view of additional docketed material this loan is recommended in</li> </ul>								
		the revised amount.								
			ARIZONA DEPART	MENT OF MI	INERAL RE	BOURCES				
				民族民族的						

Earl F. Hastings, Projects Engineer

ARIZON

MEMORANDUM

To: J. S. Coupal

From: Elgin B. Holt

Subject: RFC Loan Application, New Jersey Mine, R. A. Thurstin, Box 223, Chloride, Arizona

I am herewith enclosing my report on the NEW JERSEY MINE, belonging to R. A. Thurstin and located adjacent to the Tennessee-Schuylkill property near Chloride.

Thurstin called on me on September 30 and advised that he had applied for an RFC "accessibility loan" in the sum of \$5000, with which to clean out and rehabilitate this old property; but that his application was denied by RFC on the grounds that there is an insufficient showing of ore, as judged by RFC from data submitted by applicant. He also stated that this opinion was formed by RFC without sending an engineer to examine the property. Thurstin asked me to go with him and look the property over with the end in view of trying to have RFC reconsider his application. Hence, the following day I visited this property with him, and gathered data from which I have compiled the report above mentioned.

As I have stated in this report, "An important factor in determining the prospective value of the New Jersey mine is to consider the merit and importance of the surrounding properties."

As you will note from my report, the New Jersey vein is an off-shoot or intersecting vein from or to the Tennessee vein. Also, the New Jersey vein parallels the Pay Roll vein, lying to south, and the Hercules-Badger vein series on the north. All of these veins and many others surrounding the Tennessee are well-defined deep-seated fissure veins in which zinc-lead sulphide ores are found at shallow depth.

From the above facts, I recommend that RFC give the said application, of Thurstin, due consideration, at least to the extent of sending an engineer to examine the property.

Kindly have copies made of my report and this letter, and hand or mail the same to Mr. Gohring for his consideration.

am

Elgia B. Holt, Field Engineer.

cc - R. A. Thurstin

August 30, 1943

Mine	TA TRAN & TITATA YOF &		
District	Wallapei	Engineer	Earl F. Hastings
Subject:	Reconstruction Finance Corporation Mine Loan		
Da	cket No. te Application Received te of Report		Phr C-241 August 27, 1943 August 29, 1943
1.	Name and address of applicant (corres R. A. Thurstin, Box 223, Chloride, Ar	pondent): izona.	

- 2. Character of project and estimated cost thereof: Pb., Zn. Clean out and repair 500 feet of tunnel, dewater 100 foot winze, and sample workings. \$5,000.00.
- 3. Location of property: Wallapai Mining District, near Chloride, Mohave County, Arizona.
- 4. Applicant's interest in or ownership of property: Applicant is owner by location.
- 5. Loan requested: \$5,000.00.

NEW JERSEY. Pb., ZR.

Mine

6. Lean racommended: None.

7. Comments:

(A) This property was, until recently, owned by Armishaw and Mingle of Chloride, Arizona. Dumps at the property were sampled by the writer several years age as a possible source of additional ore for a custom mill then being operated at Chloride, Arizona. Exact analysis of dump ore is not recalled, but it is recalled that the values claimed by MacDuffee were not equalled, particularly in reference to gold and silver values.

(B) Some MacDuffee reports are more favorably looked upon from a promotional, rather than technical angle and, as such, are not of great consequence for evaluation purposes. Personal experience in the district has determined that his reported values are difficult to duplicate through either accepted sampling practice or actual extraction. The optimism of the report must therefore be somewhat tempered. Unfortunately there are no other reports or statements relative to the deposition which might guide. It is therefore considered that no acceptable evidence of ore is submitted.

(C) The applicant is not highly regarded locally as either an operator or engineer.

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES

CJ. 20

Re: R. A. Thurstin Docket No. ND-8498

GOERING, SUPERVISING ENGINEER, MINING DIVISION, RFC, PHOENIX

At your request, I visited Mr. Thurstin in Chloride on Gotober 12th, with regard to his request for a reconsideration of his application for a loan. The original request for a loan was declined on September 9th on the ground that insufficient factual evidence had been submitted. Mr. Thurstin now presents an amended request for a loan in the sum of \$2500 and submits additional information, consisting principally of an affidavit by Mr. Ed Mingle, who was a former superintendent of the property, and a report by Elgin Holt, Field Engineer for the Arizona Department of Mineral Resources. I would comment upon the proposed project as follows:

<u>APPIICANT:</u> The applicant is an elderly man with considerable mining and civil engineering experience. He has lived in the Chloride area for the past eleven years and has conducted a consulting business, surveying, mapping, and sampling properties for small property holders in the region. His work has been done mainly for parties desiring to sell and his reports have leaned generally toward the optimistic side, apparently because otherwise there would be no work for him. He impressed me, however, as having a sound knowledge of mining, and I believe he is competent to direct the proposed development project. During my discussion with him, it was agreed mutually that the merit of the property could be determined by the expenditure of \$2500 rather than \$5,000. The reduced budget envisages cleaning out the adit but not the winze workings below water.

## PAST PRODUCTION:

The property was actively developed during the period 1911-1913 and shipped 13 carloads of ore to the Needles smelter in California. I talked with Mr. Mingle, whose affidavit accompanies this new application. Mr. Mingle is 85 years old and at present a resident of Chloride. He is still active despite his age and speaks quite clearly regarding the situation at the mine when he had charge of the work. In the past he has been prominently connected with a number of developing and producing properties in the region and his record is respected in the community.

In 1941, a group of leases shipped 189 tons of ore which averaged: .55 oz au. 3.25 oz ag. 10% pb .1% zn. This ore was derived from an 80 foot level in a shaft on the hill above the main adit. A body of ore had been mined to the surface from the 50-foot level in this shaft many years ago and the leasers opened a new level from the shaft 30 ft. below this level. It is claimed that the ore continues in the floor of this new level and that it is the same shoot of ore which is developed in the adit workings. This appears to be a reasonable deduction.

10-20-43

Cohring Docket No. ND-8498 Sup. Eng. Rep.

Samples Nos. 1, 2 and 3 were taken from dumps at the portal of the adit for their possible inference re-garding past production. Sample No. 1, apparently second-class ore, was taken from a portion of the main coarse dump. This sample, representing approximately 50 tons assayed:

440 2 400

Sample	02. au.	Oz.ag.	% ou	% pb.	% zn
No. 1	.20	3.0	.05	6.53	1.05

The balance of the dump appears to be barren or low grade. A large portion of the original dump appears to have washed down the canyon.

Samples Nos. 2 and 3 represent fine and coarse tailings from the old jig operation at the portal of the tunnel. The tailings dump contain several hundred tons.

#### PROPOSED WORK:

The applicant proposes to clean out the main adit and thus make accessible for sampling the reported shoot of ore near its end. The adit is now open to 184 feet. Mr. Mingle recalls that he cleaned out a 35' length of caved ground at this point in 1911, but that the balance of the drift was in firm ground.

CONCLUSION: I believe that the record of early production is reasonably authentic and this, with the recent leaser production, substantiated by settlement records, points to the probable presence here of a shoot of goldsilver-lead ore (with probably some zine) which could be profitably mined at "A" premium prices for the lead and zine. I would favor granting a loan to project.

-

TRAVIS P. LANE Supervising Engineer

b

October 4, 1943

MEMORANDUM

TO: J. S. Coupal

FROM: Elgin B. Holt

SUBJECT: RFC Loan Application, New Jersey Mine, R. A. Thurstin, Box 223, Chloride, Arizona

I am herewith enclosing my report on the NEW JERSEY MINE, belonging to R. A. Thurstin and located adjacent to the Tennessee-Schuylkill property near Chloride.

Thurstin called on me on September 30 and advised that he had applied for an RFC "accessibility loan" in the sum of \$5,000, with which to clean out and rehabilitate this old property, but that his application was denied by RFC on the grounds that there is an insufficient showing of ore, as judged by RFC from data submitted by applicant. He also stated that this opinion was formed by RFC without sending an engineer to examine the property. Thurstin asked me to go with him and look the property over with the end in view of trying to have RFC reconsider his application. Hence, the following dat I visited this property with him, and gathered data from which I have compiled the report above mentioned.

As I have stated in this report, "An important factor in determining the prospective value of the New Jersey mine is to consider the merit and importance of the currounding properties."

As you will note from my report, the New Jersey vein is an off-shoot or intersecting vein from or to the Tennessee vein. Also, the New Jersey vein parallels the Pay Roll vein, lying to south, and the Hercules-Badger vein series on the north. All of these veins and many others surrounding the Tennessee are well-defined deep-seated fissure veins in which zinclead sulphide ores are found at shallow depth.

From the above facts, I recommend that RFC give the said application, of Thurstin, due consideration, at least to the extent of sending an engineer to examine the property.

Kindly have copies made of my report and this letter, and hand or mail the same to Mr. Gohring for his consideration.

/s/ Elgin B. Holt Field Engineer

cc - R. A. Thurstin

No. 159 La

# Phoenix, Arizona,

### CHAS. A. DIEHL

# Oct.19,1943.

# ARIZONA ASSAY OFFICE

Phone 3-4001

815 North First Street

## P. O. Box 1148

This Certifies That samples submitted for assay by MP.T.P.Lane.

contain as follows per ton of 2000 lbs. Avoir.

	MADKS		SIL	VER	VALUE (0z.)	GO	LD	VALUE	E (Oz.)	TOTAL VALUE	%	PERCE		%	
No.	THMASTIN	Width	Ounces	Tenths		Ounces	Hundths		and the second se	Of Gold and Silver	Lead (	lopper	Zinc		REMARKS
1		Dump	3.	.0			.20	\$7	.00	)	6,53	.05	1.05	2	
2		88 8		.1			.07	¢1	.40	)	.70	.05	1.05		
3		69		3			.07	\$1	.40	)	1.21	.03	1.10		
			-												
	-											· · · ·			
															4
Che	arges \$13.	.50								Assayer	AR)	IZONA	ASSAY	OFFIC	E
												K	A		

-Szanted

Mine		NEW JERSEY. Pb., Zn.	Date	October 22, 1943	
District		Wallapei	Engineer	Earl F. Hastings	
Subject:		Reconstruction Finance Corporation Mine Loan			Ç
		ket No.		ND 8498	
	Dat	e Application Received e of Examination (E. B. Holt) e of Report		October 21, 1943 October 2, 1943 October 22, 1943	
	1.	Name and address of applicant (corresp R. A. Thurstin, Box 223, Chloride, Ari	condent): zona.		
	2.	Character of project and estimated cos Pb., Zn. Rehabilitate 500-foot adit t production was obtained, \$2,500.00.	t thereof	: ore shoot from which	previous
	3.	Location of property: Wallapai Mining District, near Chlorid	le, Mohave	County, Arizona.	
	4.	Applicant's interest in or ownership of Applicant is owner by location.	f property	71	
	5.	Loan requested: \$2,500.00.			
	6.	Loan recommended: \$2,500.00.			
	7.	Comments: (A) Under date of August 30, 1943 rec property was reserved on the grounds of evidence of ore (7-B of previous repor- expenditure. (B) Subsequent to August 30, 1943, Mr stated, under oath, that certain condi- to be made accessible. This affidavit in the area, rehabilitated with privat Mingle's representations in every resp this affidavit supplies evidence of or (C) In view of additional docketed ma- the revised amount.	f insuffic t) to be e . Ed Mingl tions and is accept e capital ect. It i e.	eient acceptable fac exposed by means of exposures exist in able in that another by the writer, confi s therefore consider	tual this ona has the area r property irmed Mr. red that
		ARIZONA DEPAR	PMENT OF M	INERAL RESOURCES	
	•	Earl F. Hastin	ngs, Proje	cts Engineer	4

Dctober 4, 1943

DEPT. MINITON RESOURCES RETENTED OCT 5 1943 IOENIX, ARIZONIA

MEMORANDUM

To: J. S. Coupal

From: Elgin B. Holt

Subject: RFC Loan Application, New Jersey Mine, R. A. Thurstin, Box 223, Chloride, Arizona

I am herewith enclosing my report on the NEW JERSEY MINE, belonging to R. A. Thurstin and located adjacent to the Tennessee-Schuylkill property near Chloride.

Thurstin called on me on September 30 and advised that he had applied for an RFC "accessibility loan" in the sum of \$5000, with which to clean out and rehabilitate this old property; but that his application was denied by RFC on the grounds that there is an insufficient showing of ore, as judged by RFC from data submitted by applicant. He also stated that this opinion was formed by RFC without sending an engineer to examine the property. Thurstin asked me to go with him and look the property over with the end in view of trying to have RFC reconsider his application. Hence, the following day I visited this property with him, and gathered data from which I have compiled the report above mentioned.

As I have stated in this report, "An important factor in determining the prospective value of the New Jersey mine is to consider the merit and importance of the surrounding properties."

As you will note from my report, the New Jersey vein is an off-shoot or intersecting vein from or to the Tennessee vein. Also, the New Jersey vein parallels the Pay Roll vein, lying to south, and the Hercules-Badger vein series on the north. All of these veins and many others surrounding the Tennessee are well-defined deep-seated fissure veins in which zinc-lead sulphide ores are found at shallow depth.

From the above facts, I recommend that RFC give the said application, of Thurstin, due consideration, at least to the extent of sending an engineer to examine the property.

Kindly have copies made of my report and this letter, and hand or mail the same to Mr. Gohring for his consideration.

Elgin B. Holf

Elgia B. Holt, Field Engineer.

cc - R. A. Thurstin

Mine	NEW JERSEY.	Pb., Zn.	Date	August 30, 1943	
District	Wallapai		Engineer	Earl F. Hastings	0
Subject:	Reconstruction Mine Loan	Finance Corporation			$\vee$

Docket No. Date Application Received Date of Report Phr C-241 August 27, 1943 August 29, 1943

- Name and address of applicant (correspondent): R. A. Thurstin, Box 223, Chloride, Arizona.
- Character of project and estimated cost thereof: Pb., Zn. Clean out and repair 500 feet of tunnel, dewater 100 foot winze, and sample workings. \$5,000.00.
- 3. Location of property: Wallapai Mining District, near Chloride, Mohave County, Arizona.
- Applicant's interest in or ownership of property: Applicant is owner by location.
- 5. Loan requested: \$5,000.00.
- 6. Loan recommended: None.

7. Comments:

(A) This property was, until recently, owned by Armishaw and Mingle of Chloride, Arizona. Dumps at the property were sampled by the writer several years ago as a possible source of additional ore for a custom mill then being operated at Chloride, Arizona. Exact analysis of dump ore is not recalled, but it is recalled that the values claimed by MacDuffee were not equalled, particularly in reference to gold and silver values.

(B) Some MacDuffee reports are more favorably looked upon from a promotional rather than technical angle and, as such, are not of great consequence for evaluation purposes. Personal experience in the district has determined that his reported values are difficult to duplicate through either accepted sampling practice or actual extraction. The optimism of the report must therefore be somewhat tempered. Unfortunately there are no other reports or statements relative to the deposition which might guide. It is therefore considered that no acceptable evidence of ore is submitted.

(C) The applicant is not highly regarded locally as either an operator or engineer.

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES

Earl F. Hastings, Projects Engineer