

CONTACT INFORMATION
Mining Records Curator
Arizona Geological Survey
416 W. Congress St., Suite 100
Tucson, Arizona 85701
520-770-3500
http://www.azgs.az.gov
inquiries@azgs.az.gov

The following file is part of the

Reconstruction Finance Corporation Arizona Records

ACCESS STATEMENT

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

QUALITY STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

Granted

Cabot

August 28, 1942

Reymort Mining District, Pinal County

J. S. Coupel

Reconstruction Finance Corporation >

Date Application Received Date of Field Exemination Date of Report

C-ND-86 Phoenix August 11, 1942 None August 28, 1942

- 2. Character of project and estimated cost thereof: Unwatering shaft, road repairs, and drifting on ore. Estimated cost, \$3,700
- S. Location of property:

 Reymert Mining District, Pinel County, Arizona, 10 miles SW of Superior.
- 4. Applicant's interest in or ownership of property.

 Applicant, Samuel C. Patrick, is correspondent for a partnership composed of Samuel C. Patrick and R. G. Wells and a silent partner, Clarence A. Barker, who has given option on his interests. Claims located on name of Patrict and Wells.
- 5. Loan requested: 84,000
- 6. Loan recommended: \$4,000 subject to examination after shaft is unwatered
- 7. Comments:
 - (a) Attached is copy of mine owner's report on file in Department of Mineral Resources.
 - (b) The estimate of \$400 to unwater shaft does not seem sufficient unless conditions are extremely favorable and equipment is available.
 - (c) The reported assays from the ore at the bottom of the shaft, together with the assays from the open cut justify the small expense to immater the shaft.
 - (d) A determination of continuation of work on the surface cut and in the shaft can readily be made after unwatering and sampling.

J. S. Coupel, ARIZONA DEPARTM NT OF MINERAL RESOURCES

RECONSTRUCTION FINANCE CORPORATION

MINING DIVISION

REPORT OF SUPERVISING ENGINEER

Docket No. D-ND-7724 - Patrick & Wells
Date of authorization for
Examination Received. . April 29, 1943
Date of Examination, Inc. . May 5, 1943
Date of Report May 17, 1943

By resolution of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, a Preliminary Development Loan of \$1,400.00 was granted to Patrick and Wells in September, 1942, to unwater and rehabilitate the underground workings of the applicants' copper mine in Reymert Mining District, Pinal County, Arizona. This mine is one of those monuments which dot the hills and represent the tremendous amount of energy wasted in sinking on barren ground. Any person trained in mining geology would recognize the unpromising results that would ensue in unwatering a shaft when the dump did not show a trace of copper.

Applicants hired'W. H. Ellis to do the work, but according to Mr. Jess French, Mr. Ellis spent most of his time and \$1,000.00 of loan funds, around Superior and Florence Junction. From the date of the first withdrawal request, October 3, 1942, to April 8, 1943, Mr. Ellis had failed to start unwatering shaft and had spent \$1,000.00. At this time, Mr. Patrick placed Mr. Jess French in charge and with the remaining \$400.00 bought a tugger hoist, loaned his own compressor and unwatered the shaft. He found the shaft 110' deep in place of 235', as claimed by applicants and no drifting from this shaft. Also, there was no ore. The surface cuts took two days to uncover.

1. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT

Samuel C. Patrick and R. C. Wells 1729-1/2 South Kingsley Drive Los Angeles, California

Correspondent: 'Samuel C. Patrick Same address.

2. CHARACTER OF PROJECT

To develop copper prospect.

3. LOCATION OF MINE

In T. 2 S., R. 11 E., Reymert Mining District, Pinal County, Arizona. Mine is located about ten (10) miles Southwest of Superior, Arizona, which is the nearest railroad shipping point. Road to mind is almost impassable.

4. APPLICANT

I have never met the applicant, but understand that

he is not a mining man. Mr. Jess French, who did the last work, would be in charge.

LOAN REQUESTED

\$5,000.00. 5. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

A. General features:

- (1) There are no mine workings, mill or other necessary appurtenances which are not confined within applicants' ownership.
- (2) Project would comply with State Compensation or Safety-First Statutes.
- (3) There are no legal discrepancies which are not covered.
- (4) There are no impeded right-of-way facilities.
- (5) There is no likelihood of surface or sub-surface trespass.

B. Existing Development

(1) Shaft

a. Mr. French first took your engineer to an old shaft one-quarter of a mile off the road which had a little copper ore scattered over the dump. This shaft was on Cabot No. 6 Claim and was accessible for 37'. Below this depth, the shaft was caved. The exposed vein did not show any copper ore but to make certain the ironstains were iron and not copper, two samples were taken. Sample No. 1 was taken 26' from the collar and on the west side of the shaft.

Sample No.	Width	. Au.	Ag.	<u>Cu</u> .
1	34"	Tr.	1.3	.10

At 35' below the collar on the east side of the shaft, Sample No. 2 was taken.

Sample No.	Width	Au.	Ag.	Cu.
2.	23"	Tr.	1.3	.10

A grab sample of ore on the dump, of which there was about 500 lbs., revealed:-

Sample No.	Width	Au.	AR.	Cua
3	Grab	.02	1.6	4.85
3 (Applicant	(s') "	.03	2.32	12.25

I was unable to ascertain where this ore came from.

About one mile further, the shaft to be unwatered, is located on the bank of a dry gulch.

Mr. French was unable to get the compressor started to unwater the shaft, which was measured with a tape as 87' on an incline to the water level. Er. French said there was about 20' of water in the shaft and that there were no crosscuts or drifts from the bottom. Also, there was no evidence of ore. A sample taken from the dump assayed as follows:

Sample No. Au. Ag. Cu. 8 Tr. 3.2 oz. .10

Mr. French then took me to the surface cuts which were opened up with loan funds. These cuts varied from 500 to 1,000 from the unwatered shaft and apparently opened up some cross fractures.

The lower cut took two days to open and samples taken show the following assays:

Sample No.	Width	Au.	Ag.	Cu.
4 5	36" 60"	Tr.	.4 oz.	.15
Appl'ts' 2	No width	.08		9.80

The upper cuts gave the following assays: (These cuts actually showed some mineraliza-i-tion)

Sample No. Width Au. Ag. Cu.

6 36" Tr. .8 oz. 2.46

This sample was taken across 36", but copper mineralization showed only on the hanging wall and the fractured side. Between the two streaks of copper mineralization was barren ground.

 Sample No.
 Width
 Au.
 Ag.
 Cu.

 7
 2.5"
 .01
 1.5 oz.
 6.51%

 Applits' 1
 No width
 .02
 .84
 17.10

On the east end of the property a grab sample was taken of ore piled out from a surface cut. This is on Cabot No. 8 Claim.

 Sample No.
 Width
 Au.
 Ag.
 Gu.

 9
 Grab
 Tr.
 1.7
 72%

The lack of mineralization in the shaft was pointed out to Mr. French as being unfavorable for consideration for more loan funds. He said he knew that, but hoped the samples taken other places on the property would be worthy of consideration, for he believed Mr. Patrick sincere in his belief of the value of the property, although he knew little about mining.

Thus, from the samples taken on all possible ore exposures, the applicants want to spend loan funds on areas considered as prospecting.

CHARLES A. RASOR Supervising Engineer

about Yumite aff road surface cut on way to short N 450 W dips 600 5W on Cabo + No 6 streak shire Putrick & Ronells lence 4" wide 350 54 91 re on des fran 7 cu plancer or Mineral 12 mile west of Regenest Seneth Feb 15, 1943 Jesse French brought over crew of men from Sunset to clean out shaft shalf cared below 335 deep 34,5 feet Timberand mucho ore on susper Did not carrie & row straft uncosend. prober com for below cared workings Surface Conto eponed up about 2 weeks Shoft on very lint streng N. ago 12 day on lower 1 on your 87 deep water French son 114 feat days Jugger Hoist 10 currents of dist a other untering 2/2 pypes wheel borrow small aritando when French came water we at level of gollow from jour up by French where color storled Ellis probally de unthings pumpe Joel (no good \$5:00 no- de minere I, SR Jolh hame