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TO ALL SHIPPERS:

In order that there will be no misunderstanding as to the closing of the carlot Manganese Program be advised.

1. Only material ready for immediate shipment and meeting the requirements of the regulation may be tendered. No tenders will be accepted after 5 P.M. local time, August 5th, 1959.

2. Ore, fines or concentrates requiring further processing to be acceptable under the regulation can not be tendered.

3. All deliveries must be of the same quality as previously shipped. Material not properly sintered and not fused enough to permit handling without reverting to fines will not be unloaded or accepted.

4. In fairness to all shippers any attempt to ship material produced or processed after August 5, 1959 will result in refusal to accept material already legally tendered, and the tender document if false could result in severe penalties. All deliveries must be strictly in accordance with the regulation.

5. We call your attention in particular to Sec. 7, paragraph (b) of the original regulation. "If the lot fails to meet the specifications herein provided, the participant will be held responsible for the removal of the lot from the unloading site. Upon failure to remove the lot within fifteen (15) days after due notice, the Government may, at its option, remove such lot and the cost of such removal shall be for participant's account, or otherwise dispose of such lot without liability therefor. Lots delivered to the Government based on inaccurate information wilfully furnished by the participant may be the basis for terminating participation in the Program." This procedure will be strictly enforced.

We caution you in particular to the limit on fines and will appreciate your Continued cooperation.

Robert E. Reno, Jr.
Regional Director
Defense Material Service
July 23, 1959

Mr. A. V. Taylor, Vice-Pres.
Taylor-Knapp Company
Phillipsburg, Montana

Dear Al:

It seems that the new Wendan depot has been slow getting under way, so that recent G.S.A. figures have not included any Region 9 production. The last difficulty was getting hold of a test car to check the railroad scale and I have not heard whether they are straightened out yet. Anyway, since Region 9 is supposed to have been producing about 47% of the car lot deliveries, its tonnage will considerably cut down your expected balance on August 5th.

I just had a letter from H. F. Lynn, written on his return from testifying at Washington. He says that at the present time he does not feel that we can get any action in behalf of manganese on the basis of its technical merits. The whole matter now seems to be political, with energies confined to the attempt to get a rider on the deficiency appropriation bill. I hear from Bill Brodgate that unfortunately Senators Hayden and Mansfield felt that they should hold a short hearing, which may not be good because of the probable adverse testimony of Government departments.

I understand that the Western Manganese Producers Association have changed their Washington representation and that Bob Palmer is now at bat for them.

Mr. Lynn says, "in regard to the short-fall of units, it was thought, after advisement, that this approach would only be taken after all chances of obtaining 10,000,000 units failed."

With kindest regards,

Sincerely yours,

FRANK P. KNIGHT
Director
To Arizona Manganese Producers:

Enclosed is a questionnaire which we hope you will fill out as completely as possible and return promptly.

As you know, it is going to be difficult to get extended help from Washington and it is considered necessary to have accurate information and united effort if we are to hope to succeed.

If we could get the whole mining industry behind a long range program with manganese included, we would be pretty well assured of getting somewhere. However, the first step is agreement among individual branches, and since time is short, manganese must act now - for itself or in combination with others - if it is to get any Washington action this year.

When we have received replies, we will send a compilation of the results to you. This can be done very soon if the questionnaires are returned right away.

FRANK P. KNIGHT
FRANK P. KNIGHT, Director.

Encs.

Dear Mr. Knight,

Sorry I cannot fill this out, but I have not produced manganese since the Thunder official closed.

Yours Truly, L.C. Kutharsch
May 8, 1959

Mr. W. C. Broadgate
421 Tower Building
Washington, 5, D. C.

Dear Bill:

Al Stovall couldn't take the time to fill out a questionnaire, but phoned in to tell us to put him on record in favor of the incantige bill. He prefers the carlot program, but when I told him that they were unlikely to get it, he changed his preference to the bill most likely to pass.

There is a new manganese property near Cochran on the north side of the Gila River that Al Stovall thinks is good enough to carry on beyond the carlot program. Whether he thinks his Stella Maris (and the Black Jack, a similar mine) can also carry on, I do not know.

Two miners were in here yesterday with a Phoenix backer and they are proposing to start manganese production at this late date. They, and one or two others, have talked to us about Kaiser's buying of manganese. Mohave also talks about it and Travis Lane thinks that they really have some hope of supplying him if and when Government support is withdrawn. From my talk with them recently, I got the impression that they felt there would be a market but one difficult for them to do much with. The fellows yesterday said that Kaiser paid a flat rate for manganese ore of 25% of better grade. Evidently they got the information from Whiting.

Travis Lane reports that Mohave is shipping about half their output to Kaiser and are mining low silica material to keep the grade close to 25%. They are unable to ship the sinter to Kaiser because it is too fine. The reason for shipping such a large proportion to Kaiser perhaps is the exhaustion of 10,000 tons limit, although Easley did say that Kaiser was trying them out as a source of material.

Best regards,

FRANK F. KNIGHT,
Director.
Gentlemen:

1. The General Services Administration has a requirement for the movement of ore in bulk from Arizona and California origins listed below to the General Services Administration Wenden Manganese Depot, Wenden, Arizona. The volume of movement during the period June 21, 1959 through September 30, 1959 is estimated to total 30,000 tons, estimated tonnages for each origin as indicated below. No guarantee can be made of the total volume or of the volume from particular origins, the estimates being based on shipments from the origins shown to other depots during the last five months.

2. Origins and estimated shipments are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Estimated Shipment Volume in Tons of 2,000 Pounds June 21 - September 30, 1959</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bouse, Arizona</td>
<td>2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Grande, Arizona</td>
<td>6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wickenburg, Arizona</td>
<td>12,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inca, California</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripley, California</td>
<td>6,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The shippers at the various origins will load carrier's vehicles, the carrier to transport, unload and pile at Wenden, Arizona in piles approximately 12 feet high, 100 feet wide and 300 feet long, carrier to trim piles. Ore will be piled by type as designated by the Manager, General Services Administration Wenden Manganese Depot, Wenden, Arizona.

4. Shipments will be made on Government bills of lading, carrier's equipment to be weighed, loaded and empty, at the GSA Depot, Wenden, Arizona. Carrier will be required to commence movement within 48 hours of receipt of request from shippers and to complete movement within 96 hours after receipt of request, except that an additional 24 hours will be allowed for each 50 tons for lots in excess of 100 tons.
June 8, 1959

The GSA Depot at Wenden will receive and weigh during the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, national holidays excepted.

5. Unrestricted common carrier liability as expressed in Condition 1 of the Uniform Freight Bill of Lading, shall attach to all shipments hereunder unless express limitations of liability are stated in the rates established.

6. Interested carriers may inspect the Depot at 10:00 a.m., Thursday, June 11, 1959; Mr. R. E. Reno, Regional Director, Defense Materials Service, General Services Administration, San Francisco, will be at the Depot to confer with interested carriers.

7. Carriers authorized by the Interstate Commerce Commission and/or the Arizona Corporation Commission to transport the commodity between the points specified are requested to submit a rate quotation in cents per ton of 2,000 pounds for any or all of the movements under the conditions specified herein and subject to the conditions of the U. S. Government bill of lading. Carriers must have the equipment capacity to transport the tonnage involved, and must submit evidence of such capacity with their quotation.

Quotations should be submitted to the Director, Charter and Routing Division, Transportation and Public Utilities Service, General Services Administration, General Services Administration Building, Washington 25, D. C., by noon, Tuesday, June 16, 1959.

Sincerely,

R. C. UETZELMAN
Regional Director
Transportation & Public Utilities Service
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

June 19, 1958

The Arizona Department of Mineral Resources is pleased to notify the many manganese producers of Arizona that the General Services Administration has issued the following reminder to all interested in the government's domestic manganese purchase program:

"Franklin Floete, Administrator of General Services today reminded producers of manganese ores and operators of manganese milling plants who wish to participate in the government's Domestic Manganese Purchase Program under governing conditions that they must apply in writing on or before June 30, 1958 to the nearest GSA regional office for a certificate of participation." (The nearest office for Arizona producers is 49 Fourth Street, San Francisco, 3, California).

"The conditions under which the program is operated are set forth in Regulation 6 and Amendments, Title 32-A National Defense, Appendix, Chapter XIV - General Services Administration.

Mr. Floete stated that, based on continued deliveries at present rates, the Program is expected to terminate sometime in or about the fourth quarter of calendar year 1959. At that time the program total of 28 million long dry ton units of manganese will have been reached.

The program was originally scheduled to close out no later than January 1, 1961, but the accelerated rate at which units are being acquired should, if continued, bring purchases to quota before the end of 1959.

As of June 16, it was estimated that only 9,400,000 long dry ton units remained undelivered to the program."
MANGANESE CARLOT PROGRAM

March 31, 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mn Units</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C/L total units</td>
<td>28,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cum. Pur. to 3-31-58</td>
<td>17,928,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>10,071,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quarter 1958 Deliveries</td>
<td>1,210,083</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 1st Quarter rate

\[
\frac{10,071,699}{1,210,083} = 8.323 \text{ Quarters or about 25 months}
\]

Program end would be about April, 1960 if the 1st Quarter rate held.

1957

- 2nd Quarter Deliveries: 1,760,697
- 3rd Quarter Deliveries: 1,620,395
- 4th Quarter Deliveries: 1,378,597

1958

- 1st Quarter Deliveries: 1,210,083
TO: ALL ARIZONA MANGANESE PRODUCERS:

A questionnaire was sent by this department to the Arizona manganese producers and to date returns have been received for about 70% of the total production.

It is difficult to arrive at accurate figures from these returns. Assumptions and estimates have been necessary in the compilation of the figures on the attached sheet headed Arizona Manganese Production in 1958. However, they are sufficiently accurate to allow the conclusion that the industry cannot continue without government support.

The returns also showed steady improvement from 1956 through 1958 in treatment of manganese ores, to a point where some see possibility of continuing without government support if they are granted help for another five years. New metallurgical processes have considerable promise. The use of domestic ores in the making of ferromanganese is claimed to be practical.

A domestic manganese producing industry is a defense necessity as well as an economic deterrent to unreasonable prices for foreign ores and concentrates.

The questionnaire returns were disappointing in their answer to which one of four forms of government support was favored and why. The sheet evidently did not make it clear that a producer's preference was wanted; not his opinion of each form of support mentioned.

Therefore, another sheet is attached to this letter and it is requested that you put down your preference and return the sheet to this Department by return mail.

It is going to be difficult to get the government to continue to help domestic manganese producers. The fight is uphill and without solid support of the producers, the chances of winning are very slim.

Act now for your own interests if you want to stay in the manganese business.

FRANK P. KNIGHT,
Director.

P.S. We would appreciate figures from those who did not fill out the questionnaire. We would also welcome discussion of any phase of the questionnaire or the material attached hereto.
The U. S. Bureau of Mines has published the following preliminary figures for 1958:

**Manganese ore and concentrate (35 per cent or more Mn)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value (in dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short tons produced</td>
<td>63,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>5,214,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent long tons</td>
<td>56,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value per long ton</td>
<td>92.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questionnaire returns from approximately 70% of Arizona's production indicate the following approximate figures:

- Total crude ore produced by this 70%: 302,000 long tons *
- Total contained manganese: 2,766,000 long ton units
- Average per long ton, crude ore: 9.2 units or % *
- Total units recovered: 1,602,000
- % recovered: 58
- Average value per unit recovered: $ 2.21
- Average value per ton of crude ore: $ 11.73 *

The balance (30%) of 1958 production is estimated to run 10% Mn in crude ore.

- Value per ton of this crude ore, 10/9.2 x $11.73 = $ 12.75 *
- Gross value of 30% balance + value per ton crude = 131,000 tons crude ore *

Total crude ore production in 1958: 433,000 long tons *

- Average grade crude ore: 9.4% Mn *
- Average grade of concentrates or ore shipped: 42.0% Mn **
- Average price per unit shipped: $ 2.21
- Value per ton of crude: 12.00 *

**Operating Cost per Ton of Crude Ore**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost (in dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$ 4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract work</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauling &amp; Frt</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These figures relate to crude ores and involve estimates of quantities of ores upgraded. They are to be regarded as rough approximations.

** Penalties unknown. Would have the effect of raising average grade.
Which do you favor? (Please indicate your preference either of a, b, c, d, or e)

(a) Extension of Car-Lot Program? YES ______ NO ______
How Long? ___________________________ How Many Units? ___________________________
What limit per mine? ___________________________ What Price? ___________________________
Why? __________________________________________________________________________

(b) Increase in Tariff? YES ______ NO ______
How Much? ___________________________ Why? __________________________________________________________________________

(c) Quota Limitation of Imports? YES ______ NO ______
How Much? ___________________________ Why? __________________________________________________________________________

(d) Small Tariff with Proceeds used to relieve domestic producers?

YES ______ NO ______
How Much? ___________________________ Why? __________________________________________________________________________

(e) Incentive Payment Plan? YES ______ NO ______

(Senate Bill S. 1488, House Bill H.R. 5631. These bills provide for incentive payments of $1 per long ton unit of manganese sold, with a limit of 23 million units annually. A maximum of 1,400,000 units annually from any one producer from properties in any one mining district controlled by him, would be eligible for payments.)
Why? __________________________________________________________________________

Date: ______________________________

Name of Company

Signature __________________________ Title __________________________
To: Roger Manning

From: Bill Broadgate

About: S.922, HR 6373 with respect to Wenden.

There is no doubt that under S.922 the language of the bill and report is 359 it will be mandatory to reopen and continue Wenden.

I feel that under the language of HR 6373 not only it is not mandatory to reopen Wenden (though GSA might consider that there is a Congressional policy involved and do so) but even the two new stations already there might be in jeopardy, and without regulations.

Note Sec. 3 of HR 6373, "at prices and specifications and under regulations identical with those in effect under the program operating for the depot established at Wenden, Arizona." How there is no program at Wenden at present. It merely is a storage depot. The Interior Dept had a large hand in drawing this bill and I would not put it fast them to have deliberately thrown in this technicality. The bill is very badly drawn, at the least.

If HR 6373 moves ahead, I shall take steps to have this language amended.
To: Roger Waring
From: Bill Broadgate
About: Wendell Marquese.

Mr. Willis suggests that Howard Pyle might be used to support our bills. I don't think he would, but at any rate he could do only harm until and if we can get a bill favorably reported. If he would, he could do a lot of good at the White House, should the bill go that far. Up to that time he would be touching ODIN, which reports directly to the President, more or less in a public fashion. A talk with the President to help prevent a veto would be fruitful.

I am surprised at Reno's statement that the Sintering deduction would only run Wendell for a few days. The figure I have is approx. 8%, which would be 480,000 units. My figure is, of course, unofficial.
To: Roger Manning
From: Bill Ransdell
About: Wendel Manganese

I have been spending nearly my whole time trying to help this situation for a long period.

Yesterday I was at the House hearings helping out all day. Udall was there, but as usual, not Rhodes.

We got a flat Turnbull from the Administration in every way.

The same old song was sung, but more emphatically.

They have enough manganese to fight a long war—they have even cancelled some high-grade foreign contracts at cheap prices—they simply cannot go for buying more domestic manganese at bonus prices.

If anything is to be done it will have to be by legislation—but it is going to be tough. The House record is so bad that I wish they never had held the hearing.

I am going to try and get the Senate bill reported Thursday—at least by the subcommittee on Forests and Minerals—in spite of the adverse testimony and reports. Felix Womser really kicked us in the pants nearly as hard as ODM. I shall be tied up on these matters the rest of the week, solid.
To: Roger Manning  
From: Paul Brodgate

About: Warden insquare

I have talked with Sen. Hayden's men about the suggestions in the letter of April 1, Willis to Hayden.

I have suggested that, as well as discussing making better arrangements at Deming (GSA has agreed to improve the facilities), ODM be asked to add to the Warden quota and keep the station running until the fate of the extension legislation is known. I know they will resist the idea but it is worth trying.
To: Roger Manning  
From: Paul Broadgate  
About: Wendon manganese and $922  

Memorandum  
3/31/55

Things seldom go smooth in love or legislation.  
The meeting of the interior subcommittee on $922 and companion bills had to be transferred from today to Friday April 1 because of a mixup in room space at the Committee room.

I had things fixed up slick as a whistle - I thought - and the Senate decided to meet early tomorrow and all meetings had to be cancelled. Whether there can be a meeting before the end of the recess is dubious as Murray and other Senators will be out on speaking tours and hearings.

I feel let down completely as I have worked very hard to line up all possible factions and to keep it a secret from ODM. Should get drunk.

However I will keep pitching at it.
To: Roger Manning  
From: Paul Brocaze

About: Wenden manganese program.

I understand that G.S.A. again has notified the Wenden shippers of the termination of the program.

Yesterday Goldwater and I had in his office Mr. Floyd, the head cost administrator of ODM in charge of minerals, Dr. John Husqvar, Tom Curtis, an attorney and an assistant economist, all from ODM and worked them over for 1/2 hour with no results. They simply do not want the manganese, especially at the price. There is absolutely no possibility of Administrative relief.

Senator Murray has again asked me to act as his consultant on certain mineral hearings as they come up. As Congressional relief is the only out, I persuaded Murray to call his subcommittee together on Thursday next week and to try to report out S922 and S1548 (the Scott bill which does the same thing) (no copies yet available) without agency reports and without government witnesses (who I knew would try to kill it). I have asked Goldwater to appear as the only witness. A nice trick if I can get away with it!

If I can get the bill in the Senate and Barry will talk to Murrah and Scott and Murray will
talk to Lynda Johnson, we just might. The bill passed promptly at a calendar call - hold your hat for this is tricky business. I have asked Barry this afternoon to approach the other subcommittee members and sweeten them up before the meeting.

Also, I have generated a hearing in management which will be held April 13-14 (tentatively), during the course of which these low-grade purchase programs will come up for discussion and also beneficialization. I hope these will help to support the Goldwater-Murray-Scott bills.

**Confidential note:** You will readily see why I do not want to get courteous with Barry on the pequeño matter!
MEMORANDUM

TO: Senator Goldwater

FROM: Redwine

SUBJECT: H.R. 6373 - Supplemental to our Memorandum dated May 31

GSA informed us today that at the present rate of purchases at the Deming New Mexico manganese depot the program will end not later than June 30, 1956, in that deliveries are running at the rate of about 240,000 recoverable units per month. GSA further said that it could see nothing in the foreseeable future that there would be any fall off in the rate of deliveries.

GSA further informed us that as of March 31, 857 tons of the 1500 ton asbestos purchase program, has been received and that the asbestos purchase program, if the present rate of deliveries is maintained, will end on or before June 30, 1956.

It would appear from the language of H.R. 6373 that there would be no "prices and specifications and regulation" for the proposed new manganese purchase depot in the Ozark-Cushman and Appalachian areas, or any mandatory reopening of the Wenden depot.

Attention is called to Sec. 3 of H.R. 6373, with particular reference to the following, line 20: "in effect under the program operating for the depot established at Wenden" - after all there is no program now operating at Wenden.

RWR:ch

CC: Bill Broadgate
    Charles Murray
January 6, 1955

Roger I. C. Manning, Director
Department of Mineral Resources,
Mineral Building, Fairgrounds,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Dear Roger:

You will be interested in the following figures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Producers</th>
<th>Amount Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese delivered to the Wenden Depot:</td>
<td>92 producers</td>
<td>$1,745,000.00 approx amount paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149</td>
<td>3,904,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos delivered to the Globe Depot:</td>
<td>10 producers</td>
<td>$209,450.00 approx amount paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>709,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten concentrates delivered under the Tungsten Program</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$422,000.00 approx amount paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>247,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although direct purchases were made from only 7 Arizona producers, records indicate that approximately 50 Arizona miners and producers have sold small lots of tungsten to mills or brokers for resale to the Government.

Sincerely,

Charlie

Charles F. Willis
To: Roger Manning
From: Bill Bumgard

About: Wenden manganese allotment

This morning I am in receipt of a copy of a letter from Mr. Willis to R. E. Redo, Jr., asking if the net (manganese recoverable and paid for) content is counted against the six million units rather than the gross (actual content in pile). It is an ingenious suggestion.

This question was raised in the early days of our struggle to increase the quota, and, based on recoverable values, it would give Wenden nearly a million more units.

I wrote a memo at the time, after conferring with GSA, stating that the regulations were specific in that the gross content was credited against the quota, sending a copy of the regulation and pointing out the wording that "contains manganese" is so credited.

However, I checked again today and find that GSA has had the matter up recently with ODM and has been instructed by ODM to stick with the regulation as it is being carried out, i.e., that the total "contains manganese" is to be credited against the allotment as per the regulation.

I have informed Senator Goldwater's office of my discussion with GSA as they got a copy of the Willis-Redo letter.
October 11, 1954

R. E. Reno, Jr.,
Deputy Regional Director, Region 9
General Services Administration,
49 Fourth Street,
San Francisco 3, California.

Dear Bob:

We have been asked a question to which you can probably give us the answer and on which we would like to have something authoritative.

We would like to know whether, in figuring the six million units of manganese allocated to the Wenden stockpile, you count only the manganese content as paid for.

In other words, you would not count the units of manganese contained as silicate, nor would you count the units contained but figured as not recoverable.

While we believe this to be the situation we have never seen anything authoritative on it and we would like to have some definite advice.

Sorry I did not get a chance to visit more with you in San Francisco but there was such a huge crowd that it was almost impossible to get around.

Thanking you for an early reply, and with warmest personal regards, I am

Very sincerely,

Charles F. Willis

CC: Roger I. C. Manning
To: Roger Manning  
From: Bill Burndy  

About: Wendell and S922  

I am happy to say that the Finance Committee has reported out S922 as amended, by unanimous action. I am sure Goldwater will have it in the press before you get this.

Now, pressure should be put on Hayden and Goldwater to get it passed quickly. Each day the Administration will build up more resistance.

I am asking that Hayden go to Johnson and Goldwater go to Knowland. Between them they should be able to do the trick.

There will be slight revisions to the final report.
To: Roger Manning  
From: Bill Broadgate  
About: manganese program.

ODM director Fleming, in a recent letter, a copy of which fell into my hands, states that they prefer the carlot program to the purchase depot programs because, "there is a definite security advantage in obtaining ore ready for immediate use. Where less grade ore is purchased, a beneficiating plant must be built and two years or more may be required to obtain an end product, the composition of which cannot be known with certainty. Furthermore, the carlot program is available to all producers of specific grade ore whether or not near the purchase depots, and thus broadens the production potential." The flaws in this argument will immediately be apparent to you. However, it endorses my assumption that there is an attempt on foot to drive producers into the carlot program and to terminate the low-grade depot programs.

Fleming also states he intends to increase the 19 million carlot units in case they are delivered before June 30, 1958 but has not decided upon extending the date of termination. In case the 19 million units are not delivered or extending the date and adding more units, let's hope Fleming won't be there in 1958!
To: Roger Manning

From: Bill Anderson

About: Wendell marcupi.

I was just talking to Sen. Goldwater and we
have decided to send another letter to Fleming - probably a
waste of paper, but one must keep trying.

Although the Senator went up to the White
House the other day and discussed this matter with Sen. Adams
and others, he got no satisfaction whatever and he is thoroughly
disgusted with Adams, Fleming, etc. and has come to the
opinion that they are both administratively and politically inept.

When they will not even make concessions to the
perhaps next Chairman of Appropriations, things are bad indeed.
No one seems to have a good word for
Fleming or ORM but a few great corpora are like Freighport
Sulphur - DuPont.
Dr. Arthur S. Flemming, Director  
Office of Defense Mobilization,  
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Dr. Flemming:

Referring again to the problems of the shippers of manganese ores to the Wenden, Arizona buying station, we have had it called to our attention that, operating under a strict interpretation of the Regulation, the General Services Administration is paying shippers only for "recoverable units" but is crediting against the 6,000,000 long ton unit allotment the gross units delivered. You will recall that on November 1, Senator Hayden addressed a general inquiry to you concerning this matter.

As the potential recovery at Wenden is expected to be 80 per cent, in order to obtain a net 6,000,000 units GSA would have to purchase enough ore to yield a gross total of 7,500,000 units. It seems to us to be reasonably certain that the original intent was to obtain at Wenden the 6,000,000 recoverable units for which funds were set aside, and that a narrow interpretation of the Regulation is being used to cut the Wenden quota to an actual 4,800,000 allotment. The additional 1,200,000 long ton units would extend the life of the Wenden Station by a considerable number of months, and we ask that you instruct GSA to reinterpret or revise the Regulation so that 6,000,000 recoverable long ton units can be bought.

We also have been informed that, under the present Regulation, the gross tonnage of ore delivered to the Wenden Station will be about 300,000 long tons. Experts in the area have informed us that it will not be economical to build a proper beneficiation plant for this amount of material and that twice this amount of ore should be on hand in order that a plant of sufficient capacity can be built to produce concentrates at a reasonable cost and to give the entrepreneur a reasonable profit. We should appreciate having your comments on this observation.

Yours very sincerely,

signed  
Carl Hayden

signed  
Barry Goldwater
To: Roger Manning  
From: Bill Broadgate  

About: Wendan allotment

I have drafted a letter to be signed by both Goldwater and Hayden, demanding that Fleming order GSA to raise its manganese regulation so that an additional 1,500,000 units of “recoverable manganese” may be taken at Wendan, thus making a total of 6,000,000 recoverable instead of gross units. A 80% recovery, 7,500,000 units would have to be delivered in order to produce 6,000,000 units. At the figure of 6,000,000 delivered units the recoverable units would only be 4,800,000. Of course, I have had this matter up since last June, but we have never made a flat demand that the regulation be changed and I think it is time we did so. I agree with Mr. Willis that it seems to be our only point of attack.

I am also pointing out that 600,000 long tons of ore should be on hand for an economical beneficiation operation. Copies of the letter will follow.
To: Regular
From: Bill Brockgate

Subject: Wendea nonsense quote

Further regarding the correspondence between Mr. Willis and L. F. Reno, Jr., I have this morning a copy of a letter written to Mr. Reno by Mr. Willis on Oct 5 in which it appears that over the phone Reno assured Willis that he was counting against the quota only the "recoverable units" paid for.

In receipt of this copy I checked with Mr. F. W. Witt, who, in the absence of Mr. Emerson who is in Brazil, is in charge of the program. He stated he recently talked to Reno on the phone and that he thought Reno understood the ruling of the Washington office. However, he agreed that telephone conversations sometimes are misunderstood and that either the Willis-Reno or the Witt-Reno conversations might have been misinterpreted. Witt stated he would take steps immediately, possibly by a phone call to Reno, to clear up any misunderstanding.

The "not recoverable paid for" interpretation certainly would help Wendea and also Deming and Boutte and Witt quite understands this. GSA is liberally minded but ODM is not as ODM undoubtedly wants to put an end to the low-grade purchase program at $2.30 base as soon as any technicality will permit it to do so, as I previously have indicated.
Have:

Since writing the above, I have had a phone call from Witt, who already has talked with Reno by phone.

Reno states there has been a misunderstanding and he will talk to Mr. Willis tonight, I think in person, and get the matter straightened out.

Just work, huh?
To: Roger Manning

From: Bill Bresciani

Subject: Management Situation, Floening Letter of Oct. 13 to Hayden (attached)

A copy of this memo has gone to Gen. Hayden.

It appears to be quite impossible to get from OCM a short, direct answer to any simple question as posed in the Hayden letter, a copy of which you have seen, and which was written in response to my memorandum. Copy of Floening letter attached hereto.

My impression is that the second paragraph means that manganese procurement under the long-term program will be at market prices or less, yet an element of uncertainty is injected, as in all OCM answers, which invariably are hedged. I call your attention to the phrase, "which in general means market price or less." If "market price or less" is not to be the invariable rule, what are the exceptions and how will they be applied?

The 4th paragraph states "the directive establishes a limited quota." The size of this quota never has been revealed and the answer might be denied us as a "security" secret. Yet this would seem silly as the long-range domestic quota for mercury, which probably is more critical, was revealed in the directive and the press release. We should be told the size of this quota.

Note the statement that "such purchases can be made only where favorable prices prevail and where important elements of the mobilization base are in distressed condition."
How is this phrase to be interpreted? I am quite unable to interpret it definitively. If the words "in general" in the 2nd p. of the ODM letter had not been used to modify "means market prices or better" we would have some idea what is meant. At the same time, the word "favorable" as used here, makes the meaning entirely unclear. "Favorable" as interpreted by ODM may not even be as high as the prevailing market, which today is quoted 46%-48% @ 80c; 45%-46% @ 70c. On the other hand, taking the words "in general" in conjunction with "favorable" and "are in distressed condition" and it is conceivable that when the Warden quota is completed and the "elements" of that "mobilization base are in distressed condition" ODM could authorize purchases at such prices as would keep the mines running, though it is unlikely that they would as long as the cartel program is operating at $2.30 per long ton mint for high grade material.

Some of the above questions should be resolved and clarified by ODM for the public interest. In fact ODM should be asked definitely how much domestic manganese it expects to purchase under this new program at the market or better.

The ODM letter boils down to this, all hedging aside:

1) Unless ODM exercises the special rights it has left open by grossly underestimating the answer, under the new program the price offered will be below the U.S. cost of production, with minor exceptions.
2) The new program will in no way affect Arizona manganese producers.

I was informed this morning that manganese has a very low priority with the Budget Bureau.
To: Roger Manning
From: Paul Broedtke
About: Warden

Due to the Fleming-Hayden-Goldwater conference yesterday afternoon it was not necessary for the Senators to call Mansure and Walsh as I had suggested in order to carry out my last minute pressure play.

I was happy to find out that, however, Freeman, Walsh and Mansure, at my unsupported suggestion, did get a satisfactory letter over to Fleming immediately, thus giving ODM an excuse to get off the spot.
To: Roger Manning
From: Paull Brodgate
About: Wendell

Attached here is a letter from Goldwater to Fleming dated Dec 7, and one from Fleming to Goldwater dated Dec 6, which crossed in delivery.

Actually, ODM probably knows nothing officially about the shutdown order, this being the province of GSA, which agency made the tactical error, and I already have discussed this with them.

Perhaps Fleming is trying to pass the buck to GSA may have put his foot in it. Freeman this morning received from Mansure via Wash the letter asking GSA to report to ODM. I suggested that Freeman see Walsh and Mansure and, as ODM has asked, even if it is not in GSA's actual province, draft a letter to ODM recommending the purchase of the additional 1,200,000 units by changing the regulation as we requested. I pointed out that this will cheer GSA, make us all love them, and put the ball squarely in Fleming's lap, just where it belongs.
To: Roger Manning
From: Paul Broadgate
About: Wenden

The word is "Eureka" - I found Fleming's Achilles heel!

Benny Goldwater just called me and congratulated me on the Wenden job.

Fleming has agreed to 1,200,000 more units, i.e. that is necessary to make up the 4,000,000 recoverable!

So Wenden will have a few more months and we can work at it some more, though do not expect a further extension unless miracles are in the making again.

I have promised no publicity until the Sendors put things out, or I should have wired you. After the ship that JSA made in the Phoenix announcement, they are tickled about it.

Anyway, I know you will be happy and perhaps Stonall will take the curse off us.

I hope the news comes out in time for Pay Dirt.
December 7, 1954

Dr. Arthur S. Flemming  
Office of Defense Mobilization  
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Dr. Flemming:

The entire episode of the Wenden Arizona Depot has been a most disagreeable one to me, but the climax to this whole period of indecision and misleading statements on the part of your office came in the Phoenix papers of last Friday, received today. The enclosed clipping is from the Phoenix Gazette of Friday, December 3. This is the first information that I or any member of the Arizona delegation had concerning the closing of the station at Wenden. Don't you think it would have been the better part of courtesy for you to have notified us of your decision to close this down before releasing it to the press?

Frankly, in my experience in Washington in the United States Senate I have always had the fullest cooperation from the agencies of government, particularly when it came to releases on matters of great importance to my State; and it shocks me to find that the culminating act in our long and unproductive association regarding manganese stockpiling should come in this form.

As I have told you repeatedly, all we have sought from you have been reasonable answers to reasonable questions. These have not been forthcoming.

Now, would it be assuming too much for you to explain this act of discourtesy.

Sincerely,

Barry Goldwater

33/31
Honorable Barry Goldwater  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C.  

Dear Senator Goldwater:

I have the November 9, 1954, letter which you and Senator Hayden sent me. In your letter you note that the purchase quota for the low grade manganese purchase depot at Wenden, Arizona, could be construed to be in terms of recoverable manganese instead of actual manganese content. You also request comment on the statement that the 300,000 tons of low grade manganese ore to be acquired in the Wenden, Arizona, purchase depot is not sufficient to support a beneficiation plant on an economical basis.

As I wrote Senator Hayden, these are technical questions which can best be answered by the General Services Administration, which is responsible for administering the program.

I am, therefore, asking Mr. Mansure, Administrator of the General Services Administration, to provide me with the necessary information which will enable me to reply appropriately to your letter.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Arthur S. Flemming

Arthur S. Flemming  
Director
Roger I. C. Manning, Director
Department of Mineral Resources,
Mineral Building, Fairgrounds,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Dear Roger:

In connection with the Department project of getting some of the manganese producers to put in their own milling plant in order to keep manganese production going after the stockpile has filled its quota; you will be interested in a paragraph in a letter written to Bob Reno by Bruce Clemmer of the U. S. Bureau of Mines. Clemmer states:

"We recently completed a statistical study of the ores in the Wenden depot using the data you furnished us on ores from the larger shippers. On the assumption that the 200,000 tons included in our study is representative of all Wenden ore, we found that the entire tonnage at Wenden would assay 21 percent Mn and 4 percent CaO. We then segregated this tonnage into siliceous and calcareous types and correlated these data with the results of amenability tests. From this analysis we found that all the ore received at Wenden, when blended, could be classed as siliceous and treated by a simple flotation method. Briefly, our calculations show that about 79 percent of the manganese in the entire Wenden stockpile should be recoverable in a concentrate assaying 39 percent Mn, 10 percent SiO₂, plus Al₂O₃, 9 percent CaO, and 1.5 percent Pb plus Zn plus Cu. Sintering would reduce the base metal content to an acceptable amount. Because of the relatively large amount of calcite in the flotation concentrate, sintering would upgrade the concentrate to 45 percent Mn and 12 percent SiO₂ plus Al₂O₃. Our report giving details of the statistical study of the Wenden and Deming ores is being typed. We will mail you a copy for your use as the report explains in detail why we believe all the ores at Wenden may be put into one class."

In other words, according to Clemmer's analysis the whole stockpile in Wenden can just be mixed up together and put through a mill. This should simplify the proposition insofar as somebody taking over the stockpile and milling it is concerned.

I thought this information would be very helpful in getting some action along that line, and in talking to people who may be interested.

Sincerely,

CHARLIE
Charles F. Willis

CFW:VSW
To: Roye Manning
From: Bill Broadgate

About: Wenden

I think it is highly improbable, unless there is another emergency or a considerable shift in Administrative policy, that we can further extend Wenden.

On the other hand, the carlot program has a long way to go and ODM has stated it will allot enough units to extend it to the expiration date.

I recommend, therefore, that we encourage the Wenden producers to get together and finance a central mill to upgrade to the carlot specifications. If they could raise the money to stockpile for a mill between the time Wenden shuts down and such a mill could be started it would keep the small fellows in business also.

If a successful mill could be operated there I believe we eventually could persuade ODM-GSA to give a contract to mill the Wenden stockpile — when it proved the installation to be OK.
ARIZONA SMALL MINE OPERATORS ASSOCIATION

OFFICE OF STATE SECRETARY
CHARLES F. WILLIS
508 TITLE AND TRUST BLDG.
PHOENIX 13, ARIZONA

December 10, 1954

Dear Roger:

Now that the Wenden station has gotten a lease of life for an additional six months, I feel that it would be a waste of effort to put in a lot of work to try to get any further extensions. We had trouble enough getting this one. In fact, we were very lucky in being able to do so and the success of it was very largely due to the work Bill did in Washington with Goldwater and Hayden. Of course the Senators will get the credit but you and I know who really did the work.

Now, I feel that it definitely should be a project of the Department to see what can be done in the next six months to get one or two of the larger manganese producers in that area to put in milling plants of their own and make a product that can be sold under the high grade program.

It should be one of the producers who has a fairly good size mine of his own, but his plant should be larger than that which he can supply from his own mine. He could then purchase the products of some of the other smaller producers, thus giving a much more permanent life to manganese production in Arizona. Furthermore, I feel confident that if a satisfactory mill were erected by one or two of the producers, an arrangement could be made with the Government to convert the Wenden low grade stockpile into a marketable product, thus give substantial life and profit to whoever puts up the mill.

This is a project that should be well worth while for the Department to put some work on as it could mean the starting of a permanent manganese-producing industry in the state. However, it is something on which work should be started quickly because of the definite limited life of the Wenden station, with no chance for any further extension of its life.

I am very sure that the experimental work of the U. S. Bureau of Mines on the milling of these ores will be made available to anyone who would like to get such a project under way.

Sincerely,

Charles F. Willis
Mr. R. I. C. Manning
Director
Department of Mineral Resources
State of Arizona
Phoenix, Arizona.

Dear Mr. Manning:

Mr. Flemming, Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization, asked me to reply on his behalf to your letter of June 7, 1954 in which you point out the advantages of continuing the manganese purchase depot operations at Wenden, Ariz., and you offer the assistance of your department in our consideration of the problem.

The great improvement in the manganese situation over the past two years has raised a question as to our need for acquiring additional quantities of metallurgical ore for our defense program. Currently, industry stocks are close to record levels and the National stockpile inventory of this material approaches the stockpile objective. These stocks, together with scheduled deliveries under stockpile contracts and Government commitments under the manganese ore expansion program would substantially free us from dependence on any overseas imports in time of war.

We are aware, however, of the importance to producers in the Wenden area of increasing the purchase quota of the depot in view of the prospect of early achievement of the quota. We also appreciate the security advantage of having additional production of this material. A decision in the matter, therefore, has been difficult and in consequence, has been under consideration by Government officials at the highest levels. We expect that this decision will be reached within the next few days.

Meanwhile, I want to express our appreciation for your offer of cooperation and our regret for the long delay in replying to your letter.

Sincerely yours,

E. H. Weaver
Assistant Director for Materials
TO ALL CERTIFICATE HOLDERS FOR WENDEN DEPOT:

In order to increase the efficiency of the Wenden Purchase Depot - provide time for maintenance and repair - and permit unloading of railroad deliveries, please note:

Beginning February 8th and until further advised, no truck shipments will be weighed or accepted at the Wenden Arizona Depot before 11:00 A.M. or after Midnight Monday through Friday (no deliveries will be accepted on Holidays).

Contact the Manager at the Depot (Wenden 82115) to determine the most desirable time to deliver your shipments so that your trucks will be unloaded promptly.

Railroad Shippers please be advised that cars arriving at Wenden without prior notice can not be weighed or accepted until such information has been supplied, together with copy of the waybill or bill of lading.

Robert E. Reno, Jr.
Deputy Regional Director
Receipts of ore continue heavy averaging about 4000 tons per week for the past month. The grade of the ore is about 20%.

AGUILA MILL - Harquahala Mining & Milling Co.

Trouble continues to beset this operation. Flotation Concentrates produced were not acceptable at the Wenden depot as it was found that it was impossible to upgrade them higher than 25 or 30%. Installation of slime tables is now being made to replace flotation units. These slime tables will operate with the jigs and sand tables already in use.

MANGANESE CORP. OF ARIZONA - Mill at Bouse

With the recent installation of a sink-float unit, this plant seems to be doing very well. Fines in the ore are screened out dry. This product is not suitable for the sink-float treatment, and is shipped as is to the Wenden Depot. It is reported that the sink-float product runs better than 10%. This company has leases on the Black Bird and Black Rock mines near Bouse from which ore for the mill is now being produced. It is reported that a lease on the Shannon mine in the Artillery Peak area has been obtained and ore from it will be hauled to the Bouse plant for treatment. The capacity of the plant is said to be four to five hundred tons daily.

LINDA K - Cockran and Dale - Mill at Bouse

This mill appears to be doing very well. Treatment consists of jigs and tables. Ore for the mill is produced at the Mildred 1 & 2 (Hart Property) mine, located about 9 miles east of Bouse. The mill capacity is said to be 25 tons in 8 hours. Regular shipments of concentrates are being made to the Wenden Depot.

MERRILL & SONS

These operators have just about completed a mill located near Bouse in which they will treat ore from the Planet area. No information direct from the owners was available as to what property the ore is to come from. It appeared that the only treatment planned is jiggling.

LENTZ & MCILWANY - Black Crow Mine - 25 miles East of Bouse

It is reported that work has been discontinued at this property. The operators recently shipped some 1500 tons of ore to the depot which was not acceptable being below grade.

A. R. Buell - Black Rock Mine - 18 miles south of Aguila

This property continues to operate successfully making regular shipments to the depot. It was reported that the ore runs from 25 to 30%
National Debt - 5 miles west of Hope.

This property owned and operated by Bill Rutherford, Wenden, produced about 750 tons of ore last year running about 23%. Lately development work has been carried in a new place and production has just started again. Thus far ore shoots on the vein have been very spotty with no indication of any large tonnage.

Another Mill

It is reported that a mill will be built at a sight about 15 miles south of Aguila. No reliable information was available as to who the people are or what property was going to worked.

Black Queen - 15 miles south of Aguila

Production continues at this property but I was told that shipping ore is getting scarce. There appears that there is considerable in the mine which will not quite run the required 15%. The operator Mr. Smith has expected to ship to the Aguila mill.

Purple Fanny - 16 miles southeast of Aguila

The owners, Smith and Sethwick have delivered considerable ore to the Aguila mill but milling results there have been disappointing so far. The ore is too low grade for shipment direct to the Wenden Depot.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shipper</th>
<th>Mine Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Alsup</td>
<td>Blackwell #1</td>
<td>Alamo Mining District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenden, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester A. Black</td>
<td>Black Roger</td>
<td>18 miles south of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguila, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Nugget Mining Corp</td>
<td>Black Nugget</td>
<td>15 miles south of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguila, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. W. Bennett Mayer, Arizona</td>
<td>Black Duke</td>
<td>Agua Fria Mining District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. V. Bosley, Jr.</td>
<td>Dennison</td>
<td>Long Valley Mining District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagstaff, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. J. Bracken Mayer, Arizona</td>
<td>Crow</td>
<td>17 miles south of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguila, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. R. Buell Mayer, Arizona</td>
<td>Black Rock</td>
<td>20 miles south of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguila, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burmister and Maxwell</td>
<td>Black Magic</td>
<td>Mayer, Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayer, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord M. Butler Wickenburg, Arizona</td>
<td>Black Top</td>
<td>6 miles north of Wickenburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. E. Canion, Jr.,</td>
<td>Magic</td>
<td>18 miles south of Wenden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1415 S. 19th Ave., Phoenix</td>
<td></td>
<td>T of A Mining District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alton B. Carter</td>
<td>Black Dahlia</td>
<td>Bouse, Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box 1469, Yuma</td>
<td></td>
<td>4½ miles southwest of Wenden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Dale</td>
<td>Mildred #1 and 2</td>
<td>Alamo Mining District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouse, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>42 miles north of Wenden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul A. Edwards</td>
<td>Hilltop #3</td>
<td>9 miles north of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2745 E. Pierce, Phoenix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Goyn</td>
<td>Black Burro</td>
<td>15 miles northwest of Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouse, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Hare</td>
<td>Black Bat #1 and 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3509 Laclede Ave., Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. D. Harris</td>
<td>Last Chance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008 Campbell Ave., Phoenix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harryman and Robison</td>
<td>Manganese King</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIPPER</td>
<td>MINE NAME</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. F. Hicks</td>
<td>Black Hawk # 2</td>
<td>3 miles northwest of Bouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouse, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill and Russell</td>
<td>Crow</td>
<td>18 miles south of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguila, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Jesse</td>
<td>State Land Lease</td>
<td>14 miles south of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wickenburg, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lents and McElvany</td>
<td>Black Crow</td>
<td>24 miles northeast of Bouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis and Neal</td>
<td>Black Eagle</td>
<td>4 miles from Artillery Peak,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenden, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>Southwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller and Christofferson</td>
<td>Black Raven</td>
<td>18 miles southwest of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguila, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. J. Morgan</td>
<td>Black bird</td>
<td>Bouse, Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouse, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Newlin</td>
<td>Black Buck # 2</td>
<td>26 miles north of Morristown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1060 East Whitton, Phoenix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson and Neal</td>
<td>Black Diamond</td>
<td>45 miles north of Wenden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentine, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Pellegrini</td>
<td>Mistake</td>
<td>10 miles north of Wickenburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wickenburg, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. E. Power</td>
<td>Betty</td>
<td>Trigo Mountains, Sibola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. F. Power</td>
<td>Power # 1</td>
<td>Trigo Mountains, Sibola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. S. Rodgers</td>
<td>Last Chance</td>
<td>Owens Mining District, Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenden, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. W. Smith</td>
<td>Black Queen</td>
<td>15 miles south of Aguila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguila, Arizona</td>
<td>Doyle, Lake, Love,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magma, Price and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priceless</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Stovall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1644 Palmcroft Drive Phoenix,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26 miles north of Wenden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52 miles north of Wenden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48 miles north of Wenden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superior, Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>North of Wenden</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
July 24, 1954

REPORT OF GSA Depot at Wenden

Lot Units 4,236,344.7
Paid Out $5,760,370.51
October 24, 1956

Mr. Robert E. Reno, Jr.
Deputy Regional Director
General Services Administration
49 Fourth Street
San Francisco, 3, California

Dear Mr. Reno:

I am listing below tungsten production of Arizona which we have compiled from U. S. Bureau of Mines releases and sincerely hope that this will be of some value to you:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Short Tons</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1910-1934</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935-1950</td>
<td>2,459</td>
<td>2,787,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>256,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>474,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>411,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sincerely yours,

R.I.C. Manning,
Director
Memo

To: Roger Manning
From: Bill Barndt
About: Wendu Manquare

Charlie Willis will get a letter from Sen. Goldwater with an attachment from Mansur which he no doubt will show you.

In effect it endorses what I said in Arizona and have repeated several times, i.e., that the present regulations constitute firm commitments to the various programs and that transfers from one to the other are not legally possible, so that additional units for Wendu must be a new commitment.

Such a commitment and the funds therefore must be approved by the Office of Defense Mobilization.

When Goldwater and I were over to see Mansur on the Riosura matter he said that OD&M held a meeting on the subject of Wendu on Tuesday the 16th, as well as general manquaye discussion. We have no information as to the attitude as yet.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Charles F. Willis
Roger Manning

FROM: Bill Broadgate

ABOUT: Additional manganese quota for Wenden depot.

A meeting was held in the office of Senator Goldwater. Present were Senator Goldwater, Senator Hayden and Paul Eaton; Representative Patten and A. B. Sieh; and myself, of about two hours duration.

From the ODM were present Mr. Weaver, Director Flemming’s deputy for minerals, and Mr. Curtis, who I believe is an economist, one of those whose charge is the manganese program.

All the familiar arguments were used by the Congressmen and myself, Senator Goldwater taking the lead.

We were informed:

1. The manganese stockpile is overbought, both on the short term program and the long term program covered by the President’s release of March 26, 1954.

3. The deficiency in delivery against the domestic programs anticipated at the expiration date already has been discounted in estimating the fulfillment of stockpile objectives, hence my suggestion of allocating an additional 6 million long ton units to Wenden on the presumption that the entire 37 million units will not be delivered and there will be a credit balance of more than this when the programs come to a close was rejected. Curtis, who did all the talking for ODM admitted there would be a substantial deficiency in total deliveries, particularly in the carlot program.

I suggested (not in the presence of the ODM people) that we might introduce a bill directing ODM to allocate the needed units. Such a bill would not have much of a chance against Administration disapproval, but at least would have exhausted all possible resources.

ODM admitted that industry should be promptly and definitely informed as to what programs are completed so it will not anticipate extensions under the President’s program of March 26, 1954.
March 4, 1954

Roger I. C. Manning, Director
Department of Mineral Resources,
Mineral Building, Fairgrounds,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Dear Roger:

I have the copy of your letter of March 2 to A. J. Walsh relative to the longer life of the Wenden buying station. I presume you sent this same letter to Mansure and Flemming.

If you have any extra copies of this letter I suggest you send them to Al Stovall so he may know that the Department is active and desirous of being helpful on the subject.

If you send him a copy of one of these letters please note that the same letter went to the others. It was a very good letter.

Incidentally, it might also be well to let Bill Broadway know what you have done in this connection.

Sincerely,

Charles F. Willis

CFW:VSW
March 2, 1954

Mr. Edward F. Mansure  
Administrator  
General Services Administration  
Washington, 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Mansure:

As you are no doubt aware, there are presently some fifty odd individuals and mining companies shipping manganese ores to the Wenden Purchase Depot. These operators are employing upwards of 800 men and have, so far, done a real job toward giving us a much needed stockpile of the strategic material.

In employing these men the manganese industry has gone far toward alleviating what would have been a critical unemployment situation in the mining industry due to the closing of the lead-zinc mines, caused by the sudden drop in price.

To date the rate of shipments to this depot far exceeds that of any other manganese depot established by your agency and bids fair to fill its quota by April 1, 1955, which means that unless something is done to remedy the situation, this depot will be forced to close prior to the date set by Congress, June 30, 1955.

It is our hope, as well as that of all interested in the manganese industry of Arizona, that some means will be found whereby additional quotas can be assigned to this station in sufficient quantities to enable it to remain in operation at least until June 30, 1955, and longer, if possible. We feel that there has been created within the State a growing industry and one that it might be possible to perpetuate for the benefit of all concerned.

We, therefore, urgently request you to have a check made of the situation at Wenden to ascertain if additional units cannot be allocated and the operators assured of a market for their ores, at least for the life of the program.

Knowing that you will do everything within your power to assist us, I am,

Sincerely yours,

R.I.C. Manning,  
Director.

RICH:M:lp
February 24, 1954

Roger I. C. Manning, Director
Department of Mineral Resources,
Mineral Building, Fairgrounds,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Dear Roger:


I sent copies of these letters to our four Arizona Congressmen, urging them to get busy and help in getting an early decision on a continuation of the Wenden buying program.

I have a letter from Senator Goldwater acknowledging receipt of my letter and enclosing a copy of a letter he wrote to the same people.

Goldwater suggests, however, that letters along similar lines should be sent by the Department of Mineral Resources to the same group. He also suggests that the Governor be contacted and that we ask him to write similar letters to the same people, urging such extension of the buying program at Wenden. Goldwater further suggested that the Governor might be willing to write to Sherman Adams of the President's staff as he knows him personally.

I will leave it up to you to follow through on the above and send letters from the Department to these people, and to contact the Governor on what he can do to help.

Bill, of course, is working on this in Washington.

Sincerely,

Charles F. Willis
February 17, 1954

Edmund F. Mansure, Administrator,
General Services Administration,
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Mansure:

It is very important that an early decision be made as to what can be done to provide for an extension of the life of the GSA manganese buying station at Wenden, Arizona.

The small mine operators have really gone to bat in making this a successful station and in doing what the government wanted in the production of manganese. However, at the same time their activities are limiting their own operating life, and they should be rewarded — not penalized — for what they have done.

While the Wenden station can go, under present allocations, until April 1955, it is essential to the 50-odd producers who are shipping, that they know, as far ahead as possible, what is facing them. It is the only way their investments can be justified and their plant properly made for economic production.

As the situation stands right now, each of the three authorized manganese purchase depots was allotted six million units. Deliveries to these stations, to December 31, 1953 were:

- Butte and Phillipsburg, Montana  426,809
- Deming, New Mexico  789,618
- Wenden, Arizona  2,089,283

The nation-wide carlot program, restricted to 10,000 tons of eligible concentrates per shipper, was allotted 19 million units of which only 557,252 units were delivered up to December 31, 1953.

From the above it is obvious that the Wenden depot has taken in far more manganese than all the other depots put together, and including the carlot program. However, the presently authorized quota of six million units of manganese for the Wenden depot will be exhausted by April, 1955 at the present rate of delivery.

Under the Malone-Aspinall Act the date on which the depot will have to be closed, excepting for another Act of Congress, will be June 30, 1956. Thus, it is very important that an additional authorization of six million units be made because it would, at the present delivery rate, make it possible to hold the depot open until the end of the authorized period.
It would seem entirely logical that rather than authorize so much to this station, so much to that one, and so much to another program, and have some stations doing good business and some a bad job, the total program might well be thrown all together with 37 million units allocated to the entire program rather than broken down into allocations to stations which will never deliver anything like their quota.

In other words, some method must be found whereby at least six million additional units is allocated to the Wenden program because those who have made the success of the station possible should not be penalized for having done so. We are very hopeful that, if permitted to carry on their activities for the full life of the program, we will have a manganese industry developed in Arizona which would live and prosper without a government buying program after the present requirements have been met.

There is another very important angle insofar as Arizona is concerned, and that is that the expanding manganese-producing industry of the state has very largely taken up the unemployment slack caused by the shutting down of the lead-zinc mines. This in itself is plenty of reason for putting forth extra effort to make sure the industry keeps on going.

It will be greatly appreciated if you will have a check made of the situation as to the Wenden manganese buying station, with a view to authorizing and directing General Services Administration to allocate not less than an additional six million units to be purchased at that depot under the regulations no less favorable than those presently in force. By this move it is believed that an industry of vital importance to this country and our national security will be making a start toward permanency.

Thanking you for giving the above your early consideration,

I am

Very sincerely yours,

Charles F. Willis
State Secretary
March 17, 1954

Mr. Charles F. Willis, Secretary
Arizona Small Mine Operators Association,
508 Title and Trust Building,
Phoenix 13, Arizona.

Dear Charlie:

Just to bring you up to date on what is going on regarding the Manganese Depot at Wenden, I wish to advise that during a visit with Mr. Mansure of GSA yesterday I was informed that ODM held a meeting yesterday morning, at which this matter was the principal subject of discussion.

I am also enclosing a copy of a letter from Mr. Snyder to indicate the thinking of GSA on my recent request to transfer units from other commitments not doing as well as ours.

We are very hopeful that ODM will come up with an answer to this and some of our other stockpiling problems in the west in the very near future.

Sincerely,

BARRY GOLDWATER /signed/

Enclosure
March 12, 1954

Honorable Barry Goldwater
United States Senate,
Washington, D. C.

Re: Manganese Purchase Depot,
Wenden, Arizona.

Dear Senator Goldwater:

The Administrator has asked me to reply to your letters dated February 19, 1953, addressed to him and Mr. A. J. Walsh, as he is out of town visiting our regional offices.

The regulations establishing the various manganese purchase programs created binding obligations or commitments on the part of the Government under the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended. A reduction in the maximum number of units applicable to any such program would, therefore, be considered to be a violation of an existing commitment.

Consequently, the addition of 6,000,000 units at Wenden could be accomplished only as a new commitment, requiring initially the approval of the Office of Defense Mobilization and the making available by that office of the necessary additional funds through a further allocation of borrowing authority under the Defense Production Act.

I am sending a copy of this letter to the Office of Defense Mobilization, which, I am informed, is presently considering the situation at Wenden.

Cordially yours,

/signed/
Al E. Snyder
Assistant Administrator
AIR MAIL

Mr. R. T. C. Manning
Director,
Department of Mineral Resources
State of Arizona
Mineral Building, Fairgrounds
Phoenix, Arizona

Dear Mr. Manning:

Your letters of March 2, 1954, addressed to Mr. Edmund F. Mansure, Administrator of General Services and Mr. A. J. Walsh, Commissioner, Emergency Procurement Service, General Services Administration, requests that consideration be given to increasing the number of units of manganese ore pertaining to the Purchase Depot at Wenden, Arizona.

This matter is under consideration at the present time by the Office of Defense Mobilization.

Sincerely yours,

W. M. B. Freeman
Special Assistant to the Commissioner
Charlie -

Will you please pass

this on to Roger -

Bill

United States Senate

MEMORANDUM

(This memo attached to following letter)
Dear Roger,

I have the copy of your excellent letter to Flemming. If anything will move ODM, it is the unemployment angle. For this reason perhaps a copy should go to Sherman Adams at the White House. I hope Gov. Pyle will write also, especially to Adams, stressing this situation as a governor very properly can, strongly.

With the tremendous urge for naval contract cancellations now burning the Bureau of the Budget and ODM, the minerals situation may be in bad shape for some time and it certainly makes our plea for additional units at Wenden a tough nut to crack.

I think also, copies of your letter should go to Rhodes, Patten, Hayden and Goldwater.

Sincerely

Bill
March 5, 1954

Mr. Charles F. Willis
508 Title and Trust Building
Phoenix, Arizona

Dear Charlie:

In regard to the Wenden Depot letter, I wrote the same letter to Fleming and Mansure that I wrote to Walsh — none of them were copies.

I am today sending a copy of the letters to Al Stovall and Bill Broddgate.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

RICH:lp
To: Roger Manning  
From: Bill Breslauz  
About: Wenden manganese quota  

I asked Batten to send to Fleming, using the "new look" in mineral policy as an excuse.

Attached you will find a copy of a letter which

It seems to me that the honesty of the "new" policy

If ODM takes as long to get out directives

under the new policy to put it into effect as it takes

to come to a decision on a simple request like our

Wenden case, some one should deliver to Fleming a

good, swift kick.

It is more than 6 weeks since the

joint request of our delegation went to ODM and we

do not even have a routine acknowledgement. In the

meantime Hayden's office has called weekly for an answer,

Goldwater has at my request written several memos and

we have kept the pressure on all around by me means

or another. But no glaciers could move more majestically

or deliberately than ODM its wonders to perform.

I have held off on taking the delegation to

see Fleming. When we get the answer, we can then

map a campaign and it might do us damage to press
too hard at the wrong time.

If the answer is satisfactory, OK. If not, we
now have this policy to back us up, such as it is.

G.S.A. informs me today that they have
had no detailed request from ODM for an opinion, only
a telephonic request for the tonnage figures.
Arthur S. Flemming, Director
Office of Defense Mobilization
Executive Office Building
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Flemming:

Several weeks ago a letter was addressed to you signed by the two Arizona Senators and me requesting that additional units of manganese be authorized for the Wendel Depot. We have contacted your office on several occasions concerning this matter but as yet have not had a response to our letter.

In view of the White House release of March 26 prepared by the Minerals Policy Committee, may we assume there will be no question about granting the additional units for the Wendel Depot.

Sincerely yours,

Harold A. Patten, M. C.

F/mac
Memorandum

To: Roger Manning
About: Wendem manganese allotment
From: Bill Brodnatz

As the decision will not be final (not to allow this request) until Fleming officially puts it in paper, I have drafted another letter to Fleming for the Congressional delegation to sign. This will point out that the Molon-Labe Will Act clearly shows that Congress wanted the Government to procure the entire 37 million long tons units regardless of source.

Weaver and Curtis admitted that they do not expect the program to deliver anywhere near the entire amount.

Therefore ODIM can expect that at the end of the program there will be more than enough funds available to take care of a commitment to Wendem of 6,000,000 additional units.

I am afraid the President's policy is a cruel fraud when manganese, at least, had its long range program completed before March 29. I wonder how many other metals are in the same position?

We must not give up the ship as there may be things happen which will push us the objectives again.
Honorable Barry Goldwater  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Goldwater:

This is in reply to your letter of April 15, 1954, in which you point out our negligence in not replying to your letter of February 19 recommending the allocation by the Government of an additional 6,000,000 units to the manganese depot at Wenden, Arizona.

You are quite right. Such delay in at least an acknowledgment cannot be justified, and you have our apologies. In fact, I am using this case to get across to ODM staff that such delays will not be tolerated in the future.

In explanation, but not as an excuse, the proposal made by your letter has been complicated by a number of varying but related proposals for manganese depots and programs. It proved difficult to decide one without deciding all.

During the past two months we have been examining the supply-requirements situation in manganese, which has changed considerably since current objectives were set. Interagency advice has been secured on some of the difficult issues involved. Finally, we are studying the implications for manganese of the policies recently announced by the President for long-term stockpile objectives.

You may be sure I will let you know at once when a decision is made. In the meantime, I am going to ask one of my assistants to contact you within the next week in order to go over the entire picture with you. Again, my sincere regrets at our delay in acknowledgment.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Arthur S. Flemming  
Arthur S. Flemming  
Director
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
April 28, 1954

Mr. Patten introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To aid the United States in becoming self-sufficient in manganese production

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
3 That the President of the United States has declared it to be
4 Administration policy to calculate stockpile objectives on the
5 assumption that supplies will not be available from foreign
6 sources except in the case of that limited group of countries
7 to which wartime access can be had with the same degree of
8 reliance as afforded by sources within our own country.
9 SEC. 2. In order to further the policy of the President,
10 the Office of War Mobilization is hereby authorized and
11 directed to issue a directive to the General Services Adminis
1) Authorization to purchase an additional six million long-ton units
2) of manganese at the purchase depot at Wenden, Arizona, or a
3) total of twelve million long-ton units, and to allocate to the
4) General Services Administration the requisite funds therefor.

A BILL

To aid the United States in becoming self-sufficient in manganese production.

By Mr. Patten

Referred to the Committee on Armed Services

April 28, 1954

83d CONGRESS H. R. 8919
2d Session
A BILL

To aid the United States in becoming self-sufficient in manganese production.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

That the President of the United States has declared it to be Administration policy to calculate stockpile objectives on the assumption that supplies will not be available from foreign sources except in the case of that limited group of countries to which wartime access can be had with the same degree of reliance as afforded by sources within our own country.

Sec. 2. In order to further the policy of the President, the Office of War Mobilization is hereby authorized and directed to issue a directive to the General Services Adminis-
tration to purchase an additional six million long-ton units of manganese at the purchase depot at Wenden, Arizona, or a total of twelve million long-ton units, and to allocate to the General Services Administration the requisite funds therefor.
1 tration to purchase an additional six million long-ton units
2 of manganese at the purchase depot at Wenden, Arizona, or a
3 total of twelve million long-ton units, and to allocate to the
4 General Services Administration the requisite funds therefor.

To aid the United States in becoming self-sufficient in manganese production

By Mr. Patten

Referred to the Committee on Armed Services

April 26, 1954

H.R. 8919
To: Roger Manning
From: Paul Brodago
About: Wendeu depot additional manganese units

Confidential

The memorandum of even date addressed to you and Mrs. Willis tells the official story and, as copies are going to the Congressmen I did not elaborate.

The interview was a 2 hour fiasco. Weaver knew nothing about the subject and Curtis only knew that the general statistics were and little about the real picture.

Confidentially he disclosed that they are about 100% overbought on manganese. As EPS never contracts for anything without a directive and allocated funds, this means to me that the stockpile (minimum) objectives have been reduced 50% so that the former military objectives are the "long-range" objectives. Evidently the "long-range" objectives are even less than the former regular objectives as they have managed to cancel half a million tons on order and are not satisfied.

Curtis said that ODM could go out and buy the 6,000,000 units on the open market (if they needed it) in 10 minutes and save 5 million dollars. I remarked they might be able to do so today, but in case of a war, not next week or next month. It did not register.
I don't know why they bothered to come even except to try and ease the duties of the Senators. They could merely have sent word that they would buy no more manganese under any circumstances.

I pointed out the various statements in the March 24 policy and public statements of policy by Fleming but these policies do not apply where long-range objectives are already met. I am afraid we will find other minerals in the same position. I knew that chrome is "overbought." Of course, as I have pointed out many times, the entire stockpile objective program can be fulfilled or be overbought, try the simple device of lowering objectives.

In my humble opinion the program is not being operated for the benefit of the national defense, but for the benefit of the Bureau of the Budget.

I shall have more to say later, but wanted to get this to you.

I hope you can read this as I am writing it on my knee.

Even though I expected some such sort of situation, I was and am terribly upset by the stupidity of the ODM people.
Memorandum

To: Roger Manning
From: Bill Brodegate

About: Wenden manganese quota

Today Rep. Patten introduced a bill to increase the Wenden allotment by 6,000,000 units, which I drew for him on Monday. It will at least point up our demand on ODM. When copies are available I shall send them. A bill to direct an Executive Agency to perform is, to say the least, unusual, but it is perfectly legal. I have some other angles I am also working. Much may happen in the next year and, although the attitude of ODM is disgusting and foolish, the operators should not despair of eventually getting some relief.

I still think the policy of March 20 is a fraud.

I hear we are talking about trading manganese for wheat. If I can get positive proof, I shall have something to use as a weapon.
To: Roger Manning
From: Bill Broadgate
About: Wende manganese quota

As you say, Fleming's letter of the 19th April did not look good. It was a groundwork for the turn down of April 23 about which I write at length.

However, though this was disheartening, I do not consider the matter closed by any means.

I drafted a bill, which was introduced yesterday by Patteu - no copies yet available. HP 8917.

At lunch yesterday I picked up a rumor that Commerce is negotiating for manganese in exchange for wheat at the world prices. If I can button this down I can raise a little hell for Mr. Fleming and his deputies, now they have said we have already bought too much.

The world picture may change. The "new look" military program will not be suitable for Indo-China, where an "old-fashioned" war will have to be fought. This war also will jeopardize our India supply lines and, in fact, Russia might persuade them to cut us off.

A year may produce a lot of changes.
To: Roger Manning
From: Bill Broadgate
About: Wanda managua quota.

As per the attached document, I have taken two further steps on this case:

1. Drafted an omnibus letter to Fleming to be signed by our delegation. This should be signed and go out today.

2. Drafted a bill and furnished the information to our delegation. Potter introduced it; Hagdun did not entirely care for the idea; Rhodes I have not heard from; Goldwater seemed lukewarm and so far this has not moved.

You will notice that I hooked the preamble to the President's policy of March 26. It will make ODM uncomfortable when they write the report on the bill, if it has no other effect.

This is a unique bill, in that it would, in effect, be a directive to an executive agency. At least it points up the problem and will give Stovall's boys a rallying point.

Please call Stovall's attention to the letter and the bill. More copies of the bill are on the way.
May 1, 1954

Mr. Arthur S. Flemming, Director
Office of Defense Mobilization
Executive Office Building
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Flemming:

In our conference with Messrs. Weaver and Curtis on April 23rd we were informed that the stockpile is over-bought on manganese and that both the short and long range objectives were met prior to the policy statement of March 26, 1954. These calculations were said to include such manganese under the domestic 37,000,000 long ton units programs as it is expected will be produced by the expiration dates of those programs.

We wish to call to your attention that the Congress, in passing the Malone-Aspinall bill extending the time limits on the domestic procurement programs, not only expected that the entire amounts would be purchased, but provided that the Government should not be limited in further extending the programs, either in quantity or time. There is no implication that the amounts to be procured should be restricted to any particular district or districts. The idea was to stimulate domestic manganese production.

Your representatives admitted that, as the program now is set up, it will not be completed within the legal period as was contemplated by the Congress.

We, therefore, renew our request that an additional 6,000,000 units be allotted to Wenden, for the reasons already advanced in other correspondence, and that you rely upon the fact that sufficient earmarked funds will be returned at the expiration of the programs to more than cover the Wenden commitment. This plan was discussed with Messrs. Weaver and Curtis.

Sincerely,

Barry Goldwater, U.S.S.  
Harold A. Patten, M.C.

Carl Hayden, U.S.S.  
John J. Rhodes, M.C.
May 1, 1954

Mr. Arthur S. Flemming, Director
Office of Defense Mobilization
Executive Office Building
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Flemming:

In our conference with Messrs. Weaver and Curtis on April 23rd we were informed that the stockpile is over-bought on manganese and that both the short and long range objectives were met prior to the policy statement of March 26, 1954. These calculations were said to include such manganese under the domestic 37,000,000 long ton units programs as it is expected will be produced by the expiration dates of those programs.

We wish to call to your attention that the Congress, in passing the Malone-Aspinall bill extending the time limits on the domestic procurement programs, not only expected that the entire amounts would be purchased, but provided that the Government should not be limited in further extending the programs, either in quantity or time. There is no implication that the amounts to be procured should be restricted to any particular district or districts. The idea was to stimulate domestic manganese production.

Your representatives admitted that, as the program now is set up, it will not be completed within the legal period as was contemplated by the Congress.

We, therefore, renew our request that an additional 6,000,000 units be allotted to Wendan, for the reasons already advanced in other correspondence, and that you rely upon the fact that sufficient earmarked funds will be returned at the expiration of the programs to more than cover the Wendan commitment. This plan was discussed with Messrs. Weaver and Curtis.

Sincerely,

Barry Goldwater, U.S.S.  
Harold A. Patten, M.C.

Carl Hayden, U.S.S.  
John J. Rhodes, M.C.
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APRIL 28, 1954

Mr. PATTEN introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To aid the United States in becoming self-sufficient in manganese production.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

2 That the President of the United States has declared it to be

3 Administration policy to calculate stockpile objectives on the

4 assumption that supplies will not be available from foreign

5 sources except in the case of that limited group of countries

6 to which wartime access can be had with the same degree of

7 reliance as afforded by sources within our own country.

8 SEC. 2. In order to further the policy of the President,

9 the Office of War Mobilization is hereby authorized and

10 directed to issue a directive to the General Services Adminis-

11
A BILL

To aid the United States in becoming self-sufficient in manganese production.

Sir:

PURCHASE OF MANGANESE

I am advised by the Department of the Interior that the quantity of manganese required by the War Department for the construction of its works in the Philippine Islands cannot be obtained from the domestic sources of supply. I, therefore, recommend that an appropriation be made for the purchase at the purchase depot at Wenden, Arizona, of twelve million long-ton units of manganese, and that the necessary funds be allocated to the General Services Administration.

RHODES}

April 28, 1904

By Mr. Patten

Referred to the Committee on Armed Services
MEMORANDUM

5/3/54

TO:  Roger Manning

FROM:  Bill Broadgate

ABOUT:  Wenden Manganese Quota.

As per the attached documents, I have taken two further steps on this case:

1. Drafted an omnibus letter to Flemming to be signed by our delegation. This should be signed and go out today.

2. Drafted a bill and furnished the information to our delegation. Patten introduced it; Hayden did not care for the idea; Rhodes I have not heard from; Goldwater seemed lukewarm and so far has not moved.

You will notice that I hooked the preamble to the President's policy of March 26. It will make ODM uncomfortable when they write the report on the bill, if it has no other effect.

This is a unique bill, in that it would, in effect, be a directive to an Executive agency. At least it points up the problem and will give Stovall's boys a rallying point.

Please call Stovall's attention to the letter and the bill.

More copies of the bill are on the way.
To: Roger Manning
From: Bill Broadgate
About: Wendell Marquiese

Apparantly, so many additional producers entering the field will exhaust the Wendell quota well ahead of your original anticipation as I note some are saying the end this year may be the deadline.

I talked to Sen. Goldwater this morning. He has talked to the White House and both Pres. Nixon about Fleming's attitude but we seem to be getting nowhere fast as they do not show interest in pushing Fleming.

Today I am going through Goldwater's files and prepare a case to turn over to the Senate Select Small Business Committee and let them join in the battle. I am having Potter & Rhodes do the same thing with the House Select Committee on Small Business. At least this will harness Fleming and may give some publicity to his tactics and it will give Stovall some working points if he comes back here as I understand he may. Though as Goldwater says, it is hard to see what Ad can do that we haven't, but he can take a first hand story back.

Stovall's people should also write these committees directly.
Note: A. B. Sick, Rep. Potter's Office, has been very active in this manganese matter for us.