A BRIEF HISTORY AND REVIEW OF ORE GRADES AND PRODUCTION IN THE TOMBSTONE MINING DISTRICT WITH EMPHASIS ON THE CONTENTION MINE AREA

BY Michael N. Greeley Field Engineer June 1984

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES

John H. Jett

Director

PHOENIX OFFICE

Mineral Resources Building Fairgrounds Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 255-3791

TUCSON OFFICE

State Office Building 416 W. Congress, Rm. 161 Tucson, Arizona 85701 (602) 628-5399 Accelerated interest in precious-metal occurrences in Arizona prompted the review of production records of the Tombstone mining district. The Tombstone area was, and continues to be, the largest producer of primary silver in the state. A significant amount of "by-product" gold has also been produced. At present two producing companies are active in the district.

This report represents an attempt to gather data from several sources and tabulate the annual production of each mine, beginning with the Tough Nut in 1879. The production tables generally give the tons of ore, or other material, treated and the amount of precious and base metals recovered. Based on this information, average (recovered) grades have been calculated and added to the tables.

Two final compilations of the annual grade of gold and annual grade of silver are given for each mine at the end of the report. Since the earliest precious-metal production was reported as doré or precious-metal bullion, with no separation of silver and gold, the amount of silver produced during the early years and the corresponding silver grade are exaggerated. Gold is included with the silver in these early production and grade figures.

The strongest zone of metallization exploited in the Tombstone district was the Contention-Head Center-Grand Central area. Although this zone is emphasized in this report, production figures and calculated ore grades are tabulated for most of the other major district mines. The western-most deposits are not discussed.

This report should be viewed as a base of information that can be expanded and improved as more data is obtained. The interested reader is encouraged to review individual mine files, maintained by the Department, for other engineering and geologic reports.

Attached are tables of production of the Tombstone mining district and tables showing yearly precious metal grades of ore treated. The grades are based on recovered metal only. Silver production figures for the earliest years were obtained from U.S. Bureau of Mines data. These "silver" figures probably represent troy ounces of precious metal bullion, or dore, containing both silver and gold, shipped to the U.S. Mint for refining. Whenever gold production is not shown, therefore, it may be generally assumed the amount of silver and the recovered grade of silver are erroneously high.

Although the production figures are not complete, they probably do represent some 90 to 95 percent of the total ore produced from the heart of the district. Much of the production since the early 1930's is not tabulated because it has not been segregated according to mine or operating entity. This later production includes that of the Tombstone Development Co., the Tombstone Mining Co., and other companies and leaseholders. In addition to the production-grade tables, there are several smaller tables showing metal produced from non-ore sources such as mill tailings and smelter slag.

The Contention—Head Center—Grand Central area is the strongest metallized zone exploited in the district. Since startup in 1880, production from this zone was nearly continuous for about fifty years, and intermittent for another twenty—five years. Recently, significant production from this zone is attributed to the mining and heap leaching operation of Tombstone Exploration, Inc.

The Contention-Grand Central ore zone is about 3,300 feet long. Within this zone the richest ore bodies occurred between the surface and the

fourth level. Generally, the rock was soft and the mining costs were low (Butler and others, 1938, p. 69-70).

Development of the Contention, Head Center, and Grand Central mines was rapid during the earliest years. By July, 1881, mine workings had reached the water table at a depth of 560 feet. Although ore extended at least 100 feet below the water, pumping was not sufficient to allow extensive drifting or stoping in this region. Fire destroyed the hoist house and pumping facility at the Grand Central mine in 1886 and later that year the Contention works were also destroyed.

Much of the production by the Grand Central Mining Co. from 1884 to

1888 was actually from the Emerald mine. The Emerald is approximately

4,000 feet to the southwest of the Grand Central shaft. Like the Contention—

Grand Central deposits, the Emerald is associated with a north—trending

fissure.

Between startup and 1887, the Contention, Grand Central (and Emerald), and Head Center mines had reportedly treated 272,545 tons of ore, yielding 10,969,929 ounces of silver and 6,092 ounces of gold. Using these figures, the recovered grades were about 40.25 oz Ag/ton and 0.022 oz Au/ton.

Although usually not specific, early written accounts of ore grade in the district suggest that gold assays were significantly higher than 0.022 oz/ton. Church (1903, p. 34) states the proportion of gold was 0.827%, by weight, of the precious metals (district-wide) and the Contention--Grand Central zone produced about 1 ounce gold to 80 ounces silver. Extraction rates for near-surface, or chloride ores throughout the district were about 85% silver and 45% gold (Church, 1887, p. 602).

Combining all silver (doré) and gold reported from the Contention--Grand Central zone, between 1880 and 1887, gives a total of 10,976,021 ounces of bullion. Assuming an original ratio of 80 ounces of silver to one ounce of gold in the ore and recoveries of 85% and 45% respectively, there would be approximately 84.51% of the available precious metal extracted. The total amount of gold and silver in the ore, therefore, may have been about 12,987,836 ounces.

Applying the 80:1 ratio to the total precious metal content indicates 12,825,488 ounces of silver and 162,348 ounces of gold were sent, in 272,545 tons of ore, to the company mills. The tenor of the ore, therefore, may have been about 47.06 oz Ag/ton and 0.596 oz Au/ton. It should be emphasized that several assumptions have been made in deriving these figures. The ore grades, though reasonable approximations, may not be completely accurate.

During the period, 1899-1914, most of the district mines were operated by lessees or by the Tombstone Consolidated Mines Co. Individual mine production is not given in the records studied. A majority of the ore produced, however, probably came from the Contention—Grand Central area. Certainly the bulk of the production originated above the water table even though significant development was made down to the 1,000-foot level during the more successful years of dewatering. The average recovered grade was 10.90 oz Ag/ton and 0.140 oz Au/ton. The silver to gold ratio (recovered) was approximately 79:1.

Between 1915 to 1918 the Bunker Hill Mines Company, a subsidiary of the Phelps Dodge Corp., operated the defunct Tombstone Consolidated Mines property. On April 1, 1918, the property was turned over to lessees. The mines were managed in this manner until the end of 1931. Undoubtedly numerous mines throughout the district frequently contributed to the total production credited to Bunker Hill Mines. As many as 60 lessees operated the company mines in one year. In general, therefore, no specific sources of ore have been identified with the exception of that mined during 1930 which, according to a Phelps Dodge annual report, came chiefly from the Contention—Head Center area, a "high" gold zone. The recovered grade, 0.274 oz Au/ton, that year was the highest on the company property since 1916. No ore was produced from below the water table during the Bunker Hill Mines management.

Several observations taken from the literature may be made concerning the changes in character of the ore, grade, and precious metal ratios occurring with depth in the Contention-Grand Central ore zone. No attempt is made to predict actual grades of mineralization remaining in the ground.

Ore occurs (1) in the faulted segments of the Contention dike, (2) in brecciated footwall zones of these segments, and (3) in limestone beds of the shaley Bisbee Group. Where the dike is in place and unfaulted, very little ore has been found (Butler and others, 1938, p. 70). In general, the ore bodies appear to be genetically related to northeast fissures. Though not well documented, Church (1903) shows that some of the deposits in the Contention—Grand Central zone are associated with anticilinal flexures in the sediments.

The ore of the upper levels of the zone was rich in silver, gold, and lead. Most of this ore was strongly oxidized. Church (1887, p. 601) describes the mineral suite as one comprised chiefly of horn silver (probably also bromyrite—AgBr) enclosed in a gangue of quartz, iron and manganese oxides, with lead carbonate and some sulfides of silver, iron, copper, lead and zinc. Gold occurred in the native form as well as in various sulfide

minerals where, according to Butler and others (1938, p. 51), it may be present as a telluride.

With increasing depth in the mines, the proportion of silver sulfide increased and the silver haloid decreased. Fissure-veins usually had a higher gold value than the anticlinal deposits, and Church (1903, p. 34-35) believed that the gold content increased with depth in all occurrences. He reports an anticlinal deposit located in the Contention mine that was drifted on 90 feet below the water table. The drift, 140 feet long, assayed more than 4.8 ounces per ton in gold. It is not known if this deposit was chiefly oxide or sulfide in character.

Only very general comments may be made concerning distribution trends of other metals. Lead is widely distributed but its presence does not necessarily indicate high silver values. It is generally low in deposits that are high in manganese.

Distribution of copper and zinc is not well known. Copper appears to be most abundant in and near strong northeast fissures, according to Butler and others (1938, p. 104), and the largest body of copper ore probably occurred deep (9th level?) in the Emerald mine. The largest deposit of zinc ore was probably mined in the Silver Thread area north of the Contention—Grand Central zone.

Although manganese is widely distributed it is most abundant on the margins of the more productive parts of the district. The Prompter fault area, south of the Contention—Grand Central ore zone and between this zone and the Emerald mine, is noted for its manganiferous silver occurrences. The Bunker Hill—Rattlesnake property, south of the Grand Central mine and associated with the Prompter fault, had abundant manganese ore. High gold areas generally carry small amounts of manganese.

For the most part oxidation has improved the grade of the ores, and oxidation is known to extend below water level. The water table may have been lower at some time before the Tombstone district was discovered. The deeper ores, however, are generally less altered and Butler and others (1938, p. 107) suggest the probability that the deeper ore, on the average, will be of lower grade than that above the water level.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- Austin, W.L., 1883, Silver Milling in Arizona: Trans. AIME, v. 11, p. 91-106.
- Blake, W.P., 1882, The Geology and Views of Tombstone, Arizona: Trans. AIME, v. 10, p. 334-345.
- Butler, B.S., Wilson, E.D., and Rasor, C.A., 1938, Geology and Ore Deposits of the Tombstone District, Arizona: Az. Bur. Mines Bull. 143, 114 p.
- Church, J.A., 1887, Concentration and Smelting at Tombstone, Arizona: Trans. AIME, v. 15, p. 601-613.
- Church, J.A., 1903, The Tombstone, Arizona, Mining District: Trans. AIME, v. 33, p. 3-37.
- Goodale, C.W., 1889, The Occurrence and Treatment of the Argentiferous Manganese Ores of Tombstone District, Arizona: Trans. AIME, v. 17, p. 767-777.
- Staunton, W.F., Personal Papers, Special Collections, Univ. of Az.
- Tenney, J.B., 1927-29, History of Mining in Arizona: Unpublished manuscript, Special Collections, Univ. of Az., 401 p.

О	RE	

.

			Old				
Contention	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1880 81 82 83 84	15,000 20,000 22,390 26,107 8,720	1,055,630 1,317,848 1,474,160 890,050 297,300					USBM " " " "
85 1910	6,035 1,640	205,733 42,976		9,222	125,312		II.
11	5,265	150,119	1,313	45,479	694,563		m .
1928	16	64	1	74	1,211		
	105,173	5,433,880	1,314	54,775	819,875		
Average		51.67	0.012	0.03%	0.39%		
Grand Central							
1881	18,000	929,978	•				USBM
82	34,180	1,191,947	*				m · ·
83	29,240	769,840					"
84	16,560	465,930					II .
85	22,650	596,334					u ·
86	20,675	500,000					n -
87	14,500	518,360	4,7.77				J B Tenney
88		(212,766)					11
1917	74			11,862			USBM ·
29	45	510	1	182			u .
1956	15	9		200	2,400		п
	155,939	5,185,674	4,778	12,244	2,400		e
Average		31.89	0.031	0.004%	0.0008%		*
Head Center							
1881	5,878	169,487					USBM
82	3,800	109,718					II II
83	1,200	48,650					H .
84	555	22,520					п
1893-96 (?)	333	,					J B Tenney
2000 00 (.,	11,433	350,375					
Average		30.65		5			Feb. '84
		and statement and the statement of the s					100. 04

Contention	Material	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1940 Average	AuAg tails	1,337	4,533 3.39	$\frac{94}{0.070}$	$\frac{4,950}{0.19}$ %			USBM
Grand Central			* , *					
1924 25 1926	Pb tails Pb tails Pb tails	15,000 10,575 25,923 51,498	30,000 37,463 44,146 111,609	484 506 543 1,533	15,000 17,344 17,304 49,648	1,000,000 1,170,286 1,104,160 3,274,446	*	J B Tenney "
Average		•	2.17	0.030	0.05%	3.18%		

				ORE			
Tough Nut	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1879 1880 81 82 83 1892 93 94 1935 36 53 1957	5,210 19,350 33,435 30,800 16,322 1,102 2,096 1,671 1,833 1,747 65 565 114,196	213,875 794,298 1,372,572 1,263,942 550,526 97,455 116,201 105,014 36,079 28,820 1,927 6,994 4,587,703 40.17	2,918 603 1,289 1,687 643 445 20 98 7,703 0.067	22,000 10,850 440 3,220 36,510 0.02%	747,200 248,956 541,208 582,731 340,000 135,200 3,560 60,000 2,658,855 1.16%		USBM " " " W F Staunton " " USBM " "
Vizina							
1880 81 1886—88(?) Average	1,906 2,725 4,631	40,543 57,941 98,484 21.27					USBM " J B Tenney
Way Up							
1883 Average	550	5,631 10.24					J B Tenney

	-	•	*	١,	-
п	1	١	H	21	н
-86	`	J,	1.	u	_

cky Cuss	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1888 89 1890 91 92 93 1894 Average	2,566 687 2,488 2,271 2,684 3,729 31 14,456	107,979 25,707 110,954 124,682 116,973 93,802 1,708 581,805 40.25 [90Ag:	1,519 356 1,162 1,682 1,254 431 52 6,456 0.447 1Au]		68,501 61,193 145,313 280,606 193,328 1,283 750,224 2.59%		W F Staunton " " " " " "
st Side						*	
1888 89 1890 91 92 93 1894 Average	481 151 500 1,105 1,490 1,184 246 5,157	40,674 12,664 42,411 81,005 99,026 57,548 14,362 347,690 67.42 [53Ag:	893 241 966 1,527 1,689 971 279 6,566 1.273		70,298 13,980 44,828 316,136 318,912 179,659 66,383 1,010,196 9.79%		W F Staunton " " " " " "
rthwest				ž.			
1890 91 92 93 1894 Average	274 458 1,413 1,427 310 3,882	23,895 30,751 124,062 124,253 29,730 332,691 85.70 [370Ag	39 99 501 257 2 898 0.231		58,674 116,836 262,407 288,990 51,960 778,867 10.03%		W F Staunton " " " "
	1888 89 1890 91 92 93 1894 Average st Side 1888 89 1890 91 92 93 1894 Average rthwest 1890 91 92 93 1894	1888 2,566 89 687 1890 2,488 91 2,271 92 2,684 93 3,729 1894 31 14,456 Average st Side 1888 481 89 151 1890 500 91 1,105 92 1,490 93 1,184 1894 246 5,157 Average rthwest 1890 274 91 458 92 1,413 93 1,427 1894 310 3,882	1888	1888	1888	1888	1888

				ORE			
Good Enough	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1884 85 86	10,610 11,900 12,000	357,951 401,630 400,000	1,875 111		1,108,600		USBM "
87 88	11,750 9,500	396,139 319,150	1,713		451,500		0
89 1890	20,000	571,430		SHUT DOWN	W.	*	J B Tenney USBM
91 92	16,500 19,600	465,647 563,218	3,861				11
93 94	19,500 13,600	517,240 471,900	* 7				11
95 1896	14,300 15,000	461,540 441,175					11
1913	$\frac{187}{174,447}$	27 5,367,047	7,559	$\frac{14,503}{14,503}$	1,560,100		II.
Average	•	30.77	0.043	0.004%	0.45%		

Tombstone Mill & Mining Assay Office Dump	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1891 Average	<u>17</u>	899 52.88	9 0.529		2,476 7.28%		W F Staunton
Tombstone Mill & Mining Charleston Slag Dump Cleanings							
1891 92 93	42 323 17 382	2,590 22,090 362 25,042	24 152 <u>3</u> 179		6,066 86,469 1,824 94,359		W F Staunton
Average		65.55	0.469		12.35%		

	-		-	•	
п	•	1	L	וי	Η'
-3	`.	٠.	Ľ	u	
	_		-	-	_

Bob Ingersol	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	, IF	os Zn	Reference
1881		(13,274)				\$		J B Tenney
82 (?)								"
1883	950	23,874						" "
1884(?)					0 500			
1922	190	2,899	14	2,069	8,530			USBM "
23	220	3,166	22	1,158	12,433			11
29	51	270	2	257	770 006			
1930	379	16,121	124	3,181	118,996			 II
31	293	10,051	137	2,697	73,739			
1932	226	6,766	79	2,327	13,695			
	2,309	76,421	378	11,689	227,393			
Average		27.35	0.164	0.25%	4.92%			
Herchel								
1903-04(?)								J B Tenney
1905	1,800	90,000			900,000			USBM
06	367	30,276	170		13,680			11
07	201	25,934	174	3,045	19,075			11
08	955	54,440	292	7,461	45,761			11
1910	2,636	41,768	551	10,282	60,424			11
11	2,701	50,886	640	10,060	120,165			11
13	77	1,257	15		3,285			11
19	80	2,098	9	340	1,796			"
1920	27	1,126	9	582				11
1933	280	5,292	42	300	1,200			II .
34	597	5,492	36	279	1,134			
1935	680	652	4	328	750			11
	10,401	309,221	1,942	32,677	1,167,270			<i>:-</i>
Average		29.73	0.187	0.16%	5.61%			

Herschel	Material	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1919 Average	Ag tails	777	$\frac{5,781}{7,44}$	$\frac{34}{0.044}$	2,998 0.19%			USBM

ORE

(Old Guard	To	ons	oz	Ag	oz	Au	115	s Cu	lbs	Pb	lbs	Zn	I	Reference
	1903-04	(?)												č	J B Tenney
	1905		320	16,	,000					160,	000				USBM
	1910		381		,877		9	54	,086						11
	11		63		348	*	26		504	6,	549				11
	14		L54		736		32		240		476				**
	15		105		291		33	÷	580		599				**
	16		L68	1.	,928		21			1.	033				**
	17	•	52		24		18		494		684				н
	1920		69	1.	,900		30		320		912				· 11
	22		383		,155		46		320	• ,	J				н
	23		65		830		17								11
	26		376	1	,938		72	2	2,158	. Д	422				11
	27		262		,051		38		700	-1/	722				11
							19		806						
	28	,-	107	Ι,	,074		11		381		592				11
	1929		32		704				359		724				
	1933		52	-	751		13			ī					11
	34		67	1,	,499		19		279	1,	026				"
	1935	-	40		434	-	6		161	704	554				.,
		2,	696		,540		101	62	2,068	194					
	Average			22	2.08	0.3	L49		1.15%		3.61%				

				ORE			
Oregon	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1882 83 84	4,450 2,250 1,210	223,300 128,245 60,520					USBM "
1885—90(?) 1891 Average	185 8,095	6,530 417,595 51.59					J B Tenney USBM
Bunker Hill							
1883 88 (?)	1,980	88,297					J B Tenney
89 1890–92(?)	7,000	230,000				,	USBM J B Tenney
1903 1910	$\frac{100}{450}$	7,500 6,541 332,338	10 <u>15</u> 25	12,000 4,856 16,856	$\frac{66,000}{48,718}$ $\frac{114,718}{}$		USBM
Average		34.87	0.003	0.09%	0.60%		
San Diego			8				
1883 1918(?)	415	10,698					J B Tenney
1934 1943	80 60 555	$ \begin{array}{r} 323 \\ \hline 34 \\ \hline 11,055 \end{array} $	3 3	306	11,715 1,833 13,548		USBM "
Average	,	19.92	0.005	0.03%	1.22%		

_	_	_
	D	G.
\cup	T	Ŀ

				-			
Tombstone Consolidated	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1899-1902 1903 04 05 06 07 08 09 1910 11 12 13 1914 Average	967 11,295 35,720 31,508 67,121 71,477 51,266 27,123 5,249 8,797 7,405 5,760 6,093 329,781	105,077 189,744 491,871 420,712 586,804 506,455 357,414 201,700 116,520 224,098 158,377 126,392 108,868 3,594,032 10.90	1,062 3,750 8,140 6,523 7,143 5,818 4,106 2,280 1,062 2,155 1,363 1,230 1,380 46,012 0.140	10,780 7,608 27,706 31,163 68,209 27,723 10,657 14,217 198,063 0.03%	190,869 291,972 699,174 1,748,887 2,142,748 2,509,215 1,770,794 1,535,637 305,876 982,010 617,820 334,923 234,345 13,364,270 2.03%	173,313 713,716 36,503 39,324 962,856 0.15%	W F Staunton " " " " J B Tenney " " " " " "
Bunker Hill Mines (PD)							
1915 16 17 18 19 1920 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 1930 1931	9,003 57,200 42,837 19,507 27,445 28,980 18,594 44,347 32,770 15,448 17,185 21,785 9,831 21,452 6,947 5,570 5,728	100,115 435,931 330,354 283,412 450,366 446,721 409,234 613,700 495,943 247,642 203,918 176,433 95,688 151,400 60,569 35,061 52,051	1,216 3,950 3,119 1,389 1,946 1,788 1,503 2,322 3,093 2,459 2,171 2,446 2,169 2,200 1,082 1,528 1,384	36,075 131,546 142,482 41,503 209,182 144,010 132,688 196,740 195,485 72,836 57,996 96,172 36,098 1,316,373 27,180 780 21,564	164,135 983,983 1,278,754 457,183 289,424 243,946 678,946 744,529 465,914 465,323 356,733 866,826 134,240 155,840 135,425 42,440 3,407	63,386 32,592	J B Tenney " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
Average	384,629	4,588,538 11.93	35,764 0.093	2,858,710 0.37%	7,467,048 0.97%	95,978 0.01%	

Bunker Hill Mines (PD)	Material	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs 2	Zn Reference
1917 18 19 1920 26 27 28 1929	Ag tails AgMn tails AgMn tails Mn tails Ag tails Pb tails Pb tails Pb tails	14,637 3,952 1,117 2,027 376 11,460 2,500 8,155	113,785 34,971 5,853 10,134 3,292 18,667 2,762 35,331	254 2 31 54 201 51 570	87,006 5,526 4,148 1,000 1,202 48,434	28,589 70,300 71,755 695,098		J B Tenney Phelps Dodge " " USBM " "
1931	Pb tails	9,139	32,746	635	37,221	190,687		"
Tombstone Development Co. (?)		•						
1932	AuAg tails	2,286 55,649	7,118	131 1,929	$\frac{12,765}{197,302}$	$\frac{42,730}{1,099,159}$		USBM
Average			4.76	0.035	0.18%	0.99%		*
71 Minerals								
71 PHREELD								
1974	Dump	5,000	2,240	2 501				USBM "
75 76		293,276 940,000	60,436 124,700	2,591 3,661				11
1977		1,238,276	77,000	1,900 8,152				и
Average		_,,	0.15	0.007				₩.

			16.	ORE			
Tombstone Extension	Tons	oz Ag	oz Au	lbs Cu	lbs Pb	lbs Zn	Reference
1930	2,760	21,997	205		887,952		B S Butler
31	5,801	5,801	44		232,099		н
32	3,096	41,485	286		1,563,532		11
33	2,819	37,840	224		1,145,565		11
34	3,129	35,632	196		1,280,550		11
35	2,458	30,439	90		970,857		II .
36	222	2,860	10		87,228		11
37	412	4,437	28		167,949		11
1938-49 (?)							USBM
1950	160	2,134	13		65,600		11
1951-52(?)		•					ii ii
1954(?)							11
	20,857	182,625	1,096		6,401,332		
Average	•	8.76	0.053		15.35%		

Year 187 188 8	79 80 81 82	Nough Nut 41.05 41.05 41.05 41.04	70.39 65.89 65.84	Vizina (21.27 21.26	Grand Central 51.67 34.87	Head Center 28.83 28.87	Bob Ingersol	Oregon (Knoxville)	Luck Sure		San Diego	Way Up	Good Enough	Lucky Cuss	West Side	North West	Tombstone Consolidated	Tranquility	Herschel	Old Guard	Tambstone Extension
. 8 8 8 8 8 189 9 9 9	83 84 85 86 87 88 88 89 90 91 92 93 99 94 95	88.43 55.44 62.85	34.09 34.09 34.09	?	26.32 28.14 26.33 24.18 35.75 ?	40.54 40.57	25.13	57.00 50.02 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? 35.30		. ? 32.86 ? ?	25.78	10.24	33.74 33.75 33.33 33.71 33.59 28.57 28.22 28.74 26.53 34.70 32.28 29.41	42.08 37.42 44.60 54.90 43.58 25.15 55.10	84.56 83.87 84.82 73.31 66.46 48.60 58.38	87.21 67.14 87.80 87.07. 95.90					
9 190 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 191 1	98 99 90 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09		26.20(?) 28.51(?)							75.00(?)							? ? ? 108.70 16.80 13.77 13.35 8.74 7.09 6.97 7.44 22.20 25.47 21.40	121.66	? 50.00 82.50 129.02 57.01 15.85 18.84	? ? 50.00 49.55 21.40	
1 1 1 3 1 1 192 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	22 23 24 25 26						15.26 14.39						0.14(?)	·			21.94 17.87 11.12 7.62 7.71 14.53 16.41 15.41 122.01 13.84 15.13 16.03 11.87 8.10		26.23 41.70	17.77 2.77 11.48 0.46 27.54 10.85 12.77	12.08
2 193 . 3 . 3 . 3 . 3 . 3 . 3	33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	19.68					5.29 (?) 42.54 34.30 29.94				4.04 0.57		· ·				9.73 7.06 8.72 6.29 9.09 ? ? ? ? ?		18.90 9.20 0.96	10.04 22.00 14.44 22.37 10.85	7.97 19.36 13.40 13.42 11.39 12.38 12.91 10.76
4 4 4 4 4 195 5	42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	29.65											v .	ā.			3 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	121.00			? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?
Average: 187		41.04 17.53	53.34 27.96	21.27	31.91	30.65	25.13 28.90	. 51.59	46.82	35.45 25.53	25.78 2.55	10.24	30.80	40.25	67.42	85.67	11.45	121.66	29.73	22.08	8.76

1	Year	Touch Nut	Contention	Vizina	Grand Central	Head Center	Rob Ingereol	Oregon (Knoxville)	Luck Sura	Bunker Hill	San Diago	Way Do	Cood Proud	Luglar O	West 013	Name 1	Tombstone				Tombstone
	79-1882 1883 84 85 86 87 88 89 1890 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98	0.179 0.547 0.615 1.010	? ? ?	?	? ? ? ? ? ? 0.329 ?	? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?	? ? ?	7 7 7 7 7 7 7	? ?	? ? ? ? ?	?	?	0.176 0.009 ? 0.146 ? ? 0.234 ?	0.592 0.518 0.467 0.741 0.467 0.116 1.677	1.857 1.596 1.932 1.382 1.134 0.820	0.142 0.216 0.355 0.180	Consolidated	rranguille)	r Herschel	Old Guard	Extension
	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 1910 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1920 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		? 0.249 0.063		?		0.074 0.100 0.039 0.327 0.468 0.350		?	0.100	?						? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?	?	? ? ? 0.463 0.866 0.306 0.209 0.237 ,	0.413 0.208 0.314 0.125 0.346 0.435 0.120 0.262 0.191 0.145 0.178 0.344	0.074 0.008 0.092
	1930 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 1940 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 1950 51 52 53 1954	0.351 0.255									2							7	0.150 0.060 0.006	0.250 0.284 0.150	0.079 0.063 0.037 0.045 0.068 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?
Averag	1956 1957 e: 1879-1900	0.173			0.329				á				0.005				74 April 1				,
Averag	e: 1901-1957	0.286	0.012		0.022		0.164			0.003	0.038		0.043	0.447	1.273	0.231	0.057		0.187	0.149	0.053
Average	e: 1879-1957	0.067	0.012	?	0.031	?	0.164	?	?	0.003	0.005	?	0.043	0.447	1.273	0.231	0.057	?	0.187	0.149	0.053

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES

BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Edna Vinck - Globe Chairman Brian Donnelly - Phoenix Vice Chairman

Richard C. Cole - Pinetop Secretary Donald W. Hart - Phoenix Member