COPPER INDUSTRY

STATISTICS FOR 1960 COMPARED WITH OTHER YEARS

ARIZONA, UNITED STATES AND WORLD

COMPILED BY ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES Fairgrounds, Phoenix 7, Arizona

Frank P. Knight, Director

Frank J. Tuck, Statistical Engineer

Source: United States Bureau of Mines, Copper Institute, American Metal Market, Engineering & Mining Journal, Arizona Bureau of Mines

CONTENTS

	Pages
Physical Properties of Copper	1
History of Copper Industry in 1960 (U.S.B.M.)	2 - 3
The Need for Congress to Foster a Strong, Healthy Domestic Copper Industry.	4 - 6
Comments on TABLES III, XII and XIII	6 - 7
Arizona's Part in the Economy of the Copper Industry	8
Salient U. S. Copper Statistics 1958, 1959, 1960. TABLE I	9
Arizona, United States, and World Mine Production of Copper, E.& M.J. Domestic and Export Price of Copper, By Years 1945-1960 Incl. TABLE II	10
U. S. Production and Consumption of Copper. TABLE III	11
Mine Production Recoverable Copper - Estimated Production of Secondary Unalloyed Copper, Reported Refined Copper Consumption in U.S.A., Estimated World Refined Copper Consumption. TABLE IV	12
World Mine Production of Recoverable Copper, By Continents and Principal Countries for Years 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960. TABLE V	13
New (Primary) Refined Copper Withdrawn From Supply on Domestic Account, By Years 1953-1960 Incl. TABLE VI	14
Imports of Copper into United States - By Quarters in 1960. TABLE VII Summary of Yearly Imports and Exports By Years 1952-1960 Incl	15 - 16
Exports of Copper from the United States - By Quarters in 1960. TABLE VIII.	17
Stocks of Refined Copper Reported By U.S.B.M. and Copper Institute. TABLE IX	18
Stocks of Refined Copper, Blister and Materials in Process, Reported by U.S.B.M. TABLE X	18
Refined Copper Consumed in U.S. By Classes of Consumers 1957-1960.TABLE XI.	19
Estimated Annual Copper Productive Capacity in 1960 - Arizona, Other States, Other Free Countries, Communist Countries, Total World. TABLE XII	20
Copper Mining Employment, Wages and Hours in U.S. and Arizona, TABLE XIII.	21 - 22
Summary of Estimated Copper Mining Employment, Weekly Earnings, Weekly Hours, Hourly Earnings, in Arizona and United States, By Years, 1947-1960. TABLE XIV	23
United States Copper Mining - Output in Tons Copper Ore, Value of Copper, Gold and Silver Produced in Copper Ores - By Years 1947-1960. TABLE XV	24
Section devoted to Arizona Mining Statistics. TABLES XVI - XXIII	

COPPER

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES *

Symbol - Cu. Atomic Weight - 63.54. Specific Gravity - 8.96

Melting Point - 1981.4°F. Boiling Point - 4700°F

Electrical Resistivity -Microhm-cm. - 1.673

Tensile Strength (H.D. - 60,000 #/sq.in.) (annealed - 30,000)

Crystal Structure - Face-centred cubic. Valence - 1 & 2

Copper ranks next to iron as a metal of commercial importance. It has the best conductivity of any base metal; for example, measured on the ordinary basis of conductivity per unit of cross sectional area, aluminum's conductivity is only 61 percent of that of copper, but 3.5 times that of iron. Copper is therefore the most important metal in the electrical field. Copper has enough strength for minor structural purposes (such as sheet-metal work, electrical manufactures, etc.), is easily rolled and drawn into wire, has great resistance to weathering, and is of moderate cost compared to competitive materials. In addition to these properties, copper is widely used alloyed with zinc to form brass, which is easily worked, offers good resistance to weathering and most solutions (principal exceptions are certain acids and alkalies), and is fairly strong and elastic; and alloyed with tin to form bronze, of note for its resilience. It has good thermal conductivity, so finds many uses in heat-transfer units, such as cooling fins and water heaters. In addition, a large percentage of copper may be recovered as scrap after it has outlived the usefulness for which it was originally intended. Of the total copper consumed in the United States it has been estimated that about 60 percent eventually returns to use as copper or copper alloys.

* U.S.B.M.'s "MATERIALS SURVEY" - September, 1952

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

COPPER INDUSTRY IN 1960

Reported by U.S.B.M. in Mineral Market Report M.M.S. No. 3264 Prepared August 4, 1961, by H.M. Callaway, Gertrude N. Greenspoon and Wilma F. Washington under the supervision of P.F. Yopes, Chief, Branch of Nonferrous Metals, Division of Minerals.

Record production and exports, lower consumption, and rising stocks characterized United States copper industry in 1960, according to the Bureau of Mines, United States Department of the Interior. Labor strikes that had begun in Mid-1959 continued into early 1960. The need for primary source materials at smelters and refineries after settlement of the strikes stimulated domestic mine production and caused near-record imports of blister copper. Mine production in the United States was the largest since 1957. Copper recovered from domestic ores set an all-time record; smelter production from imported materials more than doubled; and recovery of copper from scrap increased by one-third.

Production of copper by United States mines rose 31 percent to 1,080,000 tons. Output in Arizona gained 25 percent and was 4 percent greater than the record production of 1957. Utah continued to rank second among copper-producing States and output rose 51 percent. Montana, with production of 92,000 tons, was the third-ranking State, and contributed 9 percent of the Nation's mine output. Other States having significant output in quantitative order were Nevada, New Mexico, Michigan, and Tennessee.

Consumption of refined copper during the early months of 1960 was at a rate lower than the monthly average for 1959. An upturn in March was not long sustained, and by mid-summer consumption had declined to only about three-fourths the rate established during the earlier months. August and September consumption rates were markedly above the mid-summer low and about the same as that of April. May, and June. However, the remaining quarter of the year saw a gradual decline that terminated with a December rate somewhat lower than that at the beginning of the year, and an 8-percent decrease from 1959.

The primary producers' price for electrolytic copper, delivered, established at 33 cents per pound on November 12, 1959, was unchanged until October 12, 1960. On that date, cumulative effects of increased output, slackened demand and resulting large consumer inventories caused a 3-cent-a-pound drop to 30 cents. Owing presumably to the extension of the labor strikes into the early months of 1960, custom smelters did not quote prices until about mid-March when a 33-cent-perpound price was established; it was reduced to 31 cents on October 3 and to 30 on October 12.

Imports of unmanufactured copper declined 8 percent to the lowest level since 1958. The most significant drop was in refined copper; receipts of copper in concentrates remained virtually unchanged; and a decline in copper matte imports was offset by an increase in the ore class. Although the quantity of Chilean copper shipped to the United States diminished considerably, Chile remained the U. S. chief source of imported copper, supplying approximately 40 percent of the total. Canada was the second largest supplier with 22 percent of the total, and was the principal source of refined copper for the sixth consecutive year. Peru rose to third place, becoming a major supplier of blister copper from the newly-opened Toquepala unit of Southern Peru Copper Co., and contributing 17 percent of the U. S. supply.

Because the price of copper remained above 24 cents a pound, the 1.7-cents-a-pound excise tax, effective July 1, 1958, was unchanged.

Exports of refined copper more than doubled in 1960, and were the largest since 1928. West Germany, United Kingdom, Italy, and France were the major recipients, but significant shipments went to Japan, Brazil, and Argentina.

Exports of scrap copper expanded six-fold. Although substantial shipments were made to a score of countries, West Germany alone received about one-third of the total. Spain and Japan were also major recipients of the total. Brass and bronze scrap exports exceeded the previous record in 1954 by 31 percent and were the largest since these data were recorded separately in 1929. Three-fourths of the total went to Japan.

Because of the relatively high rate of consumption and the continuing strikes at smelters and refineries, stocks of refined copper at primary plants on January 1, 1960 were the lowest (18,000 tons) since the turn of the century. Settlement of the strikes and resulting return to near-capacity output at primary refineries caused inventories to rise from April through December, and stocks totaled 98,000 tons at the yearend.

The price of copper on the London Metal Exchange averaged £259 5s. 3d. per long ton (32.41 cents a pound) in January. The year's high of £263 17s. 5d. (33.02 cents) was recorded in February. During the spring and summer months the LME price was equivalent to 30-31 cents a pound. Corresponding to the decrease in the U. S. price, the London price fell to 27.89 cents equivalent in October and remained at that level throughout the rest of the year.

Despite labor disputes and political unrest, world production of copper rose to 4.6 million tons - an alltime record high. Planned production cutbacks by some producers to limit stock buildups and prevent price declines were more than offset by expanded output from other producing factions and entry of new facilities into the productive stage.

THE NEED FOR CONGRESS TO FOSTER A STRONG HEALTHY DOMESTIC COPPER INDUSTRY

Over 90 percent of our domestic copper comes from low-grade mines handling huge tonnages. They have but little flexibility of operation, but a definite requirement of prices high enough to keep them in operation under their present cost conditions. They get their lowest costs only when running at full production. They have but limited possibilities as to selective mining. As production comes down because of curtailing to fit markets, their per pound costs go up.

The larger producers have their own smelters located near their mines, but a few custom smelters handle production from the other mines. To avoid speculation as to futures, the custom smelters ordinarily make it a practice to sell each day, at whatever price the consumer is willing to pay, a quantity of copper about equal to their daily intake from smaller producers. Thus their operations largely govern prices. Custom smelters, or refineries, also handle the secondary copper coming from scrap recovery, which increases in quantity as prices go up and thus increases the amount of metal at current bid prices. Thus the producing units of the large mines themselves have but little to say about markets and prices yet they are the ones most influenced by the ups and downs.

These large mines are in one-industry communities. For each man employed there are about six in population. They have nothing else to turn to when curtailment cuts their hours of labor. Yet there is no local available labor market when higher production is indicated by demand. When market conditions require a curtailment of production, it is done by shortening the work week, and thus giving every man a job and his family some income, even though it makes a lessened take-home pay for family support. If more drastic curtailment is called for, some miners are laid off. The only cure for such a depressed area is a reasonably stable production program. Whatever part of the domestic market that is taken away from domestic mines is largely paid for by those with fluctuating employment at the large mines because of lessened demand, and the labor at the small mines operating only when metal prices are high.

What the domestic copper mining industry needs to maintain full employment is a tariff sufficient to put the domestic industry on an equitable competitive cost basis with foreign mines when prices are below certain "peril points". The foreign mines with low wage scales, higher average grades of ore, cheap water transportation and other cost advantages are in position totake any or all of the domestic market that they wish, now or in the future.

National security demands a going domestic copper mining industry, rather than dependence upon foreign sources for this metal. This was revealed to us expensively by submarine sinkings during World War I. There is no metal more important to armed conflict than copper.

The weak and declining copper market of 1957-1958 resulted in substantial curtailment in output at most of the properties in the United States, and some mines were actually shut down. A significant point is that virtually all of the curtailment in 1957 was at mines in the United States. Production from foreign mines was actually about 100,000 tons greater in 1957 than in 1956.

The most deplorable evidence of the deterioration of 1957-1958 was the unemployment in the mining communities. In addition to actual layoffs of 6,000 men,

consequent to both curtailment and shutting down, there was widespread shortening of the work week.

The mining communities in Arizona are completely dependent on copper mining, milling and smelting. When a mine is shut-down, the damage extends not only to the mine employees but to hundreds of citizens indirectly affected by the shut-down. It has been estimated that a total of 13.5 persons (including the miners and their families as well as service employees and their families) are dependent on the output of one miner. With a normal employment of 16.000 by the copper companies in Arizona, this means that the livelihood of over 216.000 persons is affected. The industry is a major element in the economy of five Western States, and is important to at least five other states. Drastic curtailment of production and suspension of operations has unusually bad effects on the mines themselves, on the mining communities, and on the surrounding regions.

The impact of shutting down the typical metal-mining enterprise on the employees and the community is much more serious than with most other kinds of industry. Copper mining is carried on largely in isolated areas, where not only the working forces in the mines and reduction works but the families of the miners, the thousands of men and women engaged in essential business activities and the professions, community life itself, are dependent on the fortunes of the mining business. The mere statistics of mine employment are, therefore, utterly inadequate to measure the population dependent on the mines. Modern and stable towns and villages have been built up, enjoying every sort of civic advantage. Unfortunately, such communities depend on a single industry.

Indirectly dependent on the copper-mining industry, of course, are thousands of people engaged in producing and distributing foodstuffs and miscellaneous merchandise; machinery; supplies and equipment of many kinds. The industry is an important user of fuels, electricity, cement, explosives, steel, electrical machinery, automotive equipment, and power shovels.

Because of the location of most of the copper mines at long distances from manufacturing and consuming centers, the industry generates a great deal of long-haul as well as short-haul freight. The suspension of copper-producing operations consequently reacts seriously not only on the immediate community but on the surrounding region and the economy in general.

One not inconsiderable factor is the loss of tax revenue by local, state and Federal governments. In Arizona such taxes constitute a very important proportion of their total revenues.

The suspension of operations, even though temporary, of any industrial operation involves expense; but because of characteristics unique to mining operations the "shutdown" or "standby" expense is exceptionally high. This is particularly true of underground mines. The problem of supporting the ground is a continuing one; and constant repair and replacement of timber in haulageways, stations, and shafts is necessary whether the mine is producing or not. Pumps must be run continuously to prevent flooding of the workings. Hoisting machinery and other surface equipment and plant must be kept in repair. These are costly operations; but unless they are carried on, the likelihood is that the cost of future rehabilitation will be so great that valuable ore will be lost beyond retrieve.

Conservation of an Invaluable Natural Resource

It has been urged in some quarters that, if copper can readily be obtained from foreign sources, the United States should be content to import the metal and leave its copper reserves in the ground. This idea rests on a profound misconception of peculiar aspects of the business of mining and the true meaning of conservation. In its best sense, conservation means not hoarding in the ground; but efficient and beneficial discovery, production, and utilization. Only a healthy, vigorous copper-mining industry can and will explore for new ores, develop and equip new deposits, and devote itself to the manifold problems of converting ore bodies of successively lower grade into profitable enterprises. The incentive to do these things is the prospect of maintaining a reasonably prosperous, "going" industry.

The development of an ore deposit and the provision of necessary facilities for production typically are undertakings requiring from two to five years. Consequently, it is highly important that exploration be not only adequate but forehanded. Advocates of the "hoarding" conception of conservation assume that geologists and engineers know of every pound of copper in the ground; and that the supply can be drawn upon in emergency in the same way as could the gold buried in the vaults at Fort Knox, Kentucky. They are, of course, entirely mistaken.

At this point it may be useful to say a word on the matter of undeveloped resources as distinguished from known reserves. The notion -- once too widely current -- that the United States is a "have-not" nation in respect to metals, including copper, will not bear careful scrutiny. Competent geologic evidence is convincing that many important deposits must exist that are covered by lava flows, sedimentaries, or detrital material, laid down after the ore was deposited. The search for such deposits is expensive; but techniques are being improved; and, unless the most competent geologists and engineers are all wrong, many large ore bodies will be found.

THE DISCOVERY, EXPLOITATION AND PRUDENT USE OF THE NATION'S NATURAL RESOURCES OF COPPER DEPEND ON THE EXISTENCE OF A THRIVING COPPER-MINING INDUSTRY.

COMMENTS ON TABLES III. XII. AND XIII

A study of United States copper production and consumption figures (Table III), by years from 1945 to 1960 inclusive, brings out some pertinent statistics. The small increase in domestic consumption of refined copper is especially notable.

The average annual domestic consumption from 1945 to 1952 inclusive (8 years) was 1,362,610 tons, and from 1953 to 1960 inclusive (8 years), it was 1,397,970 tons, an increase of 2.6 percent for the 8 years, or only 0.3 percent increase per year, where one might expect a normal growth-rate of at least 2 percent. The growth-rate in production of refined copper for the two 8-year periods amounted to 2.7 percent per year.

The other thing of note is that the United States has become self-supporting in copper production. This was the case in 1957, 1958 and 1960, and would also have been true in 1959 had the nation not suffered a loss of almost 300,000 tons in production due to the labor strike in the last five months of the year.

Mine productive capacity has reached 1,250,000 tons of copper per year (See Table XII), and with an estimated added production of 300,000 tons of secondary unalloyed copper, this country is now well prepared to produce all the copper it will need for some time to come.

Meanwhile, the copper tariff should be high enough to bar out low-cost foreign copper, as from now on domestic copper will be mostly high-cost, due chiefly to lowering grades of ore and rapidly increasing costs. The new producers, which have brought about this new productive capacity, must be kept active, not only for security reasons but for employment stability in a very important industry in our economy.

A tariff of at least 4 cents per pound of copper is shown to be justified by a study of Table XIII. For example, in the period, 1958-1960, it took an annual average of 55,203,963 man-hours of labor at \$2.529 per hour to produce 120,362,020 tons of copper ore with a recovery of 1,916,546,000 pounds of equivalent copper; a labor cost of \$139,610,822 for copper mining, or \$0.07285 per pound of copper. This was a recovery of 34.72 pounds of copper per man-hour of labor. If we assume a recovery of 87.0 pounds of copper per man-hour of foreign labor, (which assumes a minimum grade of only 2.5 times the U.S. ores), and a labor cost of only \$1.04 per man-hour, (which is 40% of U.S. hourly earnings), we arrive at a cost of \$0.0115 per pound of copper by foreign labor. This is 6.2 cents less than U.S. labor costs, and would permit the foreign producer to reduce the price of his copper by the difference between the 6.2 cents and 2.2 cents (present tariff plus freight). As the object of a copper tariff was primarily to equate the difference in wage-rates, a proper tariff could be as much as 6 cents.

The problem facing Arizona and other U. S. copper-producing states is the maintenance of a price that will meet competition from substitutes. A new association (The Copper Products Development Association) has been organized and is already making progress.

Sir Ronald Prain of the Rhodesian Selection Trust has estimated the peril point of substitution at 30 cents per pound, while in this country the peril point is considered by many to range between 32 and 35 cents. In the opinion of Arizona producers, the copper excise tax should never be suspended while the price of copper is below 32 cents per pound.

In order to insure continuous production of the number one strategic metal, the domestic copper industry must be protected against a flood of low-cost foreign metal. Our foreign aid program has helped the foreign producer to develop his copper production techniques, and he can find a ready market for his product in a rapidly expanding economy throughout the world. The growth-rate of copper consumption throughout Europe has been truly amazing. According to the Copper Institute figures for deliveries of refined copper outside the U.S.A., the average annual consumption of copper for the 8-year period (1945-1952) was 950,000 tons, and for the 8-year period (1953-1960) it was 1,500,000 tons. In 1960 alone it amounted to over 2,300,000 tons.

ARIZONA'S PART IN THE ECONOMY OF THE COPPER INDUSTRY

In the last ten years Arizona has increased its copper production from 415,870 tons in the year 1951 to an estimated 538,605 tons in the year 1960, or almost 30 percent. The annual tonnage of copper ore to produce this copper has increased from 42,874,000 to an estimated 66,000,000 tons, or about 54 percent. New producers have come into the picture during that time, such as Phelps Dodge Corporation's Lavender Pit, Magma Copper Company's San Manuel Mine, Asarco's Silve Bell Mine, Pima Copper Company's Pima Mine, and Duval Sulphur and Potash Company's Esperanza Mine. In addition Kennecott Copper Corporation has expanded its Ray Mine by almost 50 percent, and Bagdad Copper Corporation has expanded its operations by the construction of an acid plant and leaching plant to treat its oxidized ores.

As a result of this new production, Arizona has not only maintained its rank as the Number One copper producing state, but has raised its proportions of United States production from 44.8 percent in 1951 to about 51.0 percent in the last two years. In other words, Arizona is producing more copper than all the other states combined. See Table II.

Other Tables, namely I, XII, XIII, XIV, and XVI to XXIII inclusive show Arizona's part in the economy of copper industry.

TABLE I

SALIENT U. S. COPPER STATISTICS

YEARS 1958, 1959 AND 1960

Compiled By Arizona Department of Mineral Resources from U.S.B.M. Reports

	1958	1959	1960
Arizona Mine Production - Tons Copper U. S. Mine Production - Tons Copper World Mine Production - Tons Copper	485,839	430,297	538,605
	979,329	824,846	1,080,169
	3,740,000	4,000,000	4,590,000
Refined Stocks - Beginning of Period Refined Stocks - End of Period	109,000	48,000	18,000
	48,000	18,000	98,000
Refinery Production (From Domestic Ores) Refinery Production (From Foreign Ores)	1,001,645	796,452	1,121,286
	350,875	301,795	397,641
Secondary Copper Recovered from Scrap as Unalloyed Copper	255,121	261,588	300,259
<pre>IMPORTS: Copper from Ore, Matte, Regulus Blister Copper</pre>	92,602	82,523	80,536
	268,178	287,665	296,160
	127,630	214,056	142,706
Total Imports - Crude & Refined	488,410	584,244	519,402
EXPORTS: Copper in Ores, etc	11,475	2,981	11,111
	384,868	159,702	433,762
Total Exports - Crude & Refined	396,343	162,683	444,873
EXCESS IMPORTS OVER EXPORTS	92,067	421,561	74,529
CONSUMPTION: New Refined (Apparent Consumption) Total Refined (Actual) U.S.Mine Prod. % of Appar. Consumption Average E. & M.J. Price of Copper	1,157,000	1,183,000	1,148,000
	1,250,677	1,463,031	1,349,896
	84.6	69.7	94.1
	25.764¢	31.182¢	32.053¢

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE II

ARIZONA, UNITED STATES AND WORLD MINE PRODUCTION OF COPPER

E. & M. J. DOMESTIC AND EXPORT PRICE OF COPPER

Source: U.S.B.M.

	ARI	ZONA		UNITED	STATES	WORLD	E.& M.J.	E.&M.J. EXPORT
Year	Tons	% of U.S. Prod.	% of World Prod.	E .	% of World Prod.	Tons	PRICE Per Pound	PRICE Per Pound
1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	287,203 289,223 366,218 375,121 359,010 403,301 415,870 395,719 393,525 377,927 454,105 505,908 515,854 485,839 430,297 538,605 1/	37.2 47.5 43.2 44.9 44.8 42.8 45.7 47.5 45.7 49.9 49.9	12.0 14.1 14.6 14.4 14.4 14.3 13.1 12.9 12.2 13.3 13.4 13.3 12.9 10.7	772,894 608,737 847,563 834,813 752,750 909,343 928,330 925,359 926,448 835,472 998,570 1,104,156 1,086,141 979,329 824,846 1,080,169	32.2 29.6 33.9 32.1 30.1 32.5 32.0 30.6 30.4 27.0 29.2 29.1 27.9 25.9 25.9 20.5 23.5	2,400,000 2,056,000 2,500,000 2,500,000 2,760,000 2,900,000 3,020,000 3,050,000 3,100,000 3,420,000 3,790,000 3,780,000 4,020,000 4,590,000 <u>3</u> /	11.775¢ 13.820¢ 20.958¢ 22.038¢ 19.202¢ 21.235¢ 24.200¢ 28.798¢ 29.694¢ 37.491¢ 41.818¢ 29.576¢ 25.764¢ 31.182¢ 32.053¢	11.700¢ 14.791¢ 21.624¢ 22.348¢ 19.421¢ 21.549¢ 26.258¢ 31.746¢ 30.845¢ 29.889¢ 39.115¢ 40.434¢ 27.157¢ 24.123¢ 28.892¢ 29.894¢

^{1/} Highest annual production in history of Arizona.

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

^{2/} Highest annual production in history of United States.

^{3/} Highest annual production in history of The World.

TABLE III

U. S. PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION OF COPPER

Source: U.S.B.M.

YEAR	MINE PRODUCTION	SECONDARY * PRODUCTION *	TOTAL	ACTUAL CONSUMPTION TOTAL	PRODUCTION AS % OF CONSUMPTION
1945	772,894	112,856	885,750	1,379,272	64.2
1946	608,737	136,909	745,646	1,187,009	62,8
1947	847,563	303,092	1,150,655	1,463,294	78.6
1948	834,813	284,026	1,118,839	1,420,584	78.3
1949	752,750	250,089	1,002,839	1,129,686	88.8
1950	909,343	260,704	1,170,047	1,424,434	82.2
1951	928,330	186,462	1,114,792	1,416,865	78.7
1952	925,359	173,904	1,099,263	1,479,732	74.3
Totals 1945-52	6,579,789	1,708,042	8,287,831	10,900,876	
8-Yr.Avg.	822,474	213,505	1,035,979	1,362,610	76.0
1953	926,448	242,855	1,169,303	1,494,215	78.3
1954	835,472	212,241	1,047,713	1,254,729	83.5
1955	998,570	246,928	1,245,498	1,502,004	82.9
1956	1,104,156	273,060	1,377,216	1,521,389	90.5
1957	1,086,141	248,015	1,334,156	1,347,815	99.0
1958	979,329	255,121	1,234,450	1,250,677	98.7
1959	824,846	261,588	1,086,434	1,463,031	74.3
1960	1,080,169	300,259	1,380,428	1,349,896	102.3
Totals 1953-60	7,835,131	2,040,067	9,875,198	11,183,756	
8-Yr.Avg.	979,391	255,008	1,234,399	1,397,970	88.3

^{*} Unalloyed copper

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE IV

MINE PRODUCTION RECOVERABLE COPPER - PRODUCTION SECONDARY UNALLOYED COPPER REPORTED REFINED COPPER CONSUMPTION IN U.S.A. ESTIMATED WORLD REFINED COPPER CONSUMPTION

	MINE PRODUCTION RECOVERABLE 1/					RY COPPER PI	RODUCTION
	United States	Rest of Free World	Communist Controlled	TOTAL WORLD	United States <u>1</u> /	Rest of World	TOTAL WORLD <u>2</u> /
1946 1950 1954	909,343	1,226,000 1,551,000 1,749,000	221,000 300,000 416,000	2,056,000 2,760,000 3,100,000	137,000 261,000 212,000	363,000 460,000 400,000	500,000 721,000 612,000
1957 1958 1959		2,171,000 2,259,000 2,217,000 2,590,000 2,829,000	515,000 544,000 584,000 605,000 681,000	3,790,000 3,890,000 3,780,000 4,020,000 4,590,000	273,000 248,000 255,000 262,000 300,000	537,000 547,000 525,000 520,000 550,000	810,000 795,000 780,000 782,000 850,000

	CHANGE IN STOCKS	REPORTED CONSUMPTION	ESTIMATED CONSUMPTION
	Total	United	Total
	World	States <u>1</u> /	World <u>2</u> /
1946	8,000 I	1,187,000	2,548,000
1950	75,000 D	1,424,000	3,556,000
1954	141,000 D	1,254,000	3,853,000
1956	133,000 I	1,521,000	4,467,000
1957	104,000 I	1,348,000	4,581,000
1958	196,000 D	1,251,000	4,756,000
1959	30,000 I	1,463,000	4,772,000
1960	134,000 I	1,350,000	5,300,000

Source: U.S.B.M. 2/ Estimated. No official records have been published of either secondary unalloyed copper or of world consumption. Estimates are calculated from: "World Mine Production (U.S.B.M.) plus estimated secondary unalloyed copper, plus or minus change in stocks (Decrease or Increase)"

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE V

WORLD MINE PRODUCTION OF RECOVERABLE COPPER BY CONTINENTS AND PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES IN THOUSANDS SHORT TONS

Years 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959 and 1960

	Source: U.S.B.M.							
	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960			
NORTH AMERICA:		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE						
U.S.A.	1,104	1,087	979	825	1,080			
Canada	355	359	345	399	438			
Mexico	60	67	72	63	67			
<u>Other</u>	19	18	14	10	13			
	1,538	1,531	1,410	1,297	1,598			
SOUTH AMERICA:								
Chile	540	535	515	602	587			
Peru	51	63	59	53	202			
Other	6	6	5	4	4			
	597	604	579	659	793			
EUROPE:								
U.S.S.R.	430	450	470	480	510			
Yugoslavia	35	37	39	43	37			
Others	108	122	129	141	147			
	573	609	638	664	694			
ASIA:					An Allego diversion di Administrati de un culti de processio			
China	13	17	33	33	77			
Cyprus	39	44	37	40	39			
Japan	87	90	90	93	98			
Philippines	30	45	52	55	49			
Turkey	31	29	28	31	30			
Others	11	10	11	10	13			
	211	235	251	272	306			
AFRICA:		1.0-						
No. Rhodesia	445	480	441	599	635			
Belg, Congo	276	267	262	311	333			
U. of So. Africa	51 40	51 51	55 58	56 76	51 59			
Others	812	849	816	1,032	1,078			
	OTC	U47	010	1,0)2	Τ, 0,0			
AUSTRALIA:	59	64	82	104	121			
			The second linear value and the second linear second linea					

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

3,790

TOTAL WORLD

August, 1961

4,590

4,040

3,890

3,770

TABLE VI

NEW (PRIMARY) REFINED COPPER WITHDRAWN FROM SUPPLY ON DOMESTIC ACCOUNT

Years 1953-1960

Source: U.S.B.M.

Unit: Short Tons

	Year	Year	Year	Year
	1953	1954	1955	1956
Ref. Prod. of New Cu from U.S. Ores	932,232	841,717	997,499	1,080,207
Ref. Prod. of New Cu from Foreign Ores.	360,885	370,202	344,960	362,426
Total Ref. Prod. of New Copper Imports of Refined Copper Stocks at beginning of period	1,293,117	1,211,919	1,342,459	1,442,633
	274,111	215,086	202,312	191,745
	26,000	49,000	25,000	34,000
TOTAL AVAILABLE SUPPLY	1,593,228	1,476,005	1,569,771	1,668,378
Exports of Refined Copper	109,580	215,951	199,819	223,103
	49,000	25,000	34,000	78,000
TOTAL	158,580	240,951	233,819	301,103
Withdrawn on Domes.Acc.(Apparent Cons.)	1,434,648	1,235,054	1,335,952	1,367,275
Reported Actual Consumption	1,494,215	1,254,729	1,502,004	1,521,389

	Year	Year	Year	Year
	1957	1958	1959	1960
Ref. Prod. of New Cu from U.S. Ores	1,050,496	1,001,645	796,452	1,121,286
Ref. Prod. of New Cu from Foreign Ores.	403,680	350,875	301,795	397,641
Total Ref. Prod. of New Copper Imports of Refined Copper Stocks at beginning of period	1,454,176	1,352,520	1,098,247	1,518,927
	162,309	127,630	214,056	142,709
	78,000	109,000	48,000	18,000
TOTAL AVAILABLE SUPPLY	1,694,485	1,589,150	1,360,303	1,679,636
Exports of Refined Copper Stocks at end of period	346,025	384,868	158,938	433,762
	109,000	48,000	18,000	98,000
TOTAL	455,025	432,868	176,938	531,762
Withdrawn on Domes.Acc.(Apparent Cons.)	1,239,000	1,157,000	1,183,000	1,148,000
Reported Actual Consumption	1,352,124	1,250,677	1,463,031	1,349,896

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE VII

IMPORTS OF COPPER INTO UNITED STATES

BY QUARTERS IN 1960

Source: American Metal Market

1960	lst Qtr.	2nd Qtr.	3rd Qtr.	4th Qtr.	Year 1960
Ore Matte & Regulus (copper content)	25,401	25,162	19,471	10,502	80,536
Canada	7,056	2,416	2,751	2,727	14,950
Mexico	812	464	240	378	1,894
Cuba	2,159	3,975	420	-	6,554
Bolivia	467	155	619	105	1,346
Chile	3,862	4,119	5,005	1,206	14,192
Peru	1,419	3,129	3,243	1,632	9,423
Philippines	5,294	4,564	4,322	3,383	17,563
Union of So.Africa	3,969	6,259	2,662	922	13,812
Australia	352	81	198	140	771
Other Countries	11	-	11	9	31

Blister Copper (copper content)	61,940	75,665	82,164	76,391	296,160
Mexico	3,683	3,460	5,757	5,747	18,647
Chile	45,868	45,143	54,577	44,901	190,489
Peru	7,074	24,734	18,058	23,257	73,120
Rhodesia & Nyasalan	d –	-	-	-	-
Union of So. Africa	4,433	2,328	3,772	2,489	13,022
Turkey	547	-	-	-	547
Australia	-	-	-	-	-
Other Countries	335	44	-	-	335

TABLE VII (Continued)

IMPORTS OF COPPER INTO UNITED STATES

1960	lst Qtr.	2nd Qtr.	3rd Qtr.	4th Qtr.	Year 1960
Refined Cathodes and Shapes	74,681	24,703	23,468	19,854	142,706
Canada	35,176	23,474	22,136	19,854	100,640
Mexico	1,433	330	275	-	2,038
Chile	3,485	-	-	-	3,485
Peru	6,839	451	945	-	8,235
Belgium	2,392	225	56	-	2,673
Germany (West)	8,725	2	-	-	8,727
Sweden	2,568	221	-	-	2,788
United Kingdom	727	-	**	-	727
Belgian Congo	196	-	-	-	196
Rhodesia & Nyasalan	1 5,729	-	56	-	5,785
Union of So. Africa		-	-	-	-
Other Countries	7,412		=	400	7,412
TOTAL IMPORTS (Crude & Refined)	162,022	125,530	125,103	106,747	519,402
TOTAL EXPORTS	53,575	123,096	148,485	119,717	444,873
EXCESS IMPORTS	108,447	2,434	-	em .	74,529
EXCESS EXPORTS	one .	-	23,382	12,970	44

SUMMARY OF YEARS 1952-1960 INCLUSIVE

	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960
TOTAL IMPORTS	614,343	668 , 85 6	585,551	580,521	590,004	587,863	488,410	584,244	519,402
TOTAL EXPORTS	174,783	110,179	218,320	207,105	236,253	361,490	396,343	162,683	444,873
EXCESS IMPORTS	439,560	558,677	367,231	373,416	353,751	226,373	92,067	421,561	74,529

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE VIII

EXPORTS OF COPPER FROM THE UNITED STATES

BY QUARTERS IN 1960

Source: American Bureau of Metal Statistics - U.S. Bureau of Mines

Compiled by Quarters by Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

1960	1st Qtr.	2nd Qtr.	3rd Qtr.	4th Qtr.	1960
Ore, Concts. & Matte	1,970	2,257	1,826	5,058	11,111
Refined Ingots, Bars, etc.	51,605	120,839	146,659	114,659	433,762
Canada	515	201	251	365	1,332
Argentina	1,357	2,488	4,838	3,785	12,468
Brazil	1,265	3,830	4,433	5,363	14,891
Austria	-	222	. 6	11	239
Belgium	128	1,532	1,176	481	3,317
Denmark	224	392	587	212	1,415
France	8,473	13,935	21,845	12,612	56,865
W. Germany	14,357	29,690	33,839	28,112	105,998
Italy	5,087	20,106	19,899	16,368	61,460
Netherlands	1,370	3,758	6,319	2,212	13,659
Norway	1,092	297	840	1,232	3,461
Sweden	615	1,035	1,084	2,580	5,314
Switzerland	332	916	3,075	2,621	6,944
United Kingdom	8,867	27,456	30,128	24,212	90,663
Yugoslavia	-	728	2,358	2,561	5,647
India	-	1,385	1,851	1,830	5,066
Japan	7,598	11,129	9,636	7,207	35 .5 70
Australia	280	784	1,701	1,008	3,773
Other Countries	45	955	2,793	1,887	5,680
TOTAL EXPORTS (Crude & Refined)	53,575	123,096	148,485	119,717	444,873

TABLE IX

STOCKS OF REFINED COPPER REPORTED BY U. S. B. M. AND COPPER INSTITUTE *

STOCKS END	TN	U. S. A.	OUTSIDE U. S. A.
OF PERIOD	U.S.B.M.	Copper Institute	Copper Institute
Year 1951	35,000	71,528	152,203
Year 1952	26,000	58,858	130.103
Year 1953	49,000	89,193	280,530
Year 1954	25,000	47,108	181,529
Year 1955	34,000	61,554	159,777
Year 1956	78,000	120,645	233,775
Year 1957	109,000	181.024	277,316
Year 1958	48,000	80,722	178,152
Year 1959	18,000	64.763	228.243
Year 1960	98,000	139,272	288,510

Inventory data of the Bureau of Mines and Copper Institute always differ owing to somewhat different bases. After Jan. 1, 1947, differences were due chiefly to the method of handling metal in process of refining (included as "refined" by Copper Institute and as "unrefined" by the U.S.B.M.), and to other minor variations in interpretation until May, 1951. Then the Institute's inventory data began to include tonnages delivered to U.S. consumers at foreign ports. Bureau of Mines figures are on the basis of metal physically held at primary smelting and refining plants in the U.S. In the Bureau's classification cathodes to be used chiefly for casting into shapes are considered stocks in process and not refined stocks.

STOCKS OF REFINED COPPER, BLISTER, AND MATERIALS IN PROCESS
REPORTED BY UNITED STATES BUREAU OF MINES
IN SHORT TONS

END OF PERIOD	REFINED	BLISTER & MATERIALS IN PROCESS OF REFINING 1/	TOTAL
Year 1951	35,000	182,000	217,000
Year 1952	26,000	185,000	211,000
Year 1953	49,000	223,000	272,000
Year 1954	25,000	189,000	214,000
Year 1955	34,000	201,000	235,000
Year 1956	78,000	261,000	339,000
Year 1957	109,000	274,000	383,000
Year 1958	48,000	257,000	305,000
Year 1959	18,000	253,000	271,000
Year 1960	98,000	261.000	359,000

1/ Includes copper in transit from smelter in the U.S. to refineries therein.

TABLE XI

REFINED COPPER CONSUMED IN U. S. 1957-1960 BY CLASSES OF CONSUMERS

Source: U.S.B.M.

Unit: Short Tons

Class of consumer	Cathodes	Wire bars	Ingots and ingot bars	Cakes and slabs	Billets	Other	Total
Wire mills Brass mills Chemical plants Secondary smelters Foundries Miscellaneous 1/ Total	5,641 85,833 5,197 4,118 1,905	751,815 57,399 758 205 810,177	15,406 76,046 708 1,839 15,161 3,208	158,344 212 205 158,761	156,292 194 495 156,981	770 40 772 628 147 8,786	773,632 533,954 1,480 7,876 20,378 14,804
Wire mills	4,394 91,192 4,080 3,285 779 103,730	723,450 47,354 413 40 771,257	11,464 74,098 407 2,485 9,731 1,012	116,659 219 15 111 117,004	150,160 201 501 150,862	962 47 490 398 238 6,492 8,627	740,270 479,510 897 7,182 13,883 8,935
Wire mills Brass mills Chemical plants Secondary smelters Foundries Miscellaneous 1/ Total	6,432 86,648 5,320 4,877 1,298	817,030 64,277 218 4 881,529	11,790 116,190 310 2,079 11,465 4,064 145,898	146.852 246 17 6 147.121	170,074 216 295 170,585	925 59 484 466 795 10,594 13,323	836,177 584,100 794 8,111 17,588 16,261 1,463,031
1960 Wire mills Brass mills Chemical plants Secondary smelters Foundries Miscellaneous 1/ Total	3,928 74,993 5,939 4,644 1,220 90,724	810,570 64,277 92 5 859,443	13,450 80,247 465 1,913 10,224 2,328 108,627	137,667 177 26 6 137,876	144,725 275 558 145,558	875 52 571 177 900 5,093	828,823 486,460 1,036 8,206 16,161 9,210 1,349,896

Includes iron and steel plants, primary smelters producing alloys other than copper, consumers of copper powder and copper shot, and miscellaneous manufacturers.

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE XII

ARIZONA, ESTIMATED ANNUAL COPPER PRODUCTIVE CAPACITY UNITED STATES, OTHER FREE COUNTRIES, COMMUNIST COUNTRIES

- 1960 -

Based on Continuous Full Operation - 350 Days Per Year

	and a financial second spirit of the sales of the spirit of the sales	
ARIZONA:	Tons Copper	
Morenci	130,000	
New Cornelia	65,000	
Copper Queen	30,000	
Lavender Pit	35,000	
	260,000	
Ray	70,000	
Miami - Conner Cities		
Miami - Copper Cities	40,000	
Inspiration	40,000	
San Manuel	72,000	
Magma	21,000	
Silver Bell	21,000	
Pima	21,000	
Bagdad	11,000	
Duval	25,000	
Miscellaneous	19,000	
Sub-Total - Arizona	Company of the Compan	600,000
OTHER STATES:		
Utah (chief mine Utah Copper)	260,000	
Montana (chief mine - Butte)	125,000	
Newada (chief mine Flag Verinates)		
Nevada (chief mine - Ely & Yerington)	90,000	
New Mexico (chief mine - Chino)	70,000	
Michigan (chief mines - White Pine & Cal.& Hecla).	65,000	
Tennessee (chief mine - Copper Hill)	15,000	
Miscellaneous	25,000	
Sub-Total - Other States		650,000
GRAND TOTAL UNITED STATES		1,250,000
		2,2)0,000
OTHER FREE COUNTRIES:		
Canada	500,000	
Chile	720,000	
Peru	230,000	
Western Europe		
	150,000	
Asia	250,000	
	1,000,000	
Australia	100,000	
Other Countries	100,000	
Sub-Total - Free Countries Other Than U.S.		3,050,000
GRAND TOTAL - All Free Countries		4,300,000
COMMUNIST COUNTRIES		600,000
GRAND TOTAL - WORLD		4,900,000

TABLE XIII

COPPER MINING EMPLOYMENT, WAGES AND HOURS IN U. S. AND ARIZONA

Source: "Employment and Earnings", U.S. Dept. of Labor. U.S.B.M. Mineral Yearbooks. "Arizona's Current Employment Development", Arizona Employment Security Commission.

	"A" Number Of All Employees		"B" Weekly Earnings		"C" Weekly Hours		"D" Hourly Earnings	
	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.
Base Period: 1947-49 Avg.	10,700	27,100	\$ 64.20	\$ 63.11	44.83	44.10	\$ 1.432	\$ 1.431
Last Three Years: 1958 1959 1960	13,500 11,100 12,733	28,400 22,400 29,600	108.15	\$ 94.62 106.25 114.75	39.8 42.8 43.7	39.1 42.5 43.3	\$ 2.399 2.526 2.674	\$ 2.42 2.50 2.65
1958-60 Avg.	12,444	26,800	\$106.50	\$105.27	42.08	41.63	\$ 2.531	\$ 2.529

	Annual M "A" x "C	lan-Hours	Annual	"F" Earnings x "D"	Annual Earnings Per Man	
	ARIZONA U.S.		ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.
Base Period: 1947-49 Avg.	24,943,412	62,145,720	\$35,718,966	\$ 88,930,525	\$3, 338	\$ 3,282
Last Three Years: 1958 1959 1960	27,939,600 24,704,160 28,523,957	57,742,880 49,853,440 58,015,568	\$67,027,100 62,402,708 76,273,061	\$139,737,770 124,633,600 153,741,255	5,622	\$ 4,920 5,564 5,194
1958-60 Avg.	27,055,906	55,203,963	\$68,478,498	\$139,610,822	\$5,503	\$ 5,210

Continued -

TABLE XIII

COPPER MINING EMPLOYMENT, WAGES AND HOURS IN U. S. AND ARIZONA

Source: "Employment and Earnings", U.S. Dept. of Labor. U.S.B.M. Mineral Yearbooks. "Arizona's Current Employment Development", Arizona Employment Security Commission.

	"A" Number Of All Employees		"B" Weekly Earnings		"C" Weekly Hours			" rly ings
	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.
Base Period: 1947-49 Avg.	10,700	27,100	\$ 64.20	\$ 63.11	44.83	44.10	\$ 1.432	\$ 1.431
Last Three Years: 1958 1959 1960	13,500 11,100 12,733	28,400 22,400 29,600	108.15	\$ 94.62 106.25 114.75	39.8 42.8 43.7	39.1 42.5 43.3	\$ 2.399 2.526 2.674	\$ 2.42 2.50 2.65
1958-60 Avg.	12,444	26,800	\$106.50	\$105.27	42.08	41.63	\$ 2.531	\$ 2.529

	Annual M "A" x "C	lan-Hours	Annual	"F" Earnings x "D"	Annual Earnings Per Man "F" ; "A"	
	ARIZONA U.S.		ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.
Base Period: 1947-49 Avg.	24,943,412	62,145,720	\$35,718,966	\$ 88,930,525	\$3,338	\$ 3,282
Last Three Years: 1958 1959 1960	27,939,600 24,704,160 28,523,957	57,742,880 49,853,440 58,015,568	\$67,027,100 62,402,708 76,273,061	\$139,737,770 124,633,600 153,741,255		\$ 4,920 5,564 5,194
1958-60 Avg.	27,055,906	55,203,963	\$68,478,498	\$139,610,822	\$5,503	\$ 5,210

Continued -

TABLE XIII (Cont'd)

	Tons Copp		"H" Lbs. Equiv. * Cu Produced From Copper Ores		
	ARIZONA U.S.		ARIZONA	U.S.	
Base Period: 1947-49	38,082,754	82,875,491	748,056,267	1,625,975,640	
Touch Min 37					
Last Three Years: 1958 1959 1960 P	56,255,809 53,121,545 66,000,000	114,824,468 103,715,843 133,000,000	941,903,000 821,777,000 1,085,000,000	1,918,362,400 1,594,926,200 2,236,350,000	
1958-60 Avg. P	58,492,451	120,362,020	949,560,000	1,916,546,000	

P. = Preliminary.

^{*} Includes value of gold and silver recovered from copper ores converted into lbs. of copper at average copper price.

	Tons Copper Ore Produced Per Man-Hour		Lbs. Equi Produ Per Man "H"	ced	Earnings Per Man-Hour "D"		
	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.	ARIZONA	U.S.	
1947-49 Avg.	1.5268	1.3336	29.9901	26.1639	\$ 1.432	\$ 1.431	
1958-60 Avg.	2.1619	2.1803	35.0962	34.7175	\$ 2.531	\$ 2.529	
% Incr.in ll yrs. Per Year	41.60% 63.50% 3.78% 5.77%		17.03% 1.55%	32.69% 2.97%	76.74% 6.98%	76.73% 6.98%	

TABLE XIV

SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED* COPPER MINING EMPLOYMENT, WEEKLY EARNINGS, WEEKLY HOURS, HOURLY EARNINGS, IN ARIZONA AND UNITED STATES, BY YEARS, 1947 TO 1960 INCLUSIVE

Source: "Employment and Earnings" - U. S. Dept. of Labor.
"Arizona's Current Employment Developments" Arizona Employment Security Commission.

	ALL EMPLOYEES		WEEKLY	WEEKLY EARNINGS WEEKLY HOU			S HOURLY EARNINGS		
	Arizona	U.S.	Arizona	U.S.	Arizona	U.S.	Arizona	U.S.	
1947 1948 1949	10,700 10,900 10,500	25,700 27,800 27,300	\$ 59.40 65.99 66.98	\$ 59.27 65.81 63.96	45.0 45.2 44.3	44.8 45.2 42.3	\$ 1.32 1.46 1.512	\$ 1.32 1.46 1.512	
Avg.1947-1949	10,700	27,100	\$ 64.20	\$ 63.11	44.83	44.1	\$ 1.432	\$ 1.431	
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	9,500 10,100 10,700 11,400 11,900 11,800 13,300 14,000 13,500 11,100 12,733	25,800 25,900 26,500 28,600 27,400 27,200 34,400 32,500 28,400 22,400 29,600	\$ 75.80 83.01 90.31 96.03 96.60 104.90 112.07 106.22 95.40 108.15 116.83	\$ 72.05 78.37 85.73 91.60 87.33 95.70 100.95 98.23 94.62 106.25 114.75	46.5 47.7 47.06 46.73 45.31 47.0 47.1 43.8 39.8 42.8 43.69	45.0 46.1 45.6 45.8 42.6 44.1 43.7 41.1 39.1 42.5 43.3	\$ 1.63 1.74 1.92 2.055 2.132 2.232 2.377 2.425 2.399 2.526 2.674	\$ 1.601 1.70 1.88 2.00 2.05 2.17 2.31 2.39 2.42 2.50 2.65	

^{*} These estimates include all full and part-time wage and salary workers who worked or received pay during the pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month.

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE XV

UNITED STATES COPPER MINING - OUTPUT IN TONS COPPER ORE, VALUE OF COPPER, GOLD, SILVER PRODUCED

Source: U. S. Bureau of Mines

	Tons Copper	Gold	Silver	Copper I	bs.Cu Recov.	Value of	Lbs.Copper Equiv.
	Ore	Ounces &	Ounces &	Pounds &	Per Ton &	Copper,Gold	to Total Val.
	Annual Rate	Value	Value	Value	Copper Price	& Silver	Cu, Gold & Siker
1947-1949	82,875,491	479,589	7,785,382	1,511,500,640	18.2 lbs.		
		\$16,785,615	\$7.045.770	\$ 314,664,195		\$338,495,580	1,625,975,640
1950	94,585,792	583,205	8,389,913	1,691,778,098			
		\$20,412,175	\$7,592,871	\$ 358,656,570	21.2¢	\$386,616,616	1,823,876,000
1951	95,494,214	564,471	8,362,150	1,709,655,673	17.9 1bs.		
		\$19,756,485	\$7.567.746	\$ 413,736,679		\$441,060,910	1,822,566,000
1952	99,947,492	572,882	8,197,888	1,695,789,296	17.0 lbs.		
		\$20,050,870	\$7.419.089	\$ 410,381,011	24.2¢	\$437.850.970	1,809,300,000
1953	101,064,945	617,712	9,163,964	1,712,438,757	16.9 lbs.		
		\$21,619,920	\$8,293,387	\$ 493,182,374	28.8¢	\$523,095,681	1,816,305,000
1954	93,654,258	502,091	8,073,017	1,547,643,795	16.5 lbs.		
*		\$17.573.185	\$7,306,080	\$ 459,650,209		\$484.529,474	1,631,412,000
1955	112,549,665	581,421	11,527,224	1,871,640,306	16.6 lbs.		
		\$20,349,735	\$10,432,138	\$ 701.865,113	37.5¢	\$732,646,986	1,953,725,000
1956	131,775,959	579,617	11,512,013	2,049,455,804			
		\$20,286,595	\$10,418,372	\$ 856,672,524	41.8¢	\$887,377,491	2,122,912,000
1957	129,715,586	562,234	11,097,267	2,006,037,881	15.5 lbs.		
		\$19,678,190	\$10,043,027	\$ 593,787,218	29.6¢	\$623,508,435	2.106,447.000
1958	114,824,468	464,051	9,182,070	1,819,464,806	15.8 lbs.		
		\$16,241,785	\$ 8,309,773	\$ 469,421,918	25.8¢	\$493,973,476	1,914,626,000
1959	103,715,843	367,455		1,533,867,852	14.8 lbs.		
		\$12,860,925		\$ 478,566,785		\$497,616,939	1.594.926.200
1960 P	133,000,000		8,778,000	2,160,000,000			
		\$16,492,665		\$ 691,200,000	1	\$715.636.665	2,236,350,000

P. = Preliminary

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

ARIZONA

The Mineral Industry of Arizona in 1960 U.S.B.M. Area Report III-123 - 10 Pages Arizona Copper Mining - Output in Tons Copper Ore, Value of Copper, Gold and Silver Produced From TABLE XVI Copper Ores. By Years 1947-1960 Arizona Mine Production of Copper, Lead, Zinc, Gold TABLE XVII and Silver 1858-1960 Estimated Value of Metals and Non-Metallics Produced in Arizona 1858-1960 TABLE XVIII Mine Production of Gold, Silver, Copper, Lead and Zinc in Arizona in 1960 - By Class of Ore - In Terms of Recoverable Metals TABLE XIX Copper Production Record of Large Arizona Copper Mines -TABLE XX Years 1959-1960 Mineral Production of Large and Small Producers in Arizona in 1960 TABLE XXI Average Number of Covered Employees, Total Wages, Average Annual Wage and Average Weekly Wage -Base Period 1947-1949, 1958, 1959, 1960 TABLE XXII Summary of Total Covered Employment & Wages in Arizona Copper Mining - By Years 1947-1960 Inclusive TABLE XXIII

NOTE: Other Arizona Statistics also Reported in Tables XII, XIII and XIV in U. S. Section

MINERAL INDUSTRY SURVEYS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR **BUREAU OF MINES**

MARLING J. ANKENY, Director STEWART L. UDALL, Secretary Region III - R. W. Geehan, Regional Director

224 New Customhouse Denver 2, Colorado

Area Report III-123

THE MINERAL INDUSTRY OF ARIZONA, 1960

The Bureau of Mines, United States Department of the Interior, announced that the copper industry of Arizona in 1960, again dominating the mineral industries of the State, accounted for one-half of the Nation's copper output and supplied \$345.8 million or 83 percent of the State's \$415.8 million total value of all minerals produced. An \$81.6 million increase in the value of copper produced was primarily responsible for the \$88.9 million or 27-percent advance in the State's total. This significant growth was realized from the resumption of copper production in January 1960 from several of the principal producing mines, which had been closed by a labor strike during the last 5 months of 1959; a full-year's output from these and one new major mine; and increased output from a number of other important mines.

Output of all metals (mainly copper; but including byproduct gold, silver, and molybdenum from copper-ore treatment; lead; zinc; uranium (ore); and byproduct vanadium from uranium-ore treatment) furnished \$379.7 million or 91.3 percent of the total value of mineral production. Nonmetals (primarily sand and gravel, cement, stone, lime, and pumice) supplied \$35.8 million or 8.6 percent of the total, and fuels (coal and petroleum) accounted for the remaining \$0.3 million or 0.1 percent.

The 1960 employment and injuries statistics reflected the impact of the copper industry on the mineral industry of Arizona. Copper mining, milling, smelting, and refining accounted for three-fourths of the total man-hours worked (36 million) and of the total fatal (17) and nonfatal (790) injuries in the mineral industry of the State. However, the frequency rate (injuries per million man-hours) for copper mines (20.7) was lower than that of the total (22.4).

No Office of Mineral Exploration (OME) contracts were executed in Arizona in 1960. The General Services Administration (GSA) called for bids to supply nonferrous soft asbestos of domestic origin for the national stockpile. Acceptable bids were announced but no purchases had been made by the close of the year.

Prepared by William H. Kerns, F. J. Kelly, and D. H. Mullen, Commodity-Industry Analysts, under the supervision of Alfred L. Ransome, Projects Coordinator, Division of Mineral Resources, Region III, in cooperation with the Arizona Bureau of Mines, for release August 10, 1961.

TABLE 1.--Mineral production in Arizona 1/

	19	959	19	960
Mineral	Short tons (unless otherwise stated)	Value (thousands)	Short tons (unless otherwise stated)	Value (thousands)
Clays 2/thousand short tons	120	\$179	173	\$260
Coaldo	7	63	6	58
Copper (recoverable content of ores, etc.)	430,297	264,202	538,605	345,784
Gem stones	(3)	88	(3)	120
Gold (recoverable content of ores, etc.)troy ounces	124,627	4,362	143,064	5,007
Lead (recoverable content of ores, etc.)	9,999	2,300	8,495	1,988
Limethousand short tons	123	1,666	148	2,430
Manganese ore and concentrate (35 percent or more Mn)gross weight	68,183	5,727	1,626	40
Manganiferous ore and concentrate (5 to 35 percent Mn)do	10,693	234	8,677	190
Mica (scrap)	3,069	55	(4)	(4)
Molybdenum (content of concentrate)thousand pounds	3,181	4,019	4,359	5,211
Petroleum (crude)thousand 42-gallon barrels	25	(4)	5/ 73	(4)
Pumicethousand short tons		1,153	703	1,164
Sand and graveldo	13,458	11,966	14,490	14,235
Silver (recoverable content of ores, etc.) thousand troy ounces		3,528	4,775	4,322
Stonethousand short tons		3,998	4,233	5,107
Uranium ore	253,390	6,309	283,684	6,219
Zinc (recoverable content of ores, etc.)	37,325	8,585	35,811	9,239
Value of items that cannot be disclosed: Asbestos, beryllium concen-	1 2 2 1 3 3			120
trate (1960), cement, clays (bentonite), feldspar, gypsum, mercury, perlite, pyrites, tungsten concentrate, vanadium, and values indicated			1 98 27	- Hold
by footnote 4		<u>6</u> / 9,811	130 mm 530 mm 100 mm 000 000 mm 100	16,115
Total Arizona 7/	es es us es es es es es es es	<u>6</u> / 326,862		415,776

Production as measured by mine shipments, sales, or marketable production (including consumption by producers).

Excludes bentonite; included with "Value of items that cannot be disclosed."

Weight not recorded.

^{1/2/3/4/5/6/7/} Figure withheld to avoid disclosing individual company confidential data.

Preliminary figure.

Revised figure.

Total adjusted to eliminate duplicating value of raw materials used in manufacturing cement and lime.

TABLE 2 .-- Ore mined, waste and leach material removed, and total copper production at principal copper open-pit and underground mines in Arizona 1/ (Short tons)

Mine	Ore 1	nined	Waste ar material	Total copper produced from all sources 2/		
	1959	1960	1959	1960	1959	1960
Open pit:		CLIMATE THE TENTE OF THE PARTY			3 4 7 8 2 3	
Morenci	10,513,000	14,500,000	18,930,000	21,200,000	74,997	105,640
New Cornelia	9,823,000	9,066,000	15,060,000	14,700,000	70,949	66,693
Inspiration	5,378,848	5,314,770	3,993,262	4,105,835	47,012	40,400
Rayonewanowanowan	2,998,888	6,526,814	3/ 7,419,324	3/ 14,467,527	29,084	58,799
Lavender	3,170,000	4,245,000	4,773,000	11,588,900	25,551	33,248
Copper Cities	3,060,575	3/ 3,058,372	3/ 1,809,488	3/ 1,666,149	18,470	16,551
Silver Bell 3/	2,776,400	2,723,200	1,602,610	1,788,470	(4)	(4)
Esperanza 3/	5/ 3,216,383	4,366,856	6,545,400	9,648,961	(4)	(4)
Pima	1,200,606	1,327,473	3 6/ 2,618,804	3 6/ 4,027,316	(4)	(4)
Bagdad	3/ 1,770,138	3/ 1,821,402	3/ 6,440,208	3/ 5,988,379	7/ 11,975	7/ 11,931
Castle Dome dump	100 KU 000 KO 00	Unit 60 CO 600 SEC 603 ME 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	\$450 CM CAS 600 MAS GO MAS GO 603 CM 660 GO 600 GC 600 ED	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY AND COUNTY COUNT	8/ 2,451	8/ 2,654
Mission 3/	040 CAS NO SAL EEC CAS SEE CAS CES CES CES CES CES	THE CASE THAN MADE COME CASE MADE WITH CITES MADE WARE COME WAS	1,654,650	29,669,400	CO 400 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	ens ens cro mes ens eas eas ess ons on on
Underground:						
San Manuel	7,595,867	12,261,220	WER COS ESS COS ESS COS NOS ESS NES NES NES NES NES COS PES COS NES		46,170	81,724
Copper Queen	373,395	509,668	400 MD 800 000 000 MD 600 000 MD 600 000 MD 600 000 000 CD	ens cas alla eco, cuo ono con con enc enc eno eno eno eno eno con	19,556	25,575
Magma	276,387	386,636	eso cop das em ser cas ant cas em cas em cas cas cas cas		13,009	18,917
Miamissassassassassassas	9/ 998,568	(10)	100 cm 100 em 200 cm	600 che de feu en est en est en en en en en en en en	10,615	9,390
Daisy 11/	83,322	48,872	658 473 MHR 1073 COS COS 4123 COS 666 CUT COS CUT GUT GUT GUT	CA 500 KW 485 665 660 560 550 km 1019 644 CW 609 643 507 689	2,281	1,324

Source: Company-published annual reports except where otherwise specified.

^{1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8/9//} Includes copper recovered from leaching of material in place and in dumps.

Source: Mining World Catalogue and Directory Number, Apr. 25, 1961, p. 99.

Figure withheld to avoid disclosing individual company confidential data.

Wet weight.

Cubic yards.

Gross metal in concentrate shipped.

Water leaching of mine dumps only.

Cessation of underground mining July 1, 1959, and conversion to in-place leaching.

All production from in-place leaching.

Daisy-Mineral Hill in 1959 -- Daisy only 1960.

METALS

Following the 1959 labor strike, operations that resumed activity in the first quarter included the Copper Queen and Morenci Branches of the Phelps Dodge Corp., Ray Mines Division of Kennecott Copper Corp., and Magma and San Manuel properties of Magma Copper Co. The top 15 copper producers in the State furnished 530,500 short tons or 98.5 percent, and among these the leading 5 accounted for 368,500 tons or 68 percent. Three of the five leading producers were branch operations of the Phelps Dodge Corp.; one was San Manuel Copper Corp., a subsidiary of Magma Copper Co.; and one was a division of the Kennecott Copper Corp.

Numerous developments highlighted the copper industry of Arizona. The Esperanza open-pit copper mine and 12,000-ton-per-day mill had its first full year of operation in 1960. Maximum production was maintained throughout the year, and mine development was well advanced with six mining levels available for producing ore and three additional levels advancing in waste overburden to develop additional ore by the close of the year. A drilling program, begun in 1959, to evaluate a porphyry copper deposit in north-western Arizona was still in progress at yearend.

By the close of the year, the American Smelting and Refining Co. (Asarco) \$43.5 million program Mission Project open-pit copper mine, scheduled for production by 1962, was well advanced. Construction begun in March on its \$17 million 15,000-ton-per-day concentration plant, for completion in September 1961, progressed on schedule. Stripping of the 200 feet of overburden was continued throughout the year: 31.3 million tons, well over half of the total pre-operation stripping required, was removed by the end of the year. Completed facilities included service buildings, machine shop, warehouse and changehouse, and a 7-mile railroad spur.

In April Inspiration Consolidated Copper Co. integrated its mining, milling, smelting, and refining activities through the purchase and operation of the International Smelting and Refining Co. Miami copper smelter located adjacent to International's concentrating plant. This purchase of the smelter and expansion of the refinery were effected to reduce smelting and refining costs and prepare for production from the Christmas mine to be in operation by late 1961 or early 1962. Through this integration of processes the copper output of its Inspiration and Christmas mines will be shipped in the form of refined copper cathodes.

Significant progress was made on the McDonald 18-foot circular shaft at the Christmas mine, 12 miles north of Winkelman. The shaft was sunk to a depth of 1,576 feet, 204 feet short of final depth, by the close of the year. In addition, 1,431 feet of development drifts, raises, and station excavation were driven in 1960 in the mine. A circular, 12-foot diameter air shaft, with a planned depth of 1,205 feet, was completed to a depth of 462 feet. The mill flowsheet and layout was determined, equipment ordered, and construction begun. Installation of miscellaneous service building and facilities was continued. The operation was designed for a production and milling capacity of 4,000 tons of ore per day; copper output is expected to be 36 million pounds per year.

In 1959, Kennecott Copper Corp.--acting on the results of a 3-year exploration program by its subsidiary, Bear Creek Mining Co.--purchased 120 claims on a copper mineralized area northeast of Safford; patent was applied for on 53 claims in 1960. In addition, at the close of the year a contract was awarded for sinking a 795-foot vertical two-compartment shaft on the property with inside dimensions of 9 feet 2 inches by 5 feet 6 inches. Another contract will be let for drifting, crosscutting, and raising from the 3,900-foot level to be cut at a distance of 754 feet from the collar.

Phelps Dodge Corp. started exercising options to buy approximately 300 mining claims 10 miles north of Safford, 2 miles west of the property Kennecott purchased in December. In a drilling program conducted by the company on the claims in 1959, copper mineralization reportedly was found in one hole at a depth of 1,000 feet. No immediate plans were announced for further exploration and development on these claims. American Metal Climax, Inc., terminated its option on claims on Turtle Mountain north of the Phelps Dodge property at the close of 1959.

By mid-year American Metal Climax, Inc., Bear Creek Mining Co., and Asarco had located extensive holdings of mining claims in the Twin Buttes mining area 6½ miles south of Banner Mining Co., Pima Mining Co., and Asarco (Mission Project) operations south of Tucson. The first company reportedly located about 300 claims in the 10-square-mile area and drilled four test holes ranging in depth from 1,250 to 1,500 feet. The second staked claims and started drilling test holes. The third, Asarco, filed locations on 162 claims north of those located by American Metal Climax, Inc.

The first commercial application in the United States of the salt-coke segregation process to recover copper from copper-silicate ore was initiated when Transarizona Resources, Inc., completed a plant and development of an open-pit mine south of Casa Grande and began copper production in July. The plant has a rated capacity of 250 tons of ore per day with provisions for enlargement. Salt (30-50 tons per week) for the chloridizing furnace was trucked from Carlsbad, N. Mex.

The new five-compartment Palo Verde shaft was completed for Banner Mining Co. at a depth of 960 feet. Banner then began development of the mine by cutting stations and ore and waste pockets on the 700, 800, and 900 levels. By yearend development work was yielding 100 tons of ore per day which was being treated in the company mill. Intensive development work was continuing to bring the mine into full production of 1,000 tons per day in late 1961.

Banner Mining Co. and Pima Mining Co. reached an agreement that Pima would mine and mill 1,800,000 tons of Banner's Daisy mine ores from an extension of Pima's open pit. Mining of the ore over a 7-year period will begin in 1962. On this project, Pima started stripping and enlarging its open pit to uncover Banner's ore and made revisions to its mill resulting in increasing capacity from 3,000 to 3,800 tons per day.

The 500-foot Copper Queen shaft was completed for Cyprus Mines Corp. near its producing Old Dick mine. Stations were then cut at the 300- and 450-foot levels from the shaft to intersect the vein and a small tonnage of development copper-zinc ore was produced and treated in the company Old Dick mill.

In July the Bagdad Copper Corp. started construction of its \$2 million leaching and auxiliary sulfuric acid plants at its Bagdad open-pit copper operation. The leaching plant, to be completed in June 1961, was designed to recover 20 tons of copper per day from the low-grade oxide ore stockpiled and from additional low-grade material stripped during mining operations to produce ore for the company concentrator.

A new water reclamation plant was completed and placed in operation in June as a part of a \$40 million expansion program by Kennecott Copper Corp. at its Ray operation. The key to this all-important recovery of additional water from the mill tailings is a radiation detection device, believed to be one of the first such applications to the copper industry. This device—a radioactive isotope gamma ray source coupled with a detection unit—auto—matically controls the density of the solids in the thickener. The limitations of the company's water rights from the Gila River had controlled the quantity of ore that could be treated. Therefore, the availability of the additional water reclaimed was an important factor that enabled the company to increase the capacity of the Ray Mines Division from 15,000 tons of ore per day to 22,500 tons.

Tennessee Corp. acquired the Miami Copper Co. operating mines and plants in Arizona on June 10. The transaction included leaching operations at the Miami and Castle Dome properties and mining and milling at the Copper Cities property but excluded a royalty interest in the copper reserves. This interest was to be sold by Miami to institutional investors for a reported \$15 million.

The Phelps Dodge Corp. \$5 million expansion program started in 1959 on the Lavender open-pit mine of its Copper Queen Branch to double the life of the mine to 14 years continued throughout 1960. Buildings were relocated to permit enlargement of the open pit to the southeast, stripping in this area was started, a new diesel powerplant was completed, and four 35-ton capacity dump trucks were purchased.

In the iron industry, Kennecott Copper Corp. continued to produce sinter (sponge iron) from pyrite recovered as a byproduct of the treatment of copper ore at Hayden and from pyrite purchased from Magma Copper Co. The sinter and sulfuric acid, both produced from pyrite, were used in the leach-precipitation-flotation (L-P-F) process in the Ray concentrator.

Construction was started of a small plant to manufacture sponge iron from iron oxides produced from the Douglas copper smelter operations by Phelps Dodge Corp. The sponge iron will replace the detinned cans currently used in precipitating copper from solution in the company's leaching operation at Bisbee.

Southwest Iron and Steel Co. combined with the Arkota Steel Co. and started construction of a pig-iron plant near Coolidge. Raw material for the plant will come from Southwest's magnetite-bearing alluvial deposit 40 miles north of Tucson. The plant, with an anticipated daily capacity of 75 tons of pig iron, will employ a process reportedly developed by Julius D. Madaras. The material (magnetite) for the plant will be mined by open-pit methods and concentrated by the magnetic separation process.

The Colorado Fuel and Iron Corp. acquired an exclusive prospecting permit for iron and other minerals, including uranium, on 120,200 acres, 188 square miles, in the northwestern section of the Fort Apache Indian Reservation in Arizona. The permit is for 2 years with the right to extend it for an additional 2 years if desired. The company made geologic studies in the area during the past 2 years and announced that substantial reserves of iron ore had been indicated by this work.

Webb & Knapp, Inc., announced that the contract between the company and the Bonneville Power Administration for power for a steel plant at Anaconda, Mont., and plans to construct a plant at Anaconda did not mean abandonment of plans for a similar steel plant at Clarkdale. Relative to the Clarkdale plant, the company had announced plans for tripling the capacity of the projected steel mill to 350,000 tons and raising the cost of the mill from \$15 million to \$40 million. The steel would be produced from company-owned copper slag remaining from the Clarkdale copper smelter (last operated in 1950).

Shattuck Denn Mining Corp. (Iron King mine) and Nash & McFarland (Flux mine) accounted for the bulk of the output of lead. Test drilling was conducted on the main ore structure in the Iron King mine to depths below the presently developed levels and, according to the company, confirmed the continuation of the mineralized structure. Metallurgical research was aimed at the development of new products, such as soil conditioners and plant-food supplements, from the sulfur and iron contained in the mill tailings. Ore from the Flux mine and some custom ore were treated in the Nash & McFarland Trench mill.

Two operators produced and marketed manganese concentrate recovered from manganese mill tailings. In addition, Mohave Mining and Milling Co. of Wickenburg completed a contract in June for supplying manganiferous ore and concentrate to the Kaiser Steel Corp. Fontana, Calif., steel plant; Mohave liquidated all company assets by the close of the year.

Molybdenum output, all recovered as a byproduct of copper mining and milling, increased, despite the drop of one producer when Miami Copper Co. discontinued milling copper and the recovery of byproduct molybdenum at its Miami operation. Molybdenum production came from six of the State's leading copper-producing mines, Esperanza, Inspiration, Morenci, Silver Bell, San Manuel, and Bagdad.

Uranium-ore production, all from 64 operations in 5 counties, was greater than 1959. The mine value of the ore, however, decreased 1 percent because of a decline in grade from 0.30 percent (6.0 pounds) U308 per ton to 0.26 percent (5.2 pounds) in 1960. Major production continued to be from Apache, Coconino, and Navajo Counties. Practically all of the ore mined in Coconino and Gila Counties was processed at the Rare Metals Corp. of America mill at Tuba City which operated the entire year. Ores from Apache and Navajo Counties were processed at mills in Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah.

Vanadium was recovered as a byproduct of uranium ores processed at mills in southwestern Colorado (Climax Uranium Co. at Grand Junction and Vanadium Corp. of America (VCA) at Durango) and northwestern New Mexico (Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc., at Shiprock).

The Iron King mine, operated by Shattuck Denn Mining Corp., was again by far the principal zinc producer followed by the Old Dick (Cyprus Mines Corp.), Atlas (B. S. & K. Mining Co.), Johnson Camp (McFarland & Hullinger), and the Flux (Nash & McFarland). Cumulatively, these mines accounted for 99 percent of the State's zinc output.

NONMETALS

Shippers of asbestos from mines in Gila County in order of output were: Jaquays Mining Corp. (Regal and Chrysotile mines), Metate Asbestos Corp. (Metate), Kyle Asbestos Mines of Arizona (Sloan Creek), and LeTourneau Asbestos Corp. (Asbestos Peak). A new LeTourneau mill constructed about 2 miles east of Globe processed ore from the Asbestos Peak and Bore Tree Saddle properties. The GSA, pursuant to an announcement late in 1959 by the Office of Civil and Defense Mobilization, called for bids to supply nonferrous, soft asbestos of domestic origin for the national stockpile; however, no purchases were made by GSA during 1960.

Cement for the first load of concrete poured at the Glen Canyon damsite on June 17 came from the new Clarkdale plant of Phoenix Cement Co. Division, American Cement Corp. Late in 1960, Phoenix Cement Co. announced plans to increase the capacity of its Clarkdale plant annual capacity by 800,000 barrels by constructing an additional kiln, thus raising the plant output capacity to 2.6 million barrels. Arizona Portland Cement Co. was issued a building permit for the construction of five new storage silos, a new finishing mill, loading facilities for both truck and rail, and lengthening the Southern Pacific spur track to reach the new loading docks at the Rillito plant.

International Minerals & Chemical Corp. (IMC) continued to be the only producer of crude and ground feldspar. Sena Mining Co. was the mine operator, and all crude output was shipped to the Kingman mill of IMC for grinding.

The gypsum industry of Arizona continued to consist of three mining operations in Pinal County. Arizona Gypsum Corp. mined gypsum near Winkelman and sold its uncalcined output for use as a portland-cement retarder and for agricultural purposes. Garcia & Peters Gypsum Co. sold crude gypsum from its Mammoth property for agricultural uses. Union Gypsum Co., with a wallboard and lathe plant at Phoenix, was acquired by National Gypsum Co. of Buffalo, N. Y., during 1960.

Most of the lime produced was used for metallurgical purposes in the concentration of metallic ores (principally copper). A lime plant employing five shaft-type vertical kilns was completed at the Ray Division operation at Hayden to supply lime necessary as a conditioning agent in the copper-flotation process.

An increase in the demand for ground mica by roofing paper and paint manufacturers resulted in a substantial gain in the mine production of scrap mica. The Buckeye Mica Co., with a mill at Buckeye and mines near Quartzite and Buckeye, accounted for nearly all of the production.

Increased production from the Superior operation of Harborlite Corp. was responsible for the 1960 gain in perlite output. Arizona Perlite Roofs, Inc. (formerly Perlite Industries of Arizona, Inc.) produced somewhat less perlite in 1960 than in 1959. Popping plants were operated at Phoenix by Perlite Industries of Arizona and at Tucson by Tucson Perlite, Inc.

Six operations accounted for the pumice (scoria) output in the State. The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co., operating its Darling pit near Winona, was the largest producer, with all of its output being used for railroad ballast. The need for scoria in the manufacture of building blocks and for fill consumed all of the mine production of Superlite Builders Supply Co. and Paul Zanzucchi (supplying crude material to Harenberg Block Co., Inc., of Flagstaff). San Xavier Rock & Sand Co. continued to quarry scoria from its Douglas pit as did Gila Cinder Co. from its Graham County operation. Yavapai Block Co. activity centered at the Cruice cinder pit near Ashfork was added to the list of active operations in 1960.

Kennecott Copper Corp. at Hayden accounted for the bulk of the pyrite output. Magma Copper Co. shipped a small quantity to Kennecott; all the pyrite was consumed at the Kennecott sulfuric acid plant at Hayden. A contact acid plant was under construction by Bagdad Copper Co. at Bagdad. Crude sulfur will be used for the manufacture of the acid.

Commercial output accounted for 44 percent of the total sand and gravel production, and Government-and-contractor production 56 percent. Maricopa County, the center of production, had an output of nearly 6 million tons. Arizona completed to full or acceptable interstate standards 201.9 miles of road plus 312.4 miles of highway adequate for present traffic.

Two-thirds of the tonnage increase of stone production was due to the activities of the Federal Bureaus of Indian Affairs and Public Roads in the quarrying of basalt, limestone, and miscellaneous stone. A gain in the output of limestone used in the manufacture of cement and lime was largely responsible for increased output in the commercial category.

MINERAL FUELS

Coal was produced from the Cow Spring No. 3 mine in Coconino County and the Keams Canyon No. 4 mine in Navajo County.

Petroleum production, all from three fields in Apache County, was nearly three times that of 1959. Drilling during the year amounted to 39 completed wells--20 exploratory and 19 development. Of the 20 exploratory wells, 1 was listed as a discovery. The well was within the southern portion of the Paradox basin 2.5 miles northwest of the Bita Peak field. Initial production was 8 barrels of oil a day, on pump, from the McCracken (Devonian) formation at a depth of 6,758 to 6,794 feet. Two successful development wells were completed in the Dry Mesa field. Additional drilling in the Pinta Dome area was primarily for the development of shallow helium-gas reserves.

4 4 4

TABLE XVI

ARIZONA COPPER MINING - OUTPUT IN TONS COPPER ORE, VALUE OF COPPER, GOLD, SILVER PRODUCED

Source: U. S. Bureau of Mines

	Tons Copper	Gold	Silver	Copper	Lbs.Cu Recov.	Value of	Lbs.Copper Equiv.
	0re	Ounces &	Ounces &	Pounds &	Per Ton &	Copper, Gold	to Total Val.
	Annual Rate	Value	Value	Value	Copper Price	& Silver	Cu,Gold & Silver
1947-1949	38,082,754	79,612	2,603,485	723,353,767	19.0 lbs/ton		
		\$2,786,420	\$2,356,154	\$150,588,843	20.818¢	\$155,731,417	748,056,267
1950	41,757,037	79.562	2,853,375	765,334,514	18.3 1bs/ton		
		\$2,784,670	\$2,582,304	\$162,250,916	21.2¢	\$167,617,890	767,000,000
1951	42,784,388	83,521	3,087,865	775,609,514	18.1 lbs/ton		
		\$2,923,235	\$2,794,518	\$187,697,501	24.2¢	\$193,415,254	799,236,600
1952	44,472,522	83,692	2,900,851	730,809,903	16.4 lbs/ton		
2010	11.565.610	\$2,929,220	\$2,625,270	\$176.855.996	24.2¢	\$182,410,486	753,762,300
1953	45,187,838	89,724	3,164,255	738,404,453	16.3 lbs/ton		
304	10 000	\$3,140,340	\$2,863,809	\$211,922,077	28.7¢	\$217,926,226	759,324,830
1954	43,072,894	94,648	3,380,060	714,154,795	16.6 lbs/ton		
7077		\$3,312,680	\$3.058.954	\$212,103,976	29.7¢	\$218,475,610	735,608,120
1955	52,189,728	105,330	3,629,191	856,270,850	16.4 lbs/ton		
305/	10 100 100	\$3,686,550	\$3,284,418	\$321,101,569	37.5¢	\$328,072,537	874,860,100
1956	60,468,580	119,435	3,963,579	935,039,400	15.5 lbs/ton		
3050	10 100 001	\$4,180,225	\$3,587,039	\$390.846.469	41.8¢	\$398,613,733	953,621,100
1957	59,571,834	123,375	4,088,618	947,840,100	15.9 lbs/ton		
3050		\$4,318,125	\$3,700,200	\$280,560,670	29.6¢	\$288,579,000	975,720,000
1958	56,255,809	114,262	3,543,044	913,973,800	16.2 lbs/ton		
3040	-	\$3,999,170	\$3,206,455	\$235,805,240	25.8¢	\$243,010,865	941,903,000
1959	53,121,545	96,153	2,724,701	803,087,000	15.1 lbs/ton		
30/0	1// 222 222	\$3.365.355	\$2,465,854	\$250,563,144	31.2¢	\$256,394,353	821,777,000
1960	66,000,000	119,460	3,400,000	1,062,000,000	16.1 lbs/ton		
	Lane and the second	\$4.181.100	\$3,077,000	\$339.840.000	32.0¢	\$347,200,000	1.085.000.000

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE XVII

ARIZONA MINE PRODUCTION OF COPPER, LEAD, ZINC, GOLD AND SILVER

1858-1960 Incl. - In Terms of Recoverable Metals

Source: U. S. B. M.

1874-1959 1960 Only Total 1874-1960 Avg. Price

COP	PER	LE	AD	ZINC			
Short Tons	Value (thousands)	Short Tons	Value (thousands)	Short Tons	Value (thousands)		
16,656,786	\$ 6,375,865	600,346	\$ 116,462	814,889	\$ 196,560		
538,605	345,784	8,495	1,988	35,811	9,239		
17,195,391	17,195,391 \$ 6,721,649		608,841 \$ 118,450 \$ 0.09728		850,700 \$ 205,799		
\$ 0.	\$ 0.19545				\$ 0.12096		

1858-1958 1960 Only

Total 1858-1960 Avg. Price

GC	DLD	SII	LVER	·
Ounces	Value (thousands)	Ounces	Value (thousands)	TOTAL VALUE
12,450,674	\$ 323,269	354,538,657	\$ 273,243	\$ 7,285,398,000
143,064	5,007	4,774,992	4,322	366,340,000
12,593,738	328,276	359,313,649	\$ 277 , 565	\$ 7,651,738,000
\$ 26	.067	\$.7	77249	

Estimated	l value	of	other	metals	and	non-metalli	cs produc	ed in	Arizona	through 1959	\$ 333,500,000	
- 11	11	n	n	Ħ	13	11 11	11	n	18	in 1960	49.416.000	
Total Est.	11	11	11	11	11	11 11	11	11	11	through 1960	382,916,000	
GRAND TOT.	AL VALU	E 0	F ARIZO	ONA MIN	ERAL	PRODUCTION	THROUGH 1	1960		2:	\$ 8,034,654,000	

First year of reported production: Gold & Silver 1858. Copper-1874, Lead-1894. Zinc-1905.

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE XVIII

ESTIMATED VALUE OF METALS AND NON-METALLICS PRODUCED IN ARIZONA

YEARS 1858 - 1960 INCL.

Source: Arizona Bureau of Mines United States Bureau of Mines

MENTA I C.		(VALUE thousands)
METALS:	Copper	\$	6,721,649 328,276 277,565 205,799 118,450
	Sub-total - 5 principal metals	\$	7,651,738
MISC. ME	Manganese Molybdenum Uranium (July 1,1955-1960, prior years undisclosed). Tungsten (Value not disclosed after 1957). Mercury (Value not disclosed after 1958) Vanadium (to 1950 incl., val.not disclosed thereafter) Other Metals (val. undisclosed) beryllium concentrate, lithium, columbium-tantalum concentrate, iron ore and iron pyrite	\$	34,520 36,249 33,219 6,337 1,500 460
	Sub-total Misc.Metals(not including undisclosed?)	\$	112,285
	GRAND TOTAL ALL METALS(Not incl, undisclosed values).	\$	7,763,023
	ESTIMATED VALUE OF NON-METALLICS PRODUCED IN ARIZONA	\$	275,753
	GRAND TOTAL VALUE OF ARIZONA'S MINERAL PRODUCTION	\$	8,038,776
		enegative	

MINE PRODUCTION OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD AND ZINC IN ARIZONA IN THE YEAR 1960, BY CLASS OF ORE IN TERMS OF RECOVERABLE METALS

Source: U.S.B.M. Final Figures										
Source	Number of mines <u>l</u> /	Material sold or treated (short tons)	Gold (troy ounces)	Silver (troy ounces)	Copper (pounds)	Lead (pounds)	Zinc (pounds)			
Lode ore: Dry gold Dry gold-silver	21 7	4,476 121,761	883 700	9,520 33,210	32,100 2,786,200	1,500	1,400			
Dry silver	14 42	92.263 218,500	1,584	14,073 56,803	1,145,700 3,964,000	1,900 1,900 3,400	1,500			
Copper-zinc	44	66,032,439 147,541	115,602 92	3,689,622 50,555	993,370,700 8,454,400	800 31,600	300,500 17,270,500			
Lead	9	4,202 337,070	128 24,493	33,738 919,054	7,200 837,500	495,400 16,423,600	24,200 46,930,200			
Zinc	65	19,370 66,540,622	140,315	1,027 4,693,996	62,600 1,002,732,400	16,951,400	7,073,200 71,598,600			
Gold tailings	1	15,240	740	11,898	29,100	•••••				
silver tailings Copper cleanup	(2)	15,542 10,215	10 56	779 7,237	77,400 3,284,600					
Copper precipitates Lead cleanup Lead tailings	(2)	44,929 8	•••••	184	66,691,000	9,100	400			
Lead-zinc mill cleanup . Zinc cleanup	(2) (2)	70 32 86	5 217	123 392 503	400 300	13,500 5,600 6,200	400 3,300 11,000			
Uranium ore	******		1,038	3,068 24,184	430,800 70,513,600	800	6,800			
Total "lode" material Gravel(placer operations).	106 5	66,845,244	142,937 127	4,774,983	1,077,210,000	16,990,000	71,622,000			
Total, all sources	111	66,845,244	143,064	4,774,992	1,077,210,000	16,990,000	71,622,000			

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Detail will not necessarily add to totals because some mines produce more than 1 class of material. From properties not classed as mines.

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

TABLE XX

COPPER PRODUCTION RECORD OF LARGE ARIZONA COPPER MINES

YEARS 1959 AND 1960

Source: U.S.B.M. & Company Reports

	1	959	1960			
MINE	Tons Copper Ore Mined	Pounds Copper Recovered	Tons Copper Ore Mined	Pounds Copper Recovered		
PHELPS DODGE: Morenci New Cornelia Lavender Pit Copper Queen	10,513,000 9,823,000 3,170,000 373,395	149,993,293 141,898,478 51,101,342 39,111,962	14,499,800 9,065,600 4,248,400 509,700	211,281,072 133,385,819 66,496,523 51,149,219		
Sub-Total	23,879,395	382,105,075	28,323,500	462,312,633		
KENNECOTT - Ray	2,998,888	58,168,000	6,526,814	117,497,684		
MIAMI: Miami Copper Cities Castle Dome	998,659 3,060,575 -	21,229,033 36,939,297 4,902,751	3,058,372	18,930,454 33,100,562 5,306,988		
Sub-Total	4,059,234	63,071,081		57,338,004		
<u>INSPIRATION</u>	5,378,848	94,023,162	5,314,770	80,800,960		
MAGMA: San Manuel Superior	7,595,867 276,387	92,340,444 26,017,688	12,261,220 386,636	163,448,339 37,834,116		
Sub-Total	7,872,254	118,358,132	12,647,856	201,282,455		
A. S. & R. CO. Silver Bell	2,783,200	37,606,481	2,718,700	45,138,255		
PIMA MINING CO Pima	1,200,606	29,763,593	1,327,473	26,769,896		
BAGDAD COPPER CORP. Bagdad	1,770,940	23,950,907	1,823,055	23,666,978		
<u>DUVAL</u> - Esperanza	3,104,530	34,106,798	4,245,762	50,735,060		
BANNER MINING CO. Mineral Hill & Daisy	83,322	4,612,409 <u>1</u> /	55,724	2,647,456 1/		
TOTALS	53,131,217	845,765,638	66,042,026	1,068,189,381		
OTHER ARIZONA MINES	633,618	14,828,362	803,218	9,020,619		
GRAND TOTAL ALL ARIZONA MINES	53,764,835	860,594,000	66,845,244	1,077,210,000		

^{1/} Copper in concentrates

TABLE XXI

MINERAL PRODUCTION OF LARGE AND SMALL PRODUCERS IN ARIZONA IN 1960 Source: U.S.B.M. Area Report III-123

Gold (ozs.)	LARGE COPPER PRODUCERS 1/	PRODUCTION	VALUE
Clays 2/ (short tons)	Gold (ozs.)	115,602 3,689,622	\$ 342,038,950 4,046,070 3,339,108 5,211,000 \$ 354,635,128
Coal (short tons)	SMALL MINERAL PRODUCERS:		
190,000 190,	Coal (short tons)	6,000 11,668,075 3/ 27,462 16,990,000 148,000	58,000 3,745,050 120,000 960,930 1,988,000 2,430,000
footnote 4	(5 to 35 percent manganese) (short tons). Mica (scrap). Petroleum (crude) thousand 42-gal. barrels. Pumice (short tons). Sand and gravel (short tons). Silver (recoverable content of ores,etc.)(troy ounces tone (short tons). Uranium ore (short tons). Zinc (recoverable content of ores,etc.) (lbs.). Value of items that cannot be disclosed: Asbestos, beryllium concentrate (1960), cement, clays (bento feldspar, gypsum, mercury, perlite, pyrites, tungst	4/ 5/73 703,000 14,490,000 es) 1.086,370 4,233,000 283,684 71,622,000 mite),	4/ 4/ 1,164,000 14,235,000 992,892 5,107,000 6,219,000
GRAND TOTAL VALUE OF MINERAL PRODUCTION Z/ \$ 415,776,000			16,115,000
	Total Value of Small Mine Production 2/		\$ 61,140,872
PERCENTAGE DUE TO SMALL MINES	GRAND TOTAL VALUE OF MINERAL PRODUCTION Z/		\$ 415,776,000
	PERCENTAGE DUE TO SMALL MINES		14.7%

^{1/} Phelps Dodge, Kennecott, Inspiration, Miami, Magma, Asarco's Silver Bell, Pima, Bagdad and Duval's Esperanza.

^{2/} Excludes bentonite; included with "Value of items that cannot be disclosed."

Weight not recorded.

4/ Figure withheld to avoid disclosing individual company confidential data.

5/ Preliminary figure.

7/ Total adjusted to eliminate duplicating value of raw materials used in manufacturing cement and lime.

TABLE XXII

AVERAGE NUMBER OF COVERED EMPLOYEES, TOTAL WAGES, AVERAGE ANNUAL WAGE, AND AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGE

Base period 1947-1949, 1958, 1959 & 1960

Arizona Covered Industry

Compiled by Department of Mineral Resources

Source: Arizona Employment Security Commission Average Average Average Average | Average Average Annual Weekly No.Of No.Of Total Total Annual Weekly Wage Wage Employees* Employees* Wages Wages Wage Wage Year 1958 Base Period 1947 - 1949 \$101.93 Copper Mining Only 11.278 \$ 39,432,008 \$ 3,496 | \$ 67,23 14,100 74.726.972 \$ 5,300 101.06 All Mining & Quarrying 12,870 86,199,194 66.27 16,403 5.255 44,345,018 3.446 8.892.801 4.857 93.40 3.450 1.831 Smelting ** 1.500 5,175,000 66.35 100.29 All Mining, Quar., & Smelting 95,091,995 5,215 14,370 3,446 66.27 49,520,018 18, 234 101.75 Manufacturing (Excl. Smelting) 12,639 36,910,624 2.920 56.15 38.485 203.624.961 5,291 108.29 Construction 10.844 26,680 5.631 35,424,826 3,267 62.83 150,239,757 4,847 93.21 Transp.& Utilities (Excl.RR's) 87.436.788 10,530 29.948.944 2.844 54.69 18.041 Wholesale-Retail Trade 3,680 70.77 36,213 91,916,860 2,538 63.640 234,196,004 48.81 69.04 Services & Misc. (Incl. Agri.) 18.643 44.46 135,450,709 3,590 43,103,526 2,312 37.734 \$ 85.90 Totals and Averages 103,239 \$286,824,898 202.814 \$906.040.214 \$ 4.467 53.42 2.778 \$

	Year 1959			Year 1960				
Copper Mining Only	11,568	\$ 72,095,130	\$ 6,232	\$119.85	13,764	\$ 90,312,848	\$ 6,562	\$126.19
All Mining & Quarrying	13,680	83,038,890	6,070	116.74	15,837	102,175,093	6,452	124.08
Smelting **	1,525	8,439,106	5,534	106.42	1,033	5,995,780	5.804	111.62
All Mining & Quar., & Smelting	15,205	91,477,996	6,016	115.69	16,870	108,170,873	6,412	123.31
Manufacturing (Excl.Smelting)	43,400	241,713,804	5,569	107.10	46,470	265,799,784	5,720	110.00
Construction	29,260	169,187,767	5,782	111.19	32,174	200,203,313	6,223	119.67
Transp.& Utilities(Excl.RR's)	18,839	97,345,413	5,167	99.37	19,906	106,302,227	5,340	102.69
Wholesale-Retail Trade	68,990	263,771,499	3,823	73.52	74,423	291,911,971	3,922	75.42
Services & Misc.(Incl.Agri.)	42,727	162,489,695	3,803	73.13	47,190	187,753,626	3,979	76.52
Totals and Averages	218,421 9	1,025,986,174	\$ 4,697	\$ 90.33	237,033	\$1,160,141,794	\$ 4,894	\$ 94.12

^{*} This number includes all covered employees on payroll, and is not restricted to production workers only, on which the average hourly and weekly earnings are reported.

Arizona Department of Mineral Resources

^{**} Smelting employment has been segregated from Manufacturing as reported by the Employment Security Commission.

Note: Fringe benefits are not included in the total wages.

TABLE XXIII

SUMMARY OF TOTAL COVERED EMPLOYMENT & WAGES IN ARIZONA COPPER MINING 1947-1960 Inclusive

Source: Arizona Employment Security Commission

United States Bureau of Mines Average Tons Average									
COPPER MINING:	No. Covered Employees	Covered Wages	Average Annual Wage	Tons Copper Ores	Weekly Wage				
1947	11,340	\$36,365,277	\$3,207	37,810,448	\$61.67				
1948	11,493	41,318,524	3,595	39,072,204	69.13				
1949	11,001	40,612,224	3,692	37,365,611	71.00				
1950	10,181	41,994,321	4,125	41,757,273	79•33				
1951	10,754	47,825,698	4,447	42,784,388	85.52				
1952	11,365	54,950,235	4,835	44,472,522	93.14				
1953	12,068	62,742,982	5,199	45,187,838	99.98				
1954	12,502	65,518,853	5,241	43,072,894	100.79				
1955	12.399	71,293,263	5,750	52,189,728	110.58				
1956	14,008	83,568,996	5,966	60,468,580	114.73				
1957	14,652	85,125,320	5,809	59,571,834	111.71				
1958	14,100	74,726,972	5,300	56,255,809	101.93				
1959	11,568	72,095,130	6,232	53,121,545	119.85				
1960	13,764	90,312,848	6,562	66,000,000 P	126.19				

P. = Preliminary