



CONTACT INFORMATION

Mining Records Curator
Arizona Geological Survey
1520 West Adams St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-771-1601
<http://www.azgs.az.gov>
inquiries@azgs.az.gov

The following file is part of the

Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources Mining Collection

ACCESS STATEMENT

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

QUALITY STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

PRINTED: 11/21/2001

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERAL RESOURCES AZMILS DATA

PRIMARY NAME: LOOKOUT

ALTERNATE NAMES:

YAVAPAI COUNTY MILS NUMBER: 991B

LOCATION: TOWNSHIP 13 N RANGE 1 E SECTION 20 QUARTER SE
LATITUDE: N 34DEG 29MIN 14SEC LONGITUDE: W 112DEG 16MIN 30SEC
TOPO MAP NAME: POLAND JUNCTION - 7.5 MIN

CURRENT STATUS: PAST PRODUCER

COMMODITY:

SILVER
COPPER SULFIDE
GOLD
LEAD


BIBLIOGRAPHY:

USGS POLAND JUNCTION QUAD
ANDERSON, C.A. & S.C. CREASY GEOL & ORE DEPTS
JEROME AREA USGS PP 308 1958 P 171
ADM MR LOOKOUT FILE
LINDGREN, W. ORE DEPTS JEROME & BRADSHAW MTNS
QUADS USGS BULL 782 1926 P 130

ARIZONA - YAVAPAI CO.
7.5 MINUTE SERIES (TOPOGRAPHIC)

NE/4 MT. UNIO QUADRANGLE.

3553 H
(HUMBOLDT)



LOOKOUT

YAVAPAI

WR-GW 6/18/76 - A Mr. Framsted, Box 121, Humboldt, called to say he is opening the Lookout mine near the Iron King; he will build a small mill.

WR-GW 9/15/77 - Walt Statlers said that the Lookout mine had closed. They (Framsted) had opened the shaft to the first level and had driven about 100 ft. of drift. Later built a small mill, but because they had insufficient knowledge of operating the mill, hadn't been a success.

WR-GW 10/19/77 - George Schafer has an option on the Lookout mine about 1½ miles west of Iron King. He is looking for an investor to help him get the properties into operation.

WR-KP 10/28/77 - George Schafer reports to have an agreement to sell or operate the Lookout Mine, a galena property in the Humbolt Area.

WR-GW 11/10/77 - There is no activity at the Lookout property.

WR/KP 10/25/79 - Niles Framsted reported he has shipped 72 tons of lead-silver concentrate to ASARCO's lead smelter in El Paso, Texas, from his lookout Mine, Big Bug District, Yavapai County.

WR/KP 1/15/80 - It was reported that Niles Framsted, Lookout Mine, Big Bug District, Yav. Co. has received payment from the ASARCO, El Paso lead smelter for his shipment of concentrate last fall. He is now trying to arrange for more production.

KAP WR 3/18/80: Walt Statler reported that Niles Framsted is still trying to produce lead-silver ore from his Lookout Mine.

KAP Memo: Netta Wood of the Arizona Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology reported the Lookout Mine in Big Bug District, Yavapai County, was listed on the U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Production Survey as having Au, Ag, Cu, Pb production in 1979. The owner and/or operator of the mine was listed as Lookout Mining Co.

KAP WR 5/1/87: In the company of Nyal Niemuth a visit was made to Lookout Mine (file) Yavapai County, where an underground mining and small floatation mill had previously operated as the Lookout Mining Co. All that remains is the headframe, hoist shed (more appropriately a shelter) and the crude ore bin. About 100 pounds of concentrate remains on the concentrate pad. Most of the development rock mentioned in my October 4, 1979 report remains unmilled. The shaft collar appears to be in good shape with a cover bolted over the concrete collar. The property was reportedly leased to Stan West Mining.

DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES
STATE OF ARIZONA
FIELD ENGINEERS REPORT

Mine LOOKOUT MINE

Date October 4, 1979

District Big Bug

Engineer Ken A. Phillips

Subject: Yavapai



OWNER: Niles Framstedt, Box 342, Humboldt, Arizona 86329, and possibly others.

OPERATOR: Niles Framstedt, Box 342, Humboldt, Arizona 86329, and possibly others.

LOCATION: SE1/4, Sec.20, T13N, R1E, on Poland Junction 7-1/2' U.S.G.S. Topographic Quadrangle (copy attached). Approximately two (2) miles by road southwest from the Iron King Mine over a good graded dirt automobile road.

PRINCIPLE ECONOMIC
MINERAL COMMODITIES: Lead, Silver, Gold.

NUMBER OF
CLAIMS: One (1) unpatented.

REFERENCES: Lookout Mine file, Arizona Department of Mineral Resources
U.S.G.S. Bulletin 782, page 130
U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 308, page 171

MILL: Selective flotation at approximately 10 tons in 10 hours.
The mill flowsheet consists of a 15 ton crude ore bin with an apron feeder to a screen. Screen oversize is fed to a 9"X12" Kue Ken jaw crusher. Crusher discharge joins screen undersize to fine ore bin. Fine ore is fed by a vibrating feeder to a 3'X5' ball mill in closed circuit with a rake classifier. Ground ore is fed to a four cell flotation unit with three cells as roughers and one cleaner. Concentrate is discharged into 5 gallon buckets for gravity settling. Approximately 500 pounds of concentrate are produced from 10 tons of ore. Electricity is provided by a diesel power plant.

MINE: During 1978 Mr. Framstedt drove approximately 200 feet of drift to the south on the vein at the 100' (reported by some as the 125') level. Approximately 700 tons of muck was removed and was being milled at the time of the visit. A previous sketched map by Mr. B. W. Brown has been modified to show the additional working. The best ore was reported in a shoot about 27' south of the shaft. Water was standing in the mine at about 100 feet. The main shaft is 4'X6' divided into two compartments, one for hoisting a bucket, the other for a manway and pipes. The head frame is constructed from steel pipe. Hoisting is done with a 10", single drum gear driven hoist powered by a four cylinder gasoline engine.

WATER: Water for mining and milling is pumped from the mine shaft. More than enough water for present needs is made by the shaft.

PRODUCTION: The entire quantity of rock removed from the 200' of drifting is presently being milled. Since the vein is estimated to be about 14-inches wide, the mill feed is considerably diluted by waste. At the time of the visit, about 6 tons of concentrate were stockpiled. Plans are to accumulate 10 tons before shipping to ASARCO's lead smelter at El Paso, Texas. Mr. Framstedt reported that the concentrate runs over \$900 per ton, in the combined value of lead, silver and gold. Others claim the concentrate only runs about \$360.

ORE: The ore consists of galena, argentite, pyrite, and possibly marmatite in quartz in schist.

FUTURE

PLANS: Mr. Framstedt plans to complete milling of the 700 ton stockpile and then either resume mining or mill material from the dump of the shaft 220 feet to the north.

CUSTOM


MILLING: Mr. Framstedt has used his mill to custom treat ore from other properties for their owners.

KAP:mw

SKETCH LOOKOUT CLAIM

Shafts and Main Workings
After Information by G.T. Humphries Aug 10, 1943

Scale 1" = 50'



REMOVED FROM THE
OFF. RECORD

Approved As In Map Filed
10-2-43

DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES
STATE OF ARIZONA
FIELD ENGINEERS REPORT

Mine LOOKOUT

Date August 27, 1943

District McCabe Mining Dist of Yavapai County Engineer B. W. Brown

Subject: Examination of Lookout Mine for G.T. Humphries (address; Tucson, Arizona) and Darwin Alonso (address; Humboldt, Arizona) lessees.

The Lookout mining claim, owned by the Sherman Hazeltine interests of Prescott, Arizona, is situated in the McCabe mining district of Yavapai county between the Arizona National patented ground and the old McCabe mine. The principle Lookout workings are believed to lie on the Arizona National or some closely parallel vein. The mine can be reached from the Iron King Custom Mill at Humboldt by about 1½ miles of good graded road.

The mine is opened by two shafts near the Arizona National south end line. It is for the most part inaccessible due to water which now stands at the 130 level. There is a small, makeshift, but serviceable headframe at the main shaft and the main shaft collaring and timbering is in very good condition.

Very little factual information is known of past operations. There was at one time a small mill on the property during its operations under the Larrimore interests, and it is reported that some shipments were made from the mine of which there are no records extant. It has been said that operations were finally suspended because of increasing zinc values which at that time were cause for severe penalties. The property was within recent times reopened for sampling by Douglas C. Corner of Crown King, from whose reports Mr. Humphries cited the following information on samples taken at that time:

	Au	Ag	Pb	Zn
	(average width of sample cut from 4' to 7')			
#1	.04oz	2.26oz	2.62%	2.08%
#2	.10oz	1.20oz		3.54%
#3	.02oz	.25oz		1.60%
200 ft shaft	.28oz	2.42oz	3.15%	4.20%

The mineralization on the Lookout strike occurs in a country rock of Amphibolite and blocky Diorite. The vein strikes N40E and dips westerly with an inclination of about 80 degrees. Lindgren at one time studied the mineralization of the Lookout mine and reported similar ore to that found in the Arizona National. There are about eight tons of massive marmatite now on the dump reported to run as follows:

Au	Ag	Pb	Zn
.04oz	3.2oz	4.0%	8.5%

Reports from individuals familiar with the property would indicate that the mine does not normally make much water.

B. W. Brown
B. W. BROWN - FIELD

DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES
STATE OF ARIZONA
FIELD ENGINEERS REPORT

Mine LOOKOUT, Pb., Zn. Date August 30, 1943
District McCabe or Big Bug Engineer Earl F. Hastings
Subject: Reconstruction Finance Corporation
Mine Loan

Docket No. Phx. C-238
Date Application Received August 24, 1943
Date of Field Examination August 27, 1943
Date of Report August 28, 1943

1. Name of address of applicant (correspondent):
G. T. Humphries, 1321 East 10th Street, Tucson, Arizona.
2. Character of project and estimated cost thereof:
Pb., zn. Dewater and rehabilitate 220 feet of shaft and 400 feet of drifting therefrom.
\$2,500.00.
3. Location of property: *3 MILES S.E. OF HUMBOLDT*
Big Bug Mining District, adjoining the Arizona National, Yavapai County, Arizona.
4. Applicant's interest in or ownership of property:
Applicant is one of two partners holding lease and option at 10 percent royalty.
Lease and option subsequently assigned to applicant.
5. Loan requested:
\$2,500.00
6. Loan recommended:
None
7. Comments:
 - (A) Added to the docket is report and sketches of B. W. Brown, Field Engineer, Department of Mineral Resources.
 - (B) There is little evidence of ore in the now inaccessible workings. Eight tons of moderate grade ore on the dump are the only evidence of ore in 400 feet of drifting on the lowest level. The affidavit of Theo. Schultz submitted in evidence is a recital of verbal communications rather than of actual witness. Some credance must be attached to this affidavit, however, as the statement pertaining to ore exposures in the south 400 level at the end line of the Arizona National, which the affiant did observe, would have a favorable bearing on the possibilities of ore on the Lookout premises.

The only ore claimed on the Lookout, and that without proof, is in the norther section of the workings. This shoot would then be divided between the Lookout and Arizona National properties. While there are other prospects for ore shoots toward the McCabe workings they are, as yet, unexplored.

 - (C) It does not appear from docketed material that there is evidence of sufficient ore within the boundaries of the claim to justify this loan and the subsequent investment which would be required for appreciable production.

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES

Earl F. Hastings, Projects Engineer

COPY

Reconstruction Finance Corporation
Mining Department
Atten: D. M. Rait

G. T. Humphries
1321 E. Tenth Street
Tucson, Arizona

Re: G.T. Humphries
Docket No. MD-8490

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LANDS
TUCSON, ARIZONA
DEC 8 1943

Gentlemen:

In answer to your letter dated September 30th, I am enclosing further statements from a few representative people of Humboldt, Arizona, pertaining to the Lookout mining claim. I have known most of these men by their past and present records which will stand a rigid investigation.

I wish to state that the Lookout mine is, in the opinion of everyone in the entire, district, the best located piece of property available at this time for the following reasons:

The Arizona National property, which is locally known as the Anderson mine, had a wonderful production record up to a number of years ago at which time they were forced to close down because of the enormous zinc content occurring with the lead and silver values which I understand made it rather difficult to treat at that time. The property is now caved to such extent that the reopening of it would, in all probability, be too expensive. It is also reported that the Arizona National had taken considerable lead-zinc ore from the Lookout mine at the 200 foot level which later on incurred a law suit between the two operations. The then superintendent of Arizona National property made a statement to the writer that the Anderson vein ran into the Lookout property and it is from five to seven feet in width with a very high grade zinc content.

The Iron King property is now owned by the Shaddock-Denn corporation which has 21 patented claims, as you perhaps well know, and was closed for ten or twelve years, or possibly longer, and was sold for taxes, the total price paid was \$300. Since the Shaddock-Denn purchased this property they erected a five hundred ton mill and further enlarged their mill capacity to 800 tons.

This information was given me by the general superintendent whose name is a Mr. Mills. His address is Humboldt, Arizona, c/o the Iron King Mine.

The Iron King property surrounds the south end of the Lookout claim, as shown in my application in your files. Please refer to map. The Iron King mill is treating 500 tons of ore daily and public statements which I have read in print, state that the first six months of this year the Iron King mine was the largest producer of lead-zinc concentrates in the state of Arizona. They are treating what commercial ores they can get and are encouraging the small operators as much as possible. The Iron King mill is located 7/10th mile from the No. 2 Lookout shaft with a good road direct from the No. Lookout shaft to the mill ore bin. Their haul charge does not exceed 40¢ per ton.

The McCabe property adjoins the Lookout on the north and I understand that this property is now owned by Reid Dillon and Company, New York City but I am not positive as to the ownership as this is only hearsay. The records show that the McCabe has produced many millions and for what reason it is closed down I am unable to say other than I do know that the mine is filled with water.

Taken all in all, it is not only my opinion but the opinion of everyone who is acquainted with the Lookout that it is on a true fissure vein and is definitely strategically located.

In my application I did not state that I had an option for a lease on the Gem property and the Matty and the Idaho predicated as to whether or not the loan for the Lookout is granted, but such is the case.

Mr. Rait, I am honestly convinced that your governing board should reasonably and conservatively consent to make this small loan, as I have asked for only the amount necessary to do the work that is set forth in my application and this property certainly warrants the proving of the possibility that it offers; as during my past two years of idleness I have not found a property that offers the possibilities that the Lookout does and I believe that I am capable of judging a possibility in mining when it is so definitely defined as the Lookout, as I have spent 38 years of my life in the mining industry and, as stated in my former letter, should you desire proof of this I believe I can give you sufficient evidence to warrant the statements made herein.

In closing, Mr. Rait, permit me to say that in all my life in the mining business I have tried diligently to adhere to facts as near as was humanly possible to do in the mining business. I am not gifted as a writer of fiction and can only state the facts in my own humble way. I trust the honorable board of governors and yourself will reconsider your former decision and grant this loan on the basis as stated in my application and also in this letter, together with the enclosures; and I trust that I may receive a favorable reply.

I am,

Sincerely,

/s/ G. T. HUMPHRIES.

December 9, 1943

Mr. Bahngrell W. Brown, Field Engineer
Arizona Department of Mineral Resources
Box 1431
Prescott, Arizona

Dear Mr. Brown:

I have your recent note relative to the circumstances regarding the mine loan to G. T. Humphries of Tucson for the Look Out mine at Mayer.

I have thoroughly checked up on this in order to give you the whole story for, when Department cooperation is given in securing a loan, we hate to be condemned when favorable results are finally obtained.

This loan was applied for originally with insufficient information attached to the loan application on which decision could intelligently be made. The result was the same as in all other cases of this nature - the Washington office of RFC notified Mr. Humphries with the usual letter that it was turned down.

Not being satisfied with the reasons given by Don Rait for rejecting the loan, Mr. Humphries wrote to Senator Hayden and Senator Hayden (as all of the other Arizona Congressmen do with cases relating to mining which come to their attention) referred it to Mr. Broadgate to work with him on it. Thus, even after it was rejected, the Department was brought back into the picture through Mr. Broadgate. It so happened that Mr. Broadgate also had some personal knowledge of the property on which the application was made.

In answering the letter to Mr. Humphries, Senator Hayden wrote that perhaps he had not presented his data properly or sufficiently and, should he have additional material, a reopening of the case could be asked for and that it might be possible to get reconsideration based upon the additional data.

Therefore, Mr. Humphries wrote and asked for a reopening, stating that he could furnish additional data. On September 30, 1943, Don Rait of the RFC also wrote him that he would be glad to reconsider the application as soon as new data was presented to his office. The reason for Rait's letter was that Senator Hayden transmitted the correspondence to Rait.

Mr. Humphries then answered Rait's letter of the 30th in which he gave some additional information in addition to "further statements from a

Mr. Bahngrell W. Brown

- 2 -

December 9, 1943

few representative people of Humboldt, Arizona, pertaining to the Look Out mining claim".

On the basis of the additional information and attached statements, which were made possible to be received through Broadgate, the decision of RFC was reversed and the loan granted for, I believe, \$2,500.

If the data contained in the additional information had been furnished at the time the application was originally made the loan undoubtedly would have gone through without so much correspondence. This is one of the principal problems on these loans - that insufficient and incomplete data is furnished on the original application to justify a favorable decision being made.

There is no reason whatsoever why Mr. Humphries should feel that the Department did not exert all possible effort. Of course Senator Hayden is entitled to a lot of credit for his part in securing reconsideration even though it was done through Mr. Broadgate. It is Broadgate's practice, when matters are referred to him through a Congressman's office, to clear it back through the originating source so that the Congressman gets credit for having done it.

I thought you should have the whole story as this matter might come up and require clarification.

With kindest personal regards, I am

Yours very truly,

CHARLES F. WILLIS, Chairman
Board of Governors

CFW:MH

The case shapes up as follows:

1. The RFC turned down the loan with the usual letter.

2. Humphries wrote Hayden saying that he was not satisfied with the reasons given for the turndown. Hayden consulted me and I stated (not knowing this was a C loan at the time, or that the Department had any hand in it) that I looked at the mine in the late 20's and did not think much of it. That it appeared from the correspondence that Humphries was trying to peddle the idea by mentioning surrounding properties. That the strike was not the same as the Iron King; that it was silly to mention the McCabe as the strike was not in the same zone, nor, probably was the Kit Carson. But that I knew the Arizona National and that although the Lookout had not much of a showing, the National ore might run thru the claims, but I had always believed that a proper operation would be to acquire the whole group from the Lookout to the Cabinet Extension and work out an overall development program on a rational basis from somewhere near the center claims, and that the Lookout was not the point of attack in my opinion. However, I said that it would do no harm to write Humphries and make the usual statement that perhaps he had not presented his ~~xx~~ data properly and should he have additional material a reopening could be asked for.... this is the usual formula and it sometimes works as we have had many cases where insufficient data was supplied and more was forthcoming for the asking. I also stated to Hayden that the affidavits of the neighbors were not of great value as the folks around there would swear to almost anything. I know that when I looked at the property I had some glowing reports about the upper works and found a 3" streak of high-grade lead-silver which could not even be chlorided.

3. Anyway, Hayden wrote Humphries as I suggested. If we could get the money for Arizona I did not see what difference it made what my opinion was.

4. Humphries wrote and asked for a reopening if possible, stating that he could furnish additional information.

5. On Sept. 30th Rait replied saying in part,

"Your application was carefully studied by the field engineers, but a favorable report was not made. It was the consensus of opinion that the supporting data and exhibits submitted with the application did not offer sufficient ~~evidence~~ factual evidence of the existence of lead-zinc ore of a minable grade within the boundaries of the Lookout Claim. However, since you state in your letter that you may be able to secure additional information pertaining to the grade and character of the ore said to be exposed in the mine workings, ~~and~~ we shall be pleased to reconsider your application in the light of this new data at such time as it is reviewed by this office."

6. To backtrack a little... this item really should be inserted between 2 and 3 as 2(a)... On Sept 24th when Hayden wrote Humphries, he at the same time wrote a note to the RFC, and enclosed a copy to Humphries. This was the usual blah about a constituent saying that Hayden believed Humphries to be reliable or some such remark. The letter to Humphries was very discouraging, and Hayden told him (believing from what I said that there was not much chance of a reversal) that there was little chance of doing anything, that lead-zinc was no longer in much demand, but that it would be all right to ask RFC for a reconsideration.

7. Humphries then proceeded to answer Rait's letter of the 30th. A copy of this letter, undated, is herewith, which I snatched from Hayden's files. The "further statements" were not in the file, so I don't know what they were.

8. On receipt of copies of the Humphries letter, Hayden wrote RFC as follows;

"I shall be ~~great~~ much obliged if you will give careful attention to the case presented by Mr. Humphries and will let me have the benefit of your advice as to what determination may be reached by the RFC on the basis of the supplemental data supplied by Mr. Humphries."

This is the same routine, non-committal transmission of material used by all Congressmen who are looking after their constituents and States without sticking their necks out. It seldom brings anything but a polite reply, reindorsing the previous stand. This was on October 20th.

On October 27th, RFC wrote Hayden;

"In view of the additional pertinent information which Mr. Humphries submitted in accordance with the suggestion contained in Mr. Rait's letter of September 30, 1943, the engineers of the Mining Section recommended that reconsideration be given to his application. I am pleased to advise that the Directors approved a loan in the amount of \$2,500 to Mr. Humphries on Oct. 22, 1943."

There was no conversation by Hayden with the RFC at all, or any other request and no "pressure" of any kind was used.

Humphries replied to Hayden in the usual fashion of one who gets something and vowed his undying gratitude, etc. Naturally if Humphries wants to think his Senator waved a wand and got money out of thin air for him, it is OK with any political to have the stage set that way. After all, Hayden is up for election.

I have seen plenty of the same kind of correspondence from other Congressmen's offices which brought no results at all. I suppose that one factor was the small amount required, another may have been the data submitted which must have been hot.


If there is any other information I can give you I will be glad to do so, but I am not going to question the RFC about a successful application which Hayden handled as he did ~~on~~ my recommendation and for Hayden's sake I think nothing should be said about it one way or the other. It is one of those things best dropped. If anyone else is influenced to write Hayden... and many write their Representatives and Senators any way, even if we are directly handling the case, it doesn't matter as they all drop in my lap sooner or later anyway. It is all money for Arizona and anything we can do to boost Hayden's stock will be a help for us. They are always willing to boost ours.

None of the above material should be used except for your own information and it would be a mistake to refute anything Humphries might say as it would expose the Department's attitude to the case and would take the glitter from Hayden's lucky break.

Bill Broadgate

CONFIDENTIAL

Washington, D.C.
Dec. 6, 1943



SUBJECT: Lookout Mine Loan, G. T. Humphries
Memos of Coupal, Willis, etc., Dec. 2 and 3

I cannot conceal the fact that I had a quite amused feeling when I read these memos about political influence being brought to bear in the Humphries case. It is remarkable what a difference it makes whose ox is gored. When you consider the amount of times I have used "political influence" to club the Departments into doing this, that or the other thing, including getting tough cases rehandled at times, I scarcely could squawk if someone else pulled off a fast one, and indeed would be in no position to go to the RFC and complain because someone obtained a dubious loan.

At the same time, I can see that, if Humphries knows the Department turned down his property and then got a loan, it would be embarrassing to you. But how would he know? As it turned out, nothing you could call political influence was used in this case and the same result would have been obtained either if I had handled it, or perhaps if Humphries had handled it direct with RFC.

I went over the file in Hayden's office (without telling why) today, to see if anything more than the routine referrals had been resorted to since I last saw the case. The deal is this.... The loan was turned down, as you know, on the basis of your report, no doubt. That would not appear in the file, as none of us here knew anything about it.

CONFIDENTIAL

December 3, 1943

MEMORANDUM

TO: W. C. BROADGATE

FROM: GEORGE A. BALLAM


SUBJECT: LOOKOUT MINE LOAN

We are enclosing copies of our file on the Lookout Mine in Yavapai County. We understand that this loan has been granted. We further understand that approval was not based on the findings of the local RFC office. It would appear that intervention has occurred. CFW suggests you investigate.

GEORGE A. BALLAM

GAB:JES


November 29, 1943



MEMORANDUM

To: J. S. Coupal
From: B. W. Brown-FIELD
Subject: G. T. Humphries, LOOKOUT MINE

It is reported out of Mayer that G. T. Humphries is claiming that the department and B.W.Brown in particular has done him wrong on his application for a mine loan on the LOOKOUT. He also claims that he obtained the loan through the intercession of one Carl Hayden, senator. What is the basis for his gripe? Is there a basis? I note that he got the loan in a time when the RFC would normally be clamping down on zinc. I would like to have the facts on this case as I do not believe Mr. Humphries attitude is justified.


B. W. Brown-Field

August 27, 1943

Memorandum

To: J. S. Coupal

From: B. W. Brown- FIELD

Subject: Maps, Sketch

Please send me one print each of the tracings attached to the
LOOKOUT MINE Report

B. W. Brown
B. W. Brown- FIELD

Maps

2 copies for RFC report

1 for Brown

orig. for file. ✓

Report

2 copies for RFC. ✓

balance + orig for file.

August 26, 1943

MEMORANDUM

LOOKOUT MINE
Big Bug Dist.

TO: B. W. Brown

FROM: Earl F. Hastings

G. T. Humphries of Tucson, Arizona has made application for an R.F.C. loan on the above property

He states that on August 11 you visited the mine with him (and some other parties).

We do not find a report on the property and would appreciate one that we may return the application together with our recommendations promptly to the R.F.C.

EFH:LF


SKETCH LOOKOUT CLAIM

Shafts and Main Workings

After Information by G.T. Humphries Aug 10, 1943

Scales 1" = 50'

B.W. 12.43



SKETCH


Showing Location of { Gem — Owner: C.I. Peake of Mayer
and { Lookout — Owner: Sherman Hazeltine, Prescott
} Leases

Leased by: G. T. Humphries } Associates
Darwin Alonso }

After Information by G.T. Humphries Aug 10, 1943

G.W.B. 43

Scale 1" = 1000'



ARTM MINERAL RESOURCES

State of Arizona

Mineral Building, Fairgrounds

PHOENIX, ARIZONA



- Unclear
- Unknown
- Insufficient address
- Moved, Let us address
- No such office in state
- Do not re-mail

Handwritten: W. J. ...

Mr. G.T. Humphries

~~1324 E. 10th St.~~

Tucson, Arizona

DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES

State of Arizona

Mineral Building, Fairgrounds

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Handwritten: W. J. ...



Mr. Darwin Alonso

~~Humboldt~~

Arizona

Handwritten: Return to ...

Vertical stamp: RECEIVED ...

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES
MINERAL BUILDING, FAIRGROUNDS
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

To the Owner or Operator of the Arizona Mining Property named below:

Lookout	Gold, Silver, Lead, Zinc
(Property)	(ore)

We have an old listing of the above property which we would like to have brought up to date.

Please fill out the enclosed Mine Owner's Report form with as complete detail as possible and attach copies of reports, maps, assay returns, shipment returns or other data which you have not sent us before and which might interest a prospective buyer in looking at the property.

Frank P. Knight

FRANK P. KNIGHT,
Director.

Enc: Mine Owner's Report

NAME OF MINE:

LOOKOUT

COUNTY: YAVAPAI C

DISTRICT: MCCABE

METALS:

OPERATOR AND ADDRESS:

MINE STATUS

DATE:

DATE:

OWNER: Sherman Hazeltine
Prescott

LESSEE: G.T. Humphry

2/44

RFC Loan granted
Closed