CONTACT INFORMATION
Mining Records Curator
Arizona Geological Survey
1520 West Adams St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-771-1601
http://www.azgs.az.gov
inquiries@azgs.az.gov

The following file is part of the

Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources Mining Collection

ACCESS STATEMENT

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

QUALITY STATEMENT

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

PRINTED: 08/29/2001

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERAL RESOURCES AZMILS DATA

PRIMARY NAME: HAZELWOOD GROUP

ALTERNATE NAMES:

COCHISE COUNTY MILS NUMBER: 610

LOCATION: TOWNSHIP 13 S RANGE 25 E SECTION 13 QUARTER SE
LATITUDE: N 32DEG 17MIN 44SEC LONGITUDE: W 109DEG 44MIN 30SEC
TOPO MAP NAME: RAILROAD PASS - 7.5 MIN

CURRENT STATUS: EXP PROSPECT

COMMODITY:
GOLD LODE

BIBLIOGRAPHY:
COOPER, J.R., 1960, USGS MAP MF-231
COCHISE COUNTY CLAIM RECORDS
ADMMR HAZELWOOD GROUP FILE


4591
Corral
4440
4400
4480
4516
12
PIPELINE
7
8
BM 4550
4600
B
4048 IV
(WILLCOX 1:62 500)
4800
Decca 5
4526
Canyon
Smith
4632
5228
Well 4864
13
18
17
4776
5200
Zeits
Well
5091
4837
Spring
Flying W
Ranch
4752
5400
5708
24
19
20
5000
5674
D
O
S
5862
4502
25
30
29
Smith Canyon
C
A
B
E
N
3577
3576
3575
3574
17'30"
3573
3572
3571


HAZELWOOD No. 9
2846
HAZELWOOD No. 8
2846
HAZELWOOD No. 7
2846
HAZELWOOD No. 6
2846
HAZELWOOD No. 10
2846
Sec. 18
Sec. 19
HAZLEWOOD No
NUMBER FIVE
2846 PIT.
HAZLEWOOD No. 3
2846 PIT.
HAZLEWOOD No. 2
2846 PIT.
HAZLEWOOD No. 1
2846 PIT.
HAZLEWOOD No. 4
2846 PIT.

SERIAL NO. 019541

Phoenix
(Land Office.)

CONFIDENTIAL

Phoenix M.A.019541
Yaqui River Con.
G. & S. Co.
Adverse report by
M. MacFarren.
FD 2081

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.

GENERAL LAND OFFICE.

Los Angeles, Cal.

April 12, 1913.

The Commissioner,
General Land Office,
Washington, D. C.

Through Mr. G. W. Helm,
Chief of Field Division,
Los Angeles, Cal.

S i r :-

On October 15, 1912, the Yaqui River Consolidated Gold and Copper Company, by its attorney in fact R. B. Hazelwood, Willcox, Arizona, made Mineral Application No. 019541 at Phoenix, Arizona. On December 10, 1912, protest was filed by the Chief of Sixth Field Division. Fin al entry was made December 30, 1912, -- F. C. not issuing. Field examination was made by me on March 31, 1913. The application embraces ten lode mining claims known as Hazelwood Nos. 1 to 10, inclusive. They were surveyed on August 15-25, 1910, by John A. Rockfellow, under No. 2846.

APR 28 1913 L. O.

LOCATION AND SURFACE CONDITIONS:

These claims are located in the Tevis Mining District, are seven miles southeast of Willcox, Arizona, and are in Sections 13 and 18 of T. 13 S., R. 25 and 26 East, G. & S. R. M. They are very mountainous, but partly covered with a shallow mantle of soil to present a rounded appearance. They contain no timber or surface water, except two small seeps, and control no water. They have a very small amount of grass and a most slight value for grazing. They cannot be cultivated.

Cochise Co.

FORMATION AND MINERALIZATION:

The main formations are a schist very high silicified and indurated, and an igneous rock best described as a granitoid. These have been intruded by numerous fine-grained, hard, quartzose,

CONFIDENTIAL
NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION
per Dept of Interior letter 3/27/1967

white-colored dykes of rhyolite of moderate width. Then came the formation of quartz veins with subsequent intrusions of narrow diorite or greenstone dykes and much faulting. This formation has a wide extent in the locality.

HAZELWOOD NO. 1.

Located July 16, 1908. Discovery Shaft No. 1 is 5' x 7' x 25' deep in rhyolite. Value $240. It has a 2 ft. vein of quartz that shows considerable iron, which extends for a thousand feet east and west through the claim with a thickness of 3 to 4 ft. and is highly mineralized with iron. No assays were taken, but it is considered to be a good discovery for this claim.

Forty feet southwest of Shaft No. 1 is an unreported shaft 4' x 6' x 10' deep in rock, exposing a 3 ft. quartz vein well mineralized with iron. Value of shaft, $80.00.

Work to. 2 is a shaft 5' x 7' x 9' deep in schist. Value $70.00. Shows no mineral.

Total work upon claim, $390.00; apportion from Hazelwood No. 3, $463.50. Total, $853.50.

HAZELWOOD NO. 2.

Located July 16, 1908. Discovery Cut No. 1 is 4' wide, 12' long, and 9' high at face, in rock. Value $60.00. No mineral.

Work No. 2 is shaft 5' x 5' x 10' deep with cut 5' x 8' x 5' at mouth. Total value $140.00. Exposed quartz ledge 1 to 2 ft. wide showing much iron, many honeycombs, and some galena -- a discovery.

Work No. 3 is incline shaft 5' x 5' x 40' deep in rhyolite. Value $400. Same vein as in Work No. 2 is exposed in shaft.

Work No. 4 is shaft 5' x 6' x 19' deep in rock, with cut 4' x 5' x 18' long. Total value $300.

CONFIDENTIAL
NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Work No. 5 is shaft 5' x 6' x 15' deep in rock. Value $150.00.

Work No. 6 is shaft 4' x 6' x 6' deep in rhyolite. Shows 6 in. quartz vein well mineralized with iron. Value $40.00.

Work No. 7 is shaft 4' x 6' x 7' deep in rock with cut 2' x 4' x 15' long. Total value $100.

Total of work upon this claim, $1190.00; apportion on Hazelwood No. 3, $463.00. Total $1653.00 for the claim.

HAZELWOOD NO. 3.

Located July 16, 1908. Discovery Cut No. 1 is 4' wide, 8' high at face, 25' long. Has tunnel 4' x 6' x 80' to face drift to east 45' long. Value of tunnel and drift $1200.00, of cut $60.00; total $1260.00. Is on quartz vein from 2 to 4 ft. wide. Is well mineralized with iron and shows silver in the form of the green silver bromide. Course of vein is northwest. At end of tunnel it is faulted 45 ft. to the east. This is a discovery.

Work No. 2 is a main shaft 4' x 6½' and well timbered. Is filled with water to 30 ft. from top. Surveyor reports 202.5 ft. deep with a 25' and a 10' NE drift, a 59' NW drift, and a 5' SE drift. He estimates value of shaft at $18 per foot, total $3645; and of drift at $10, total, $990; grand total $4635.00. This is a proper valuation and the dump indicates that the extent of the work is as reported. A 1/10 of this or $463.50 has been credited to each of these ten claims. The collar of the shaft is on a level with the highest part of Hazelwood Nos. 1 and 10, which are the two lowest claims, therefore drifts from the shaft can pierce into any of the claims. I am recommending that this main shaft be allowed as a common improvement on the Hazelwood Nos. 1 to 5, inclusive; but am recommending that it not be allowed on the Hazelwood Nos. 6 to 10, inclusive, for reasons given under those claims. The hoist and engine, hoist house, and blacksmith shop have been removed.

Work No. 3 is tunnel 4' x 6' x 50' long, with cut of 5' at mouth. Value $500.00.

NFIDENTIAL
OR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Work No. 4 is shaft 5' x 7' x 9' deep in rhyolite. Value $80.00. Is on faulted part of quartz vein showing in Work No. 1. Shows the green silver bromide.

Total of work applicable for this claim, $2303.50.

It is claimed that copper was found in main shaft, but no indications were observed by me on the dump.

HAZELWOOD NO. 4.

Located July 16, 1908. Discovery Work No. 1 is shaft in granite 5' x 7' x 9' deep. Value, $80.00. Shows very small quartz seam.

Work No. 2 is incline shaft 4' x 7' x 10' deep in schist. Value $80. Exposes quartz vein 2' to 3' thick. Is highly mineralized with iron, some in form of hematite; has honeycombs; and shows some lead in form of galena. A discovery.

Total work upon claim, $160.00. Apportion on Hazelwood No. 3, $463.50. Total, $623.50.

HAZELWOOD NO. 5.

Located July 16, 1908. Discovery work No. 1 is shaft in granite. 5' x 6½' x 8' deep. Value, $75.00. Has quartz vein one foot wide. A sample taken by me assayed nothing in gold and silver.

Work No. 2 is cut 5' wide, 20' long, 7' high at face. Value, $70.00. No mineral.

Total work upon claim, $145.00. Apportion of work on Hazelwood No. 3, $463.50. Total, $608.50.

The faulted quartz vein showing silver bromide on Hazelwood No. 3 can be directly traced to fifteen ft. within this claim, where further traces are lost beneath soil. The vein becomes much less prominent, but yet must be considered as a discovery within this claim. There is no other discovery and no other quartz except the barren vein in Work No. 1.

CONFIDENTIAL
NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

HAZELWOOD NO. 6.

Located December 1, 1908. Discovery Shaft No. 1 is in rhyolite 4' x 6' x 10' deep. Value, $80.00. Shows no mineral. There is no other work upon this claim. There is no discovery upon this claim. On a ridge in this claim are some signs indicating a slight possibility of making a discovery.

One-tenth of the main shaft on Hazelwood No. 5 has been applied as a common improvement upon this claim to the amount of $463.50. The collar of this shaft is below the surface of most of the claim and is only 1100 feet distant from the claim. But it is not shown to any developments upon the surface nor is it indicated in any geologic way that drifts from the shaft might develop or find any vein or mineral within the claim. The conditions savor of putting down a deep shaft to develop mineral at a point and then patenting as much of the surrounding country as the shaft will furnish the necessary development work for - this is the position of the Hazelwood Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 claims which have no discoveries, no evidences of attempts to make discoveries, and no work except the 10.. ft. discovery shafts made necessary by the state law. If there was a discovery or if it was shown that there might be something in this claim to develop through drifts from the shaft, the main shaft could be allowed as a common improvement. It should be clearly understood that this is a drastic recommendation, and that miners testifying in the usual loose way would say that it was a proper common improvement. But if this common improvement was allowed on these claims under these conditions of no discovery and no attempt to make any, the question arises as to how many claims or how many miles of claims could be blocked on to the Hazelwood No. 9 and go to patent on this main shaft.

I recommend that the Hazelwood No. 6 be rejected on charge of no discovery, and also upon the charge that the common improvement applied is not a development of this claim -- but this second charge should receive your careful consideration.

CONFIDENTIAL
FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Phoenix 019541

HAZELWOOD NO. 7.

Located December 1, 1908. Discovery Shaft No. 1 is in schist, 5' x 7' x 9' deep. Value, $30. Shows no mineral. There is no other work upon this claim. In center of claim is seen a patch of quartz in nature of a silicification and not a vein. Doubtful if it is in place. Has no work upon it. There is no discovery upon this claim. One-tenth of main shaft on Hazelwood No. 3 (value of one-tenth $463.50) has been applied as a common improvement and is rejected by me.

I recommend that the Hazelwood No. 7 be rejected on charge of no discovery, and also upon charge that common improvement applied does not develop the claim -- see Hazelwood No. 6 for full discussion.

HAZELWOOD NO. 8.

Located December 1, 1908. Discovery Shaft No. 1 in rhyolite, 4' x 6' x 9' deep. Value, $80.00. Shows no mineral. There is no other work upon this claim. There is no discovery upon this claim. One-tenth of main shaft on Hazelwood No.3(value of one-tenth, $463.50) has been applied as a common improvement, but is rejected by me.

I recommend that the Hazelwood No. 8 be rejected on charge of no discovery, and also upon charge that common improvement applied does not develop the claim -- see Hazelwood No. 6 for full discussion.

HAZELWOOD NO. 9.

Located December 1, 1908. Discovery Shaft No. 1 is in rhyolite. 4' x 7' x 9' deep. Value $80.00. Has seam of quartz 16 in. wide at top that comes to an end - not faulted - at 4 ft. depth in the shaft. Float indicates seam to extend east for 100 ft.. A sample taken by me assayed nothing in gold and silver. This is not a discovery, and there is none on the claim. There is no other work upon the claim. One-tenth of main shaft on Hazelwood No. 3 (value of one-tenth $463.50) has been applied as a common improvement. The drifts from this shaft could penetrate this claim, but I am rejecting it.

CONFIDENTIAL
NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

HAZELWOOD NO. 10.

Located February 3, 1909. Discovery cut No. 1 is on contact between rhyolite and schist along weathered and iron-stained seam. Has no quartz. Is not a discovery. A sample taken by me assayed nothing in gold and silver. Cut is 5' wide, 14' long, 7' high at face. Value, $40.00. There is no other work upon this claim. One-tenth of main shaft on Hazelwood No. 3 (value of one-tenth $463.50) has been applied as a common improvement, drifts from this shaft could penetrate this claim but at shallow depth. I am rejecting this common improvement.

I recommend that the Hazelwood No. 10 be rejected on the charge of no discovery, and also upon the charge that the common improvement applied does not develop the claim -- see Hazelwood No. 6 for discussion.

RECOMMENDATION:

I respectfully recommend that the Hazelwood Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, be approved for patenting;

That the charges of "no discovery" be filed against the Hazelwood Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10. And that you give careful consideration to the matter of filing charges against the Hazelwood Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 that the work applied is not a logical development of these claims.

Very respectfully,

H. H. MacFarlane
Mineral Inspector. G.L.O.

AJ

APPROVED 4-17-13.
[illegible]
CHIEF SIXTH FIELD [illegible]

CONFIDENTIAL
NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

11-30-78

Dear John:

I own the Hazelwood No. 3 Patented Claim, the rest of the patented group were made part of Bell Flower Ranches - these were conveyed without mineral rights. Only the Hazelwood No. 3 remains as a patented claim with mineral rights. I visited this property in November and noticed visible green horn silver - no work has been done on this vein.

John Rolterman
6012 N. 47th Drive
Glendale, Arizona 85301
934-6333

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO Phoenix 019541 "N"

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GENERAL LAND OFFICE

WASHINGTON

May 23, 1913.

ADDRESS ONLY THE COMMISSIONER OF THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE

: Memorandum.

Chief of Field Service,

Sir:

Pursuant to reference from your Division of April 29, 1913, for memorandum in accordance with order of August 5, 1912, the attached record involving Phoenix Mineral Application No. 019541, made October 15, 1912, by the Yaqui River Consolidated Gold and Copper Company, for the Hazlewood Nos. 1 to 10, inclusive, lode locations, has been examined and no objection is found to the authorization of final certificate other than that the Chief of Field Division has filed his protest.

Very respectfully,

Examiner.

Approved:

Chief of Division "N".

5-17 EP.