



## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Mining Records Curator  
Arizona Geological Survey  
1520 West Adams St.  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
602-771-1601  
<http://www.azgs.az.gov>  
[inquiries@azgs.az.gov](mailto:inquiries@azgs.az.gov)

The following file is part of the

Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources Mining Collection

## **ACCESS STATEMENT**

These digitized collections are accessible for purposes of education and research. We have indicated what we know about copyright and rights of privacy, publicity, or trademark. Due to the nature of archival collections, we are not always able to identify this information. We are eager to hear from any rights owners, so that we may obtain accurate information. Upon request, we will remove material from public view while we address a rights issue.

## **CONSTRAINTS STATEMENT**

The Arizona Geological Survey does not claim to control all rights for all materials in its collection. These rights include, but are not limited to: copyright, privacy rights, and cultural protection rights. The User hereby assumes all responsibility for obtaining any rights to use the material in excess of "fair use."

The Survey makes no intellectual property claims to the products created by individual authors in the manuscript collections, except when the author deeded those rights to the Survey or when those authors were employed by the State of Arizona and created intellectual products as a function of their official duties. The Survey does maintain property rights to the physical and digital representations of the works.

## **QUALITY STATEMENT**

The Arizona Geological Survey is not responsible for the accuracy of the records, information, or opinions that may be contained in the files. The Survey collects, catalogs, and archives data on mineral properties regardless of its views of the veracity or accuracy of those data.

PRINTED: 01/15/2003

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERAL RESOURCES AZMILS DATA

PRIMARY NAME: CONDOR

ALTERNATE NAMES:

MARICOPA COUNTY MILS NUMBER: 136


LOCATION: TOWNSHIP 5 N RANGE 6 W SECTION 12 QUARTER NE  
LATITUDE: N 33DEG 47MIN 40SEC LONGITUDE: W 112DEG 49MIN 43SEC  
TOPO MAP NAME: VULTURE MOUNTAINS - 15 MIN

CURRENT STATUS: UNKNOWN

COMMODITY:  
UNKNOWN

BIBLIOGRAPHY:  
ADMMR CONDOR FILE  
ADDITIONAL WORKINGS SEC 6-T5N-R5W





(BELMONT M.P.S.) J451 III  
 SCALE 1:62500  
 0 1 2 3 4 MILES  
 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 FEET  
 0 1 2 3 4 5 KILOMETERS  
 CONTOUR INTERVAL 40 FEET  
 DOTTED LINES REPRESENT 20-FOOT CONTOURS  
 DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL

ROAD  
 Heavy duty  
 Medium duty  
 U.S. Route

ARIZONA  
 QUADRANGLE LOCATION  
 VULTURE

CONDOR

MARICOPA COUNTY

KAP WR 4/2/82: A Dan Masters with a California investment firm requested information on the Condor Mine. He reported he has been approached to invest in an operation on the property which plans a Thiourea leach of surface material (down to 6') over a square mile. Three samples reportedly assay 0.12 to 0.31 tr.oz.Au/ton. The operation is to be run by Brant. Masters wanted a consultant to check the property and data presented by Brant.

---

NJN WR 5/18/84: Ellie Mayfield, 8422 W. Heatherbrae, Phoenix, Az. ph: 849-2034, visited. She reported her father, Michael Leonard, still owns the Condor Mine (file) Maricopa County. Mrs. Mayfield is with Genie Scientific and sells used mining and laboratory equipment.

---

Condor mine  
(Maricopa Co.)

THE TRILON GROUP, INC.

30 March 1982

David Rabb  
5213 North Oracle Road  
Tucson, Arizona 85705

Dear Mr. Rabb:

Enclosed please find the material provided to us by the promoters of the Condor as well as your initial check in the amount of \$500.00 for expenses and consulting on this project.

In answer to the questions you posed in our phone conversation, I have learned that:

- 1) claims have been filed by Leonard Michaels covering this entire section of land, but the claim is not patented;
- 2) assessments are purported to be current;
- 3) the State records have purportedly been researched for a map of the underground workings, but nothing was found;
- 4) other assays were performed four years ago by the Bahamian Refining Company of Phoenix which showed much higher concentrations of gold, silver, and platinum.

*AKA Bert Michaels*

*see map  
L.S. Shuff  
+ others*

It is the intent of the promoters to develop the top six feet of land over the entire section as a placer mine using a thioarea leach to free the gold. They expect to recover about half of the gold present, or 500,000 ounces, using this system. They also believe that by deep mining they can find a vein of gold related to the original Vulture Mine.

*thioarea  
Not certified  
Not certified  
In doubt before*

*thioarea*

I look forward to your report on the potential of this project. I also want to thank you for the unofficial comments you have provided, and to tell you that any other ideas, suggestions, or comments which you can offer will be greatly appreciated.

*could you do it*

Sincerely,



Daniel C. Masters

DM:nr  
Enclosure

March 9, 1982

THE CONDOR AKA L.D.M.O.

This memorandum and attached schedules are not to be construed as an offering memorandum; it has been compiled as a preliminary information document prior to the forming of an entity to do business and is for informational purposes only.

The "Condor" mining properties are located fifteen miles southwest from Wickenburg, Arizona, immediately adjacent to the original Vulture Mine, the largest gold mine in Arizona history. A mining shaft of more than 200 feet is on the property and was part of the workings of the "Vulture."

*1 1/2 mi. S.W.*  
*Not accessible*  
*NE 1/4, NE 1/4*  
*SEC 12*

The mineralization in the area was developed by a titanic volcano, some fourteen miles in diameter. After the original volcano started to cool, there were continuous intrusions from the earth's core, and these caused blowouts around the periphery of the volcano, sending pipes and vents of superheated waters and gas up toward the surface. These in turn deposited the mineralization.

During the life of the "Vulture" it is estimated that gold production exceeded \$60 million, a majority of this was at \$12 an ounce. At today's price of \$350 an ounce, it would have been worth well over \$1 billion.

The "Condor" lies immediately south and downslope from the "Vulture." It has had the benefit of many years of alluvial runoff from the parent outcropping. The lode gold, in the form of enormous quartz dikes, runs in southeasterly northwesterly axis, which was faulted and lost south of the "Vulture", and although one time again discovered, never developed, and its exact location is still unknown.

It is the intention of the operators to initially mine only the surface six feet as a placer. Assays have been taken at random from the eastern boundary at the southeast corner, the approximate center of the property and on the western boundary at mid-point.

The assays of the property are attached. In estimating our recoverable gold, we used 40% of our lowest assay -- .12 Au. Two things are probable: recovery with <sup>SP</sup> thioarea leaching should approximate 70%, and our ore per ton should average more than .12 over the section.

With over 9.3 million tons to process in the surface 2 yards on the section and a .05 oz. gold yield per ton, we are estimating that approximately 500,000 ounces of gold will be our minimum yield. At today's price of \$350 per ounce, that is \$160 million. Our operating costs to develop the entire section to that depth should not exceed \$40 million. These figures appear high and represent no guarantee of being achieved; however, they have been determined conservatively.

At 1/4  
Pit. Cut - Dint  
Site - who  
P.M.P.?

NE?

"1.5m Dint"  
Thioarea

Not Condor

who says  
TEST W.

*Not in allusion*

Decisions for core-holing and investigating below the 6-foot level will be left to the operators and financed out of cash flow. An obvious potential is eventually to have an open pit mine, but only if it is economically feasible.

It is the intention of the operators, The Brant Mining Company, a Nevada corporation controlled by Mr. Robert A. Brant, Jr. ("Brant"), and Mr. William Ridgway ("Ridgway") or "Operators" to sell to business acquaintances and friends, both of long standing, interests equal to a net 5% of the mining operation for an amount of \$60,000 with a 10% overcall in case it is required. The mining claims owner, Mr. Leonard Micheals, *Beet ? Micheals* will retain a 10% interest; Ridgway and Brant each 20% and no more than 50%, for a total of \$600,000 plus overcall, will be available. The plan is to have \$200,000 in cash and the balance of \$400,000 in fully negotiable 2-year, "bank-to-bank" letters of credit.

The \$400,000 is to develop a line of credit for that amount. On looking at the attached pro-forma and at the reserve for depreciation, it is anticipated to apply this reserve directly to service the debt, i.e., letters of credit, the remaining balance to be applied to new equipment. There are adequate contingency funds to keep the original equipment in good working order, and additional equipment can be acquired at a later date, especially if the mining operation is expanded. This reserve should eliminate any debt before the letters of credit expire. It is anticipated that the investor shall receive tax deductions up to his "at

risk" investment and an investment tax credit in excess of \$10,000 per unit.

Revenues will equate to 5% per unit; operating costs once the mine is operational will be shared 5/9ths by the investors and 4/9ths by the operators; the landowner royalty is free from all costs. Investors shall receive a lien of 10% per unit against all equipment. In the event that the venture is unsuccessful, the salvage of equipment, valued at 50% of cost, should be equal to approximately \$350,000.

WHAT!?!  
??

An initial deposit of \$1,000 per unit prior to drawing up documents and assigning interests shall be required. Upon closing, a check for \$19,000 and a letter of credit for \$40,000 will be required per unit. Operations will commence with 70% subscription.

THE CONDOR - NOTES TO CASH FLOW

(1) Gold Sales - 600 tons/day @ .05/T = 30 oz/day (First two months 15 oz./day)  
 30 oz./day x 21 days = 630 oz. x \$350 = \$220,500

(2) Equipment - Total Cost \$734,500 - salvage value @ 50% = \$367,250 - Investment Tax Credit = \$110,175

(3) Operating Costs	1982	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
Supervisor		5,280	5,280	5,280	5,280	5,280	5,280	5,280	5,280	5,280	47,520
2 men @ \$90/day		3,780	3,780	3,780							11,340
10 men @ \$150/day - 10 hours (Full shift + fringe benefits)					15,750	15,750	31,500	31,500	31,500	31,500	157,500
Diesel Fuel					7,350	7,350	14,700	14,700	14,700	14,700	73,500
Chemicals					16,800	16,800	33,600	33,600	33,600	33,600	168,000
Repair Factor					5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	30,000
Miscellaneous					2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	12,000
		9,060	9,060	9,060	52,180	52,180	92,080	92,080	92,080	92,080	499,860

ANNUAL CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS

Gold @ \$350/oz.	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	Gold @\$500/oz	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Capital + Loan	600,000												
Gold Sales	1,102,500	2,646,000	2,646,000	2,646,000	2,646,000	2,646,000		3,780,000	3,780,000	3,780,000	3,780,000	3,780,000	3,780,000
TOTAL	1,702,500	2,646,000	2,646,000	2,646,000	2,646,000	2,646,000		1,702,500	3,780,000	3,780,000	3,780,000	3,780,000	3,780,000
Costs													
Equipment (2)	734,500												
Labor & Service	767,860	1,224,900	1,224,900	1,224,900	1,224,900	1,224,900							
Depreciation	147,000	257,250	220,500	109,750									
Interest	31,448	12,000											
TOTAL	1,680,808	1,494,150	1,445,400	1,334,450	1,224,900	1,224,900		1,680,808	1,494,150	1,445,400	1,334,450	1,224,900	1,224,900
NET	21,692	1,151,850	1,200,600	1,311,550	1,421,100	1,421,100		21,692	2,285,850	2,334,600	2,445,550	2,555,100	2,555,100
5% NET	1,085	57,592	60,025	65,578	71,055	71,055		1,085	114,292	116,730	122,277	127,755	127,755
CUM. NET	21,692	1,173,542	2,374,142	3,685,692	5,106,792	6,527,892		21,692	2,307,542	4,642,142	7,087,692	9,642,792	12,197,892
5% INTEREST	1,085	58,677	118,707	184,284	255,340	326,946		1,085	115,377	232,107	354,385	482,140	609,895

THE CONDOR - PROJECTED CASH FLOW - FIRST PHASE DEVELOPMENT

1982	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
<b>Income and Capital:</b>											
Capital Contribution	200,000										200,000
Bankline (L.C.)	400,000										400,000
Gold Sales (1)					110,250	110,250	220,500	220,500	220,500	220,500	1,102,500
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>600,000</b>				<b>110,250</b>	<b>110,250</b>	<b>220,500</b>	<b>220,500</b>	<b>220,500</b>	<b>220,500</b>	<b>1,702,500</b>
<b>Costs:</b>											
Organization	10,000										10,000
G & A	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	100,000
Hydrology	5,000										5,000
Geology	5,000										5,000
Trommel - Feeder Hopper (2)			15,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000		45,000
Preconcentrator			10,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000				30,000
Jig System			20,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000				50,000
Tables			5,000	5,000	4,000						14,000
Impact Mill			7,500	5,000	5,000						17,500
Conveyors			5,000	5,000	3,500						13,500
Amalgam System			5,000	5,000	2,500						12,500
Retort Furnace			3,500								3,500
Leach System			25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000				125,000
Water Supply System		30,000	25,000	10,000							65,000
Water Storage			25,000	25,000	25,000						75,000
Cat 235			20,000	2,000	20,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	68,000	100,000
Dozer			10,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	24,000	40,000
Generators			7,500	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	24,000	37,500
Fuel Tanks			4,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		10,000
Electric and Plumbing			10,000	10,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000			40,000
Trailers			3,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,000	15,000
Pickups - 2			3,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,000	15,000
Operating Supplies			2,000	2,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	10,000
Construction and Labor			20,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000		50,000
Operating Contingencies			10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	80,000
Tools			4,000	1,000	1,000						6,000
Building and Storage			5,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000			10,000
Operating Costs		9,060	9,060	9,060	52,180	52,180	92,080	92,080	92,080	92,080	499,860
Interest @ 18%				2,139	4,422	5,763	6,238	5,515	4,331	3,040	31,448
<b>TOTAL COSTS</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>49,060</b>	<b>263,560</b>	<b>157,199</b>	<b>199,602</b>	<b>141,943</b>	<b>172,318</b>	<b>141,595</b>	<b>134,411</b>	<b>244,120</b>	<b>1,533,808</b>
<b>NET</b>	<b>570,000</b>	<b>(49,060)</b>	<b>(263,560)</b>	<b>(157,199)</b>	<b>(89,352)</b>	<b>(31,693)</b>	<b>48,182</b>	<b>78,905</b>	<b>86,089</b>	<b>(23,620)</b>	<b>168,692</b>
<b>CUMULATIVE RESERVES</b>	<b>570,000</b>	<b>520,940</b>	<b>257,380</b>	<b>100,181</b>	<b>10,829</b>	<b>(20,864)</b>	<b>27,318</b>	<b>106,223</b>	<b>192,312</b>	<b>168,692</b>	

THE CONDOR (Alternate Plan) - PROJECTED CASH FLOW - FIRST PHASE DEVELOPMENT

1982	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
<b>Income and Capital:</b>											
Capital Contribution	300,000										300,000
Overcall											
Gold Sales (1)					88,452	88,452	88,452	88,452	176,904	176,904	707,616
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>300,000</b>				<b>88,452</b>	<b>88,452</b>	<b>88,452</b>	<b>88,452</b>	<b>176,904</b>	<b>176,904</b>	<b>1,007,616</b>
<b>Costs:</b>											
Organization and Move	10,000	25,000	25,000								60,000
Equipment Lease (2)	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	120,000
Minimum Monthly Royalty	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	120,000
Leach System		30,000	30,000	30,000				25,000	25,000		140,000
Water Storage			20,000								20,000
Grader			300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	2,400
<b>Production Increase:</b>											
Jigs, Shake Tables											
Sand Screws, Pumps											
Cyclones, Water											
Storage, Electric											
Plumbing, Impact Mill							40,000	40,000	20,000		100,000
Conveyors, Hopper											
Loader							1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	4,000
Operating Costs (5)		5,780	5,780	5,780	44,300	44,300	44,300	44,300	66,400	66,400	327,340
<b>TOTAL COSTS</b>	<b>34,000</b>	<b>84,780</b>	<b>105,080</b>	<b>60,080</b>	<b>68,600</b>	<b>68,600</b>	<b>109,600</b>	<b>134,600</b>	<b>136,700</b>	<b>91,700</b>	<b>893,740</b>
<b>NET</b>	<b>266,000</b>	<b>(84,780)</b>	<b>(105,080)</b>	<b>(60,080)</b>	<b>19,852</b>	<b>19,852</b>	<b>(21,148)</b>	<b>(46,148)</b>	<b>40,204</b>	<b>85,204</b>	<b>113,876</b>
<b>CUMULATIVE NET</b>	<b>266,000</b>	<b>181,220</b>	<b>76,140</b>	<b>16,060</b>	<b>35,912</b>	<b>55,764</b>	<b>34,616</b>	<b>(11,532)</b>	<b>28,672</b>	<b>113,876</b>	

*Describe System?*

List items of equipment.

THE CONDOR  
(Alternate Proposal)

The original enclosed documents represent suggestions by advisors to best capture tax advantages of our mining venture. "This" proposal is for consideration as an alternative.

Attached is the existing equipment list for the Brant Mining Company. It can be moved on location from Golan Springs, Arizona, to Wickenburg and be in production within 90 days at a rate of 240 tons/day, which should yield a minimum of 14.4 oz. of gold per day. Operators providing \$400,000 worth of equipment on a 36-month buyout lease at \$12,000/month.

With either cash or a line of credit for \$300,000 (with a 20% overcall if needed), structured as a 10-month lease (fully deductible), we feel we could be in a positive cash flow position and have evaluated the worth of the property. After 100% payout, funding reverts to a 50% working interest. Attached are projections as to return on investment.

Increasing production to 480 tons/day commencing in November are financed out of cash flow.

Based on results and cash flow, future production increases shall be financed mutually between operators and investors, probably through conventional or government lending.

x / > |

THE CONDOR (Alternate Plan) - NOTES TO CASH FLOW

- (1) Gold Sales - 240 tons/day @ .06/T = 14.4 oz/day x 21 days = 302.4 oz. x \$325 = \$98,280  
 @ October 480 tons/day = \$196,560 - Original Mining Lease Claim = 10%
- (2) Equipment List included in presentation.
- (3) After 100% Payout: Minimum Monthly Royalty reverts to 50% working interest if greater than \$12,000. Equipment lease for 36 months completes a purchase agreement.
- (4) Gold to be hedged and collateralized for delivery 1983 - current May gold (approx.) \$400.
- (5) Operating Costs

	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	<u>Total</u>
2 men @ \$90/day		3,780	3,780	3,780							11,340
6 then 8 men @ \$150/day - 10 hours (Full shift + fringe benefits)					18,900	18,900	18,900	18,900	25,200	25,200	126,000
Diesel Fuel					7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800	11,000	11,000	53,200
Chemicals					12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	25,200	25,200	100,800
Repair Factor					3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	18,000
Miscellaneous		<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>18,000</u>
		5,780	5,780	5,780	44,300	44,300	44,300	44,300	66,400	66,400	327,340

ANNUAL CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS - 50% Working Interest (3)

Gold @ \$325/oz. (4)	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	<u>1986</u>	<u>1987</u>	Gold(4) @\$400/oz	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	<u>1986</u>	<u>1987</u>
Capital	300,000												
Gold Sales	<u>707,616</u>	<u>1,287,554</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>							
TOTAL	<u>1,007,616</u>	<u>1,287,554</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>	<u>1,061,424</u>		<u>1,583,691</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>
Costs:								<u>1,007,616</u>	<u>1,583,691</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>	<u>1,305,552</u>
Equipment (includes lease)	566,400	186,124											
Operating Costs	<u>327,340</u>	<u>321,575</u>	<u>478,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>							
TOTAL	<u>893,740</u>	<u>507,699</u>	<u>478,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>		<u>893,740</u>	<u>507,699</u>	<u>478,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>	<u>406,200</u>
NET	113,876	779,855	583,224	655,224	655,224	655,224		113,876	1,075,992	827,352	899,352	899,352	899,352
CUMULATIVE NET	113,876	893,731	1,476,955	2,132,179	2,787,403	3,442,627		113,876	1,189,868	2,017,220	2,916,572	3,815,924	4,715,276

**BRC**

**BAHAMIAN REFINING CORPORATION**  
CUSTOM REFINERS. COMPLETE ANALYSIS & FLOWSHEET DESIGN

2222 N. 14TH AVE., PHOENIX, ARIZ. 85021  
TELEPHONE (602) 979-9702

July 29, 1978

Mr. Bert Michaels  
P.O. Box 2017  
Mickenburg, AZ

Dear Mr. Michaels:

Your ore concentrates in a 1:47 ratio and better concentration should be gotten in actual production because mine run will not be this highly preconcentrated. The free milling gold went .52 oz. per ton with .12 oz. per ton of silver. However, a synergistic recovery ran 2.51 oz. per ton gold and .60 oz. per ton silver.


Due to the small amount of concentrate I obtained from your sample, only a range of some of the Pt group metals can be given as follows:

	oz. per ton	
Pt	.36	to .48
Pd	2.21	to 3.52
Ir	.26	to .38
Rh	.20	to .46
Other metals	4.50	to 6.90

Os minimum 7  
Ru. thermanium 2

We need to get together immediately and get this property into production, as I would be very interested in buying all your end products.

Sincerely,



Fred Finell, Jr.

EF:kt

CR & 50:1

\* A chemical that enhances the effectiveness of an active agent  
Vt. catalyst

# ANALYTICAL REPORT

SPECTRO-ANALYSIS \_\_\_\_\_ SPECTRO-CHEMICAL \_\_\_\_\_ WET ANALYSIS \_\_\_\_\_ SPECIFIC GRAVITY \_\_\_\_\_  
FIRE ASSAY \_\_\_\_\_ ATOMIC ABSORPTION \_\_\_\_\_ PROCESS EXTRACTION RESEARCH \_\_\_\_\_ PROCESS AMALGAM \_\_\_\_\_

Sample submitted by: Tom Bossick DATE 1-6-78

% Leonard Michaels Box 2017 Wickenburg, Arizona 85358

Sample description: Black sand

## Wet chemical analysis

Silver 4.29 oz. per ton  
Gold 1.63 oz. per ton

Platinum group metals not detected.

*Black sand  
CONCENTRATE  
seems high in silver*

Spectrograph reports must be given in a range rather than an exact figure. However, the particular model spectrograph which OTL uses, is superior in that it detects metals that could be overlooked by other methods of determination. It is especially suited to the trace and near trace elements.

The above figures are not necessarily indicative of the values obtainable by conventional extraction methods. All quantities shown in 'ounces per ton'.

Most samples containing the platinum group metals are of a 'complex' nature. In the make-up of these 'complexes' are many 'unstables' that tend to outweigh the 'stable' portion and act to suppress, or even prevent, the extraction of the 'stables'. OTL has been successful in overcoming this problem. We have established what we believe to be all the required basic production processes for the extraction of the precious metals from domestic ores.

OTL is equipped to perform the research needed to establish the feasibility and adaptability of ores to the OTL RECOVERY METHODS.

TEST FEE \$25.00 Paid

*Not registered  
Not reputable  
VALID?*  
  
V.L. Seaman

ORF-TECH LABORATORIES, Incorporated

P.O. BOX 21695, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85036 (602) 254-8196

MINERAL ORES · PROCESS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT · PRODUCTION EXTRACTION PROCESSES

PM LABS

(EXTRACTIVE METALLURGICAL CHEMISTRY)

LABORATORY CERTIFICATE

March 1, 1982

Bob Brant  
Brant Mining Co.  
1045 Moraga Dr.  
Los Angeles, CA 90049

*How?*

Re: Results from wet chemical analyses on samples VPC, VPE,  
and VPW received 2-24-82.

Sample VPC: Gold = .12 Troy oz./T Platinum = .05 Troy oz./T

Sample VPE: Gold = .20 Troy oz./T Silver = .51 Troy oz./T

Sample VPW: Gold = .31 Troy oz./T Silver = .68 Troy oz./T

*I don't BELIEVE this!*

Note: The gold-colored "flakes" found in these samples was  
not gold.

*↳ Biotite Mica*

Submitted by:

*Willard A. Lueg*  
Willard A. Lueg

WAL:jw

*Not Listed*

*Not Registered State of Calif.*